

Contractor's Name: A T & E, Inc
 (Please print)

FILED

JAN 18 2006

By The
Office of The City Clerk

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

SEALED PROPOSAL

(MUST BE SIGNED BY BIDDER)

A T & E, INC.

The Sealed Proposal will be received not later than Wednesday, January 18, 2006, at the Office of the City Clerk, Historic City Hall, at 915 I Street, 1st Floor, Sacramento, California and opened at 2:00 PM, or as soon thereafter as business allows, on January 18, 2006 by the Office of the City Clerk, 915 I Street, Historic City Hall, Hearing Room 2nd Floor, Sacramento, California.

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL:

The undersigned hereby proposes and agrees to furnish any and all required labor, material, transportation, and services for

**R STREET COMBINED SEWER STORAGE - 11TH TO 13TH STREET
 (XN27)**

in the City and County of Sacramento, California

TOTAL BID: Nine hundred seventy three thousand six hundred thirty eight dollars even (\$ 973,638⁰⁰)

The work herein described is to be performed in strict conformity with the Plans, City of Sacramento Standard Specifications (Resolution No. 89-216) and these Special Provisions, all as on file in the Office of the City Clerk, at the following unit prices.

Item No.	Description	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
1	Mobilization	1	LS	\$ 20,000 ⁻	\$ 20,000 ⁻
2	8-Inch Sewer Pipe to Remove, 24-Inch Pipe to Place	200	LF	\$ 232 ⁰⁰	\$ 46,400 ⁰⁰
3	24-Inch Pipe to Place	232	LF	\$ 232 ⁰⁰	\$ 53,824 ⁰⁰
4	30-Inch Pipe to Place	20	LF	\$ 300 ⁰⁰	\$ 6,000 ⁰⁰
5	36-Inch Pipe to Place	859	LF	\$ 306 ⁰⁰	\$ 262,854 ⁰⁰
6	54-Inch Pipe to Place	368	LF	\$ 615 ⁰⁰	\$ 226,320 ⁰⁰
7	Construct 8 Ft. Diameter Manhole with Weir	1	EA	\$ 17,000 ⁰⁰	\$ 17,000 ⁰⁰
8	Construct Manhole No. 4	7	EA	\$ 8,000 ⁰⁰	\$ 56,000 ⁻
9	Existing Manhole to Remove	4	EA	\$ 1,500 ⁻	\$ 6,000 ⁻
10	Sewer Service to Replace	2	EA	\$ 3,500 ⁻	\$ 7,000 ⁻
11	Existing Drain Inlet to Remove, Modified Type B Drain Inlet to Construct	4	EA	\$ 4,000 ⁻	\$ 16,000 ⁻
12	10-Inch Drain Lead to Construct	154	LF	\$ 160 ⁰⁰	\$ 24,640 ⁰⁰

13	Pipe Ends to Plug	30	EA	\$ <u>300-</u>	\$ <u>9000-</u>
14	Unsuitable Material to Remove and Replace	200	TON	\$ <u>150-</u>	\$ <u>25,000-</u>
15	12-Inch Water Main to Furnish and Install	1525	LF	\$ <u>110^{cs}</u>	\$ <u>167750^{cs}</u>
16	8-Inch Water Main to Furnish and Install	150	LF	\$ <u>105^{cs}</u>	\$ <u>15750^{cs}</u>
17	8-Inch & 12-Inch Diameter Water Main with Casing to Furnish and Install	100	LF	\$ <u>175^{cs}</u>	\$ <u>17500^{cs}</u>
18	12-Inch Diameter Gate Valve to Furnish and Install	7	EA	\$ <u>3000-</u>	\$ <u>21,000^{cs}</u>
19	8-Inch Diameter Gate Valve to Furnish and Install	8	EA	\$ <u>2250-</u>	\$ <u>17,600^{cs}</u>
20	6-Inch Diameter Gate Valve to Furnish and Install	2	EA	\$ <u>2500-</u>	\$ <u>5000-</u>
21	Connection to Existing Water Distribution System	3	EA	\$ <u>3000-</u>	\$ <u>9000-</u>
22	Standard Fire Hydrant to Furnish and Install	6	EA	\$ <u>6000-</u>	\$ <u>36,000-</u>
23	Existing Valves and Water Mains, to Remove or Abandon	2	EA	\$ <u>1000-</u>	\$ <u>2000-</u>

TOTAL BID: \$ 973638^{cs}
(to be forwarded to page #1)

If awarded the contract, the undersigned shall execute said contract and furnish the necessary bonds within ten (10) days after the notice of award of said contract and begin work within fifteen (15) days after the signing of the contract by the Contractor and the City or the Notice to Proceed has been prepared, whichever is applicable.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When the unit price of an item is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure derived by multiplying the item unit price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item unit price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the City's bidding procedures. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item unit price and not the total price.

