

City of
SACRAMENTO
Office of the City Treasurer

915 I Street, HCH 3rd Floor
Sacramento CA 95814

John Colville ~ City Treasurer

Phone 916-808-5168

Fax 916-808-5171

**ADDITIONAL (VOLUNTARY) DISCLOSURE
RATING AFFIRMED**

Dated: May 31, 2017

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on May 26, 2017, Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") affirmed the long term rating of "AA-" with a stable outlook for the City of Sacramento Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 debt issue.

The rating report from Fitch is attached.

City of Sacramento



Brian Wong
Debt Manager

Attachment: Fitch Press Release – Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2013



Fitch Rates Sacramento, CA's Water Revenue Bonds 'AA-'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-26 May 2017: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA-' rating to the following City of Sacramento, California (the city) bonds:

--Approximately \$56 million water revenue bonds, series 2017.

The bonds are scheduled to sell via negotiation on or about June 8, 2017. Bond proceeds will fund the city water enterprise's ongoing capital improvement plan (primarily its water metering project) and pay costs of issuance.

In addition, Fitch has affirmed the following outstanding debt:

--\$204.2 million water revenue bonds, series 2013 at 'AA-'.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

The bonds are secured by a senior lien on the city's net water system revenues after payment of maintenance and operations expenses.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

SOLID FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: Financial performance is solid with very stable revenues, strong debt service coverage (DSC) and healthy liquidity. Coverage is expected to decline with additional leveraging but remain adequate for the rating category.

HIGH DEBT, CAPITAL NEEDS: The debt burden is above average and expected to continue to grow over the next five years as the utility finishes a major water metering project.

DISCIPLINED RATE POLICY: The city council has approved significant rate increases to support planned capital investments and rising debt service. Rates are currently low but likely to surpass Fitch's 1% of median household income affordability metric over the next few years, suggesting that rate flexibility is decreasing.

STRONG SUPPLY POSITION: The city has rights to an ample supply of local surface water under high-priority water rights. It conserved heavily in the recent drought and aims to maintain a lower level of usage going forward.

LARGE, DIVERSE SERVICE AREA: The utility is the monopoly provider of essential retail water services to a large urban service area. The customer base is diverse and largely residential.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

LEVERAGE TO DRIVE RATING: The rating incorporates significant additional borrowing plans. This additional debt could put downward pressure on the rating if rate discipline slips or borrowing plans increase beyond the current forecast. The Stable Outlook suggests Fitch believes this is unlikely.

CREDIT PROFILE

The utility provides essential water services to about 490,000 residents of the city, California's state capital and the nation's 35th-largest city. The utility also serves several small wholesale accounts. The customer base of 136,130 accounts is largely residential, and the utility's three largest customers are governmental entities. The 10 largest retail accounts provide just 6.8% of operating revenues.

The Sacramento economy is growing at a healthy pace with payroll employment growth outpacing the nation over the past two years and unemployment continuing to decline. The unemployment rate trends somewhat higher than the national average and was 5.4% in March 2017 compared to 4.6% for the nation. The State of California is the dominant local economic force and employer. While the state provides a solid fundamental base for local economic activity, the concentration of state employment in the city leaves Sacramento heavily exposed to changes in the state's budget position and employment levels.

SOLID FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Financial performance remained solid through a period of intense drought due to ongoing adjustments in water rates and substantial amount of revenues provided by fixed meter fees and by unmetered accounts (46%) billed at flat rates. Fitch-calculated senior DSC net of transfers out was 3.1x fiscal year (FY) 2016 and 3.3x in FY 2015, providing solid coverage for the rated bonds. All-in DSC was solid at 1.7x in both years. Free cash to depreciation averaged a healthy 109% across the two years, providing solid excess revenues for investment in the system after payment of debt service and operating expenses. Liquidity is strong. Days cash averaged 696 days over the past three fiscal years. Unrestricted cash and investments equaled \$101.8 million, or 779 days cash, as of June 30, 2016. Cash has been increasing rapidly in recent years as the city ramped up rates to support its capital investment program. Cash balances more than doubled over the past five years.

