

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 Department of Parks and Recreation
 Park Planning, Design and Development
 Landscape Architecture Section

Gold Valley

FILED

Bid Proposal
 Page 1 of 6

SEP 14 2005

By The
 Office of The City Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

In compliance with the Contract Documents, the undersigned hereby proposes to furnish all required labor, materials, supervision, transportation, equipment, services, taxes and incidentals required for:

**TANZANITE COMMUNITY PARK - PHASE 2
 (LS87)**

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

The Work is to be done in strict conformity with the Contract Documents now on file in the Office of the City Clerk, for the following sum:

GOLD VALLEY CONSTRUCTION

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
1	Site Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 68,000 ⁰⁰
2	Temporary Construction Fence	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 12,000 ⁰⁰
3	Demolition	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 30,000 ⁰⁰
4	Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 22,000 ⁰⁰
5	Site Grading <i>→ Const. Staking</i>	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 195,000 ⁰⁰
6	Catch Basin	1	EA	\$ 1200 ⁰⁰	\$ 1200 ⁰⁰
7	Lawn Area Catch Basin	10	EA	\$ 700 ⁰⁰	\$ 7000 ⁰⁰
8	Drain Outlets	4	EA	\$ 2000 ⁰⁰	\$ 8000 ⁰⁰
9	6" PVC Gravity Drain Pipe	188	LF	\$ 30 ⁰⁰	\$ 5640 ⁰⁰
10	8" PVC Gravity Drain Pipe	228	LF	\$ 32 ⁰⁰	\$ 7296 ⁰⁰
11	10" PVC Gravity Drain Pipe	579	LF	\$ 38 ⁰⁰	\$ 22,002 ⁰⁰
12	12" PVC Gravity Drain Pipe	369	LF	\$ 40 ⁰⁰	\$ 14,760 ⁰⁰
13	15" PVC Gravity Drain Pipe	443	LF	\$ 45 ⁰⁰	\$ 19,935 ⁰⁰
14	Vitrified Clay Pipe (VCP) Sewer Pipe	78	LF	\$ 75 ⁰⁰	\$ 5850 ⁰⁰

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 Department of Parks and Recreation
 Park Planning, Design and Development
 Landscape Architecture Section

15.	ABS Drinking Fountain Drain Pipe	260	LF	\$ <u>30⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>7800⁰⁰</u>
16.	Electrical System	1	LS	\$ <u>38,000⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>38,000⁰⁰</u>
17.	Potable Water Line	1	LS	\$ <u>—</u>	\$ <u>5060⁰⁰</u>
18.	Aggregate Base	1,924	CY	\$ <u>64⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>123,136⁰⁰</u>
19.	Asphaltic Concrete	31,575	SF	\$ <u>16⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>50,520⁰⁰</u>
20.	Concrete Flatwork	45,360	SF	\$ <u>5⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>226,800⁰⁰</u>
21.	Concrete Driveway	1	LS	\$ <u>—</u>	\$ <u>9500⁰⁰</u>
22.	Concrete Ramp	1	EA	\$ <u>3800⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>3800⁰⁰</u>
23.	Concrete Curb and Gutter	342	LF	\$ <u>40⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>13,680⁰⁰</u>
24.	Retaining Wall	51	LF	\$ <u>77⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>3927⁰⁰</u>
25.	9" Concrete Mow Strip	720	LF	\$ <u>15⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>10,800⁰⁰</u>
26.	15" Concrete Mow Strip	2,155	LF	\$ <u>17⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>36,635⁰⁰</u>
27.	18" Concrete Mow Strip	530	LF	\$ <u>18⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>9,540⁰⁰</u>
28.	Concrete Curb No. 15	1,075	LF	\$ <u>22⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>23,650⁰⁰</u>
29.	3' High P.V.C. Coated Chain Link Fence	1,200	LF	\$ <u>18⁵⁴</u>	\$ <u>22,248⁰⁰</u>
30.	6' High P.V.C. Coated Chain Link Fence	1,770	LF	\$ <u>21³⁴</u>	\$ <u>37,771⁸⁰</u>
31.	3' High P.V.C. Coated Chain Link Single Swing Gate	1	EA	\$ <u>753⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>753⁰⁰</u>
32.	6' High P.V.C. Coated Chain Link Single Swing Gate	2	EA	\$ <u>941⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1,882⁰⁰</u>
33.	3' High P.V.C. Coated Chain Link Double Swing Gate	1	PR	\$ <u>1275⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1,275⁰⁰</u>
34.	6' High P.V.C. Coated Chain Link Double Swing Gate	3	PR	\$ <u>2028⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>6,084⁰⁰</u>
35.	Pipe Gates	1	LS	\$ <u>—</u>	\$ <u>5,362⁰⁰</u>
36.	Fold-Down Bollard	1	EA	\$ <u>700⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>700⁰⁰</u>