Should the Proposal contain only a total price for an item and the item unit price is omitted, the City shall determine the item unit price by dividing the total price of the item by the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for the item of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

It is understood that this bid is based upon completion of the work within a period of **eighty-five (85) working days** commencing on the date specified in the Notice to Proceed.

The amount of liquidated damages to be paid by the Contractor for failure to complete the work by the completion date (as extended, if applicable) shall be **three hundred dollars (\$300.00) for each calendar day**, continuing to the time at which the work is completed. Such amount is the actual cash value agreed upon as the loss to the City resulting from the default of the Contractor.

The undersigned represents and warrants that he/she has examined the location of the proposed work and is familiar with the conditions at the place where the work is to be done. The undersigned further represents that he/she has reviewed and understands the Plans, Special Provisions, and other contract documents, and the undersigned is satisfied with all conditions for the performance of the work.

The undersigned has carefully checked all of the above figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this bid.

This proposal shall not be withdrawn for the time periods specified in Section 3-2 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications for award of contract to respective low bidders. This proposal is submitted in accordance with Chapter 3.60 of the Sacramento City Code and Sections 1, 2, and 3 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications.

In accordance with Standard Specification Section 3-2, the City shall award this contract to the lowest responsible bidder, if such award is made, within forty-five (45) working days after opening of the Proposals. The City reserves the right to reject any and all bids.

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

BID MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.

Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this contract will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition
2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:
 - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace
 - b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
 - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program.
 - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace
3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this contract, employees will be expected to:
 - a. Abide by the terms of the statement.
 - b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace
4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the contract be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.
5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:
 - a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

* I certify that no person employed by this company, corporation, or business has been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project where this company, corporation, or business was performing work within three years of the date of my signature below.

EXCEPTION: _____
Date Violation Type Place of Occurrence
If additional space is required use back of this form.

*The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: A T & E, Inc.
BY: Maube Feely Pres. Date: 1/17/06
Signature Title

Effects of violations: a. Suspension of payments under this contract. b. Suspension or termination of the contract. c. Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any contract from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. On June 8, 2004, the City Council adopted Resolution No. **CC2004-433**, establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. **C2004-433** by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for purposes of verification and investigation; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California Contractor's License Number(s) held by firm:

A, B 6/07

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

Yes

No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm's behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

Yes

No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

Yes

No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

Yes

No

Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

OR

- B. Your firm has not completed at least three government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes No Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

Yes No

Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

- A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

Yes No

OR

- B. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: $(N/EH) \times 200,000$, where

N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)

EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year

200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)

Yes No

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

- In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at, on SF on 1/17/06
(Location) (Date)

Signature: Martha Feely

Print Name: MARtha Feely

Title: President

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

**NON-DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYEE BENEFITS BY CITY CONTRACTORS
ORDINANCE**

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE
Equal Benefits Ordinance

AT&E, Inc
Name of Contractor

2355 Ocean Ave SF CA 94127
Address

The above named contractor ("Contractor") hereby declares and agrees as follows:

1. I have read and understand the Non-Discrimination In Employee Benefits By City Contractors Ordinance ("Ordinance") provided to me by the City of Sacramento ("City") in connection with the City's request for proposals or other solicitations for the performance of services, or for the provision of commodities, under a City contract or agreement ("Contract").
2. As a condition of receiving the City Contract, I agree to fully comply with the requirements of the Ordinance, codified as Chapter 3. 54 of the Sacramento City Code.
3. If the face amount of this City Contract is less than \$25,000, as a condition of receiving this Contract, I agree to notify the City in writing if the aggregate value of the City Contract referenced herein, after changes, modifications, or similar actions, equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total value.
4. I understand, to the extent that such benefits are not preempted or prohibited by federal or state law, employee benefits covered by the Ordinance, are any of the following:
 - a. Bereavement Leave
 - b. Disability, life, and other types of insurance
 - c. Family medical leave
 - d. Health benefits
 - e. Membership or membership discounts
 - f. Moving expenses
 - g. Pension and retirement benefits
 - h. Vacation
 - i. Travel benefits
 - j. Any other benefit offered to employees

I agree that should I offer any of the above listed employee benefits, that I will offer those benefits, without discrimination between employees with spouses and employees with domestic partners, and without discrimination between the spouses and domestic partners of such employees.