Financial performance is expected to moderate somewhat over the next five years as the utility's debt obligations increase. The city forecasts that all-in DSC will fall to a more moderate 1.5x over the 2017 to 2022 time period and average 1.8x across the period. Senior coverage is expected to remain strong for the rating category, declining to 2.2x in FY 2022 and averaging 2.9x across the period. The forecast appears conservative with water use projected to remain near drought levels and no operating revenues from new connections assumed. Projected revenue growth relies on increases in rates, which have already been approved. Fitch expects the city to outperform the forecast somewhat.

STRONG RATE DISCIPLINE

The Sacramento City Council has shown strong rate discipline in recent years, increasing rates by an average of 8% annually over the past five years (FY 2013-2017) to support a significant increase in capital spending. Water rates remain affordable with 10 hundred cubic feet of water costing \$37.80 a month, or 0.9% of median household income, in FY 2017.

While rates are low by California standards, they are likely to surpass Fitch's 1% of median household income affordability metric over the next two to three years, suggesting that rate flexibility, while adequate, is decreasing. The utility has faced some rate controversy in the past, but has received strong political and electoral support for its need to raise rates to update its aging infrastructure.

HIGH DEBT, CAPITAL NEEDS

The utility's main credit weaknesses are a large backlog of deferred maintenance projects on its aging infrastructure and the debt burden that it plans to take on to address these needs. The utility's \$276.5 million 2017 - 2021 capital improvement plan (CIP) relies heavily on debt at 85% funding. The current CIP is driven by the statutorily mandated plan to meter its large customer base (a \$230 million project that is about half completed and required to be finished by 2025). The city also continues to work on main replacements and other asset renewal.

Debt per customer is expected to climb to about \$2,900 after the current bond issue, well above the median for 'AA' rated water and sewer utilities of \$1,823. The utility plans to borrow an additional \$173.2 million through FY 2021, pushing debt per customer to a very high \$3,871. While Fitch expects the utility to engage in greater pay-as-you-go funding over time, the high reliance on debt and the high debt burden are the main factors precluding a higher rating in the near term.

STRONG WATER RIGHTS

The utility maintains a large supply of low cost, local surface water that is limited in only the most severe California droughts. Sacramento draws about 85% of its water supplies from the American and Sacramento Rivers. Another 15% of supplies are provided by local ground water wells. The city estimates that its reliable supplies equal about 280 million gallons per day (MGD) compared to recent demand of less than 100 MGD. The city has contracts with the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation that require the agency to provide sufficient flows to meet city needs before serving the Bureau's own Central Valley Project customers from its Folsom Lake reservoir.

Despite its high priority rights, Sacramento cut use quite aggressively in the recent drought, even before state-mandated conservation measures were put in place. Once state regulation was imposed, the city maintained compliance with a very high conservation requirement of 28%. Policymakers expect conservation to continue with its metering program and public outreach aimed at hardening demand at lower levels.

Reducing water usage allows the city to create greater drought resiliency and to accommodate new growth without investing in expensive new supply projects. The reductions would also reduce political and regulatory risk that could stem from above average water use in a state where water policymakers are pushing to reduce usage. Reductions in the volume of water sold are not a credit concern so long as the city continues to take offsetting rate action to recover the full cost of providing service.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Andrew Ward
Director
+1-415-732-5617
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
650 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

Secondary Analyst
Karen Ribble
Senior Director
+1-415-732-5611

Committee Chairperson
Douglas Scott
Managing Director
+1-512-215-3725

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's Revenue-Supported Rating Criteria, this action was additionally informed by information from Lumesis.

Media Relations: Elizabeth Fogerty, New York, Tel: +1 (212) 908 0526, Email: elizabeth.fogerty@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Revenue-Supported Rating Criteria (pub. 16 Jun 2014) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/750012>)
U.S. Water and Sewer Revenue Bond Rating Criteria (pub. 30 Nov 2016) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/890402>)

Additional Disclosures

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dodd-frank-disclosure/1024329>)
Solicitation Status (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/1024329#solicitation>)
Endorsement Policy (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM (<https://www.fitchratings.com>). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory) (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2017 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit

reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Solicitation Status

Fitch Ratings was paid to determine each credit rating announced in this Rating Action Commentary (RAC) by the obligator being rated or the issuer, underwriter, depositor, or sponsor of the security or money market instrument being rated, except for the following:

Endorsement Policy - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.