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 Department of Parks and Recreation
 Park Planning, Design and Development
 Landscape Architecture Section

37.	Drinking Fountain w/ Jug Filler	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 4000 ⁰⁰
38.	Pet Waste Dispenser	2	EA	\$ 530 ⁰⁰	\$ 1060 ⁰⁰
39.	Accessible Parking Signs	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 900 ⁰⁰
40.	Basketball Posts/Net	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 8000 ⁰⁰
41.	Automatic Irrigation System	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 141350 ⁰⁰
42.	Booster Pump	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 42152 ⁰⁰
43.	Central Control System	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 41140 ⁰⁰
44.	Shrub and Groundcover Areas	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 80984 ⁰⁰
45.	Turf Hydroseeding	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 96360 ⁰⁰
46.	Non-Irrigated Hydroseeding	199,590	SF	\$.17¢	\$ 33930 ³⁰
47.	Landscape Maintenance (90 Days)	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 13200 ⁰⁰
48.	Skate Park Grading	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 75000 ⁰⁰
49.	Skate Park Drainage	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 52625 ⁰⁰
50.	Skate Park Flatwork & Aggregate Base	8,355	SF	\$ 7 ⁵⁰	\$ 62662 ⁵⁰
51.	Skate Park Stairs, Ledges / Walls	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 55000 ⁰⁰
52.	Skate Park Metal Edging	389	LF	\$ 35 ⁵⁰	\$ 13809 ⁵⁰
53.	Skate Park Metal Rails	30	LF	\$ 45 ⁰⁰	\$ 1350 ⁰⁰
54.	Skate Park Shotcrete and Metal Coping by CSP (California Skatepark)	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 302940 ⁰⁰

BASE BID SUBTOTAL \$ 2,084,070¹⁰

ADDITIVE ALTERNATE BID ITEMS:

A1	Trees (Installation Only)	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 24,970 ⁰⁰
A2	Restroom	1	LS	\$ _____	\$ 95,000 ⁰⁰

A3	Drinking Fountain	3	EA	\$ 4000 ⁰⁰	\$ 12000 ⁰⁰
A4	8' Picnic Table	2	EA	\$ 1400 ⁰⁰	\$ 2800 ⁰⁰
A5	Single Grill	1	EA	\$ 500 ⁰⁰	\$ 500 ⁰⁰
A6	8' Bench w/ Back	4	EA	\$ 725 ⁰⁰	\$ 2900 ⁰⁰
A7	8' Bench without Back	3	EA	\$ 520 ⁰⁰	\$ 1560 ⁰⁰
A8	Trash Receptacle	9	EA	\$ 585 ⁰⁰	\$ 5265 ⁰⁰
A9	Backstop	1	LS	\$ —	\$ 19022 ⁰⁰
A10	8' Overthrow Fence w/ Baseboards	284	LF	\$ 76 ⁰⁰	\$ 21584 ⁰⁰
A11	Player's Bench	4	EA	\$ 545 ⁰⁰	\$ 2180 ⁰⁰
A12	Bantam Soccer Goals	1	EA	\$ 2370 ⁰⁰	\$ 2370 ⁰⁰
A13	Regulation Soccer Goals	1	EA	\$ 3033 ⁰⁰	\$ 3033 ⁰⁰
A14	Volleyball Posts / Net	1	LS	\$ —	\$ 1015 ⁰⁰
ADDITIVE ALTERNATE SUBTOTAL					\$ 194,199 ⁰⁰
BASE BID PLUS ADDITIVE ALTERNATE TOTAL					\$ 2,278,269 ⁰⁰

(F) – denotes final pay quantity

CONTRACTOR NAME: Gold Valley Const Inc TOTAL \$ 2,278,269⁰⁰

If awarded the Agreement, the undersigned agrees to sign said Agreement and furnish the necessary surety bonds and insurance certificates within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of award of Agreement, and to begin work within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Notice to Proceed by the City.