5. I understand that I will not be considered to be discriminating in the provision or application of employee benefits under the following conditions or circumstances:

NON-DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYEE BENEFITS BY CITY CONTRACTORS ORDINANCE

- a. In the event that the actual cost of providing a benefit to a domestic partner or spouse, exceeds the cost of providing the same benefit to a spouse or domestic partner of an employee, I will not be required to provide the benefit, nor shall it be deemed discriminatory, if I require the employee to pay the monetary difference in order to provide the benefit to the domestic partner or to the spouse.
- b. In the event I am unable to provide a certain benefit, despite taking reasonable measures to do so, if I provide the employee with a cash equivalent, I will not be deemed to be discriminating in the application of that benefit.
- c. If I provide employee benefits neither to employee's spouses nor to employee's domestic partners.
- d. If I provide employee benefits to employees on a basis unrelated to marital or domestic partner status.
- e. If I submit, to the Program Coordinator, written evidence of making reasonable efforts to end discrimination in employee benefits by implementing policies which are to be enacted before the first effective date after the first open enrollment process following the date the Contract is executed with the City.

I understand that any delay in the implementation of such policies may not exceed one (1) year from the date the Contract is executed with the City, and applies only to those employee benefits for which an open enrollment process is applicable.
- f. Until administrative steps can be taken to incorporate, in the infrastructure, nondiscrimination in employee benefits

The time allotted for these administrative steps will apply only to those employee benefits for which administrative steps are necessary and may not exceed three (3) months from the date the Contract is executed with the City.
- g. Until the expiration of a current collective bargaining agreement(s) where, in fact, employee benefits are governed by a collective bargaining agreement(s).
- h. I take all reasonable measures to end discrimination in employee benefits by either requesting the union(s) involved agree to reopen the agreement(s) in order for me to take whatever steps are necessary to end discrimination in employee benefits or by my ending discrimination in employee benefits without reopening the collective bargaining agreement(s).

NON-DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYEE BENEFITS BY CITY CONTRACTORS ORDINANCE

- i. In the event I cannot end discrimination in employee benefits despite taking all reasonable measures to do so, I provide a cash equivalent to eligible employees for whom employee benefits (as listed previously), are not available.

Unless otherwise authorized in writing by the City Manager, I understand this cash equivalent must begin at the time the union(s) refuse to allow the collective bargaining agreement(s) to be reopened or no longer than three (3) months from the date the Contract is executed with the City.

6. I understand that failure to comply with the provisions of Section 5. (a) through 4. (i), above, will subject me to possible suspension and/or termination of this Contract for cause; repayment of any or all of the Contract amount disbursed by the City; debarment for future contracts until all penalties and restitution have been paid in full; deemed ineligible for future contracts for up to two (2) years; the imposition of a penalty, payable to the City, in the sum of \$50.00 for each employee, for each calendar day during which the employee was discriminated against in violation of the provisions of the Ordinance.
7. I understand and do hereby agree to provide each current employee and, within ten (10) days of hire, each new employee, of their rights under the Ordinance. I further agree to maintain a copy of each such letter provided, in an appropriate file for possible inspection by an authorized representative of the City. I also agree to prominently display a poster informing each employee of these rights.
8. I understand that I have the right to request an exemption to the benefit provisions of the Ordinance when such a request is submitted to the Procurement Services Division, in writing with sufficient justification for resolution, prior to contract award.

I further understand that the City may request a waiver or exemption to the provisions or requirements of the Ordinance, when only one contractor is available to enter into a contract or agreement to occupy and use City property on terms and conditions established by the City; when sole source conditions exist for goods, services, public project or improvements and related construction services; when there are no responsive bidders to the EBO requirements and the contract is for essential goods or services; when emergency conditions with public health and safety implications exist; or when the contract is for specialized legal services if in the best interest of the City.

9. In consideration of the foregoing, I shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City, its officers and employees, against any claims, actions, damages, costs (including reasonable attorney fees), or other liabilities of any kind arising from any violation of the City's Equal Benefits Requirements or of the Ordinance by me.

**NON-DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYEE BENEFITS BY CITY CONTRACTORS
ORDINANCE**

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am authorized to bind the Contractor to the provisions of this Declaration.

Martha Feely
Signature of Authorized Representative

1/17/06
Date

Martha Feely
Print Name
President
Title