It is understood that this Bid Proposal is based upon completion of the Work within a period of **ONE HUNDRED AND EIGHTY (180) CALENDAR DAYS**. The undersigned agrees that all underground work for this project will be completed before the rainy season begins. The Contractor shall refer to Section 1.2 Completion Time of the Special Provisions for calculation of the completion date.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, the City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by the Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

The undersigned has examined the location of the proposed Work, the local conditions at the place where the Work is to be done, is familiar with the Contract Documents and is familiar and expressly agrees to the liquidated damages provision of the Contract Documents. The undersigned has checked carefully all of the foregoing figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this Bid Proposal.

The Master Plan for this project will be presented at the City Council meeting in September. Therefore, the possibility exists that these Construction Documents may undergo revisions. In the event of necessary revisions to the Construction Documents, the undersigned will be asked to make bid revisions.

Enclosed is Bid Proposal Guarantee, as required, consisting of a bidder's bond or other acceptable security for not less than ten percent (10%) of the amount Bid Proposal.

The undersigned agrees that all addenda received and acknowledged herein shall become a part of and be included in this Bid Proposal. This Bid Proposal includes the following addenda:

Add. #	<u>1</u>	DATE	<u>8-26-05</u>
Add. #	<u>2</u>	DATE	<u>8-31-05</u>
Add. #	<u>3</u>	DATE	<u>9-6-05</u>

NOTE: State whether your concern is a corporation, a co-partnership, private individual, or individuals doing business under a firm name. Corporation -

Gold Valley Const Inc

If the Bidder is a corporation, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the corporation and must be signed by a duly authorized officer of the corporation.

If the Bidder is a partnership, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the partnership and one of the partners must subscribe their signature thereto as the authorized representative of the partnership.

AMOUNT OF BID PROPOSAL GUARANTEE ENCLOSED:

(\$ 10%) not less than ten percent (10%) of amount Bid Proposal

FILED

SEP 14 2005

_____ CERTIFIED CHECK
 _____ CASHIER'S CHECK
XX BID BOND
 _____ MONEY ORDER
 _____ OTHER SECURITY

CONTRACTOR:

By _____

(Signature)

By The
 Office of The City Clerk

Tom Lamson

(Print or Type)

Title Secretary/Treasurer

Address PO Box 1535

Rocklin CA 95677

Telephone No. 916-788-0150

Fax No. 916-788-1734

Date 9-14-05

Contractor's License No. 795031

Type A, B, C-8

Expiration Date 5-31-06

Tax I.D. Nos. - Fed. 68-0470954

State Calif.

City of Sacramento Business Operation Tax Certificate No.
 (City will not award contract if Certificate Number is missing.)

114889

9/14/05

BID OPENED

EMPLOYEE INITIALS

MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY

BID SECURITY

NONE REQUIRED

PROPERLY SIGNED

BID DEPOSIT TYPE

BID BOND

CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK

CERTIFIED CHECK

CASH

CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER

AFTER AWARD OF BID

SECURITY RETURNED

SECURITY MAINTAINED

EMPLOYEE INITIALS

DATE

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. On June 8, 2004, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2004-433 establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. 2004-433 by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for purposes of verification and investigation; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

1. Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California Contractor's License Number(s) held by firm:

A, B, C-X 5-31-06 795031

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

Yes

No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm's behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

Yes

No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

Yes

No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

6. Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

OR

B. Your firm has not completed at least three government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

8. Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

Yes

No

OR

B. In the last three years has your firm had a three-year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: $(N/EH) \times 200,000$, where

N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)
EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year
200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)

Yes

No

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

11. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Rocklin, on 9-14-05
(Location) (Date)

Signature: Tom Lamson

Print name: Tom Lamson

Title: Secretary/Treasurer

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

FILED
SEP 14 2005
By The
Office of The City Clerk

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

BID PROPOSAL MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.
Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this Agreement will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition.
2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:
 - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
 - b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
 - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program.
 - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.
3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this Agreement, employees will be expected to:
 - a. Abide by the terms of the statement.
 - b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace.
4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the Agreement be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.
5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:
 - a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

* I certify that no person employed by this company, corporation, or business has been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project where this company, corporation or business was performing was within three years of the date of my signature below.

EXCEPTION: _____

Date	Violation Type	Place of Occurrence
	N/A	

If additional space is required use back of this form.

*** The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.**

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: Gold Valley Const. Inc

BY: [Signature] Sec/Treas. Date: 9-14-05

Signature Title

Effects of violations: a. Suspension of payments under the Agreement. b. Suspension or termination of the Agreement. c. Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any Agreement from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years.

