

FILED

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 Department of Parks & Recreation
 Park Planning, Design & Development

APR 26 2006

Bid Proposal
 Page 1 of 4
 April 20, 2006

By The
 Office of The City Clerk

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

In compliance with the Contract Documents, the undersigned hereby proposes to furnish all required labor, materials, supervision, transportation, equipment, services, taxes and incidentals required for:

EGRET PARK – PHASE 2 REBID (LS82)

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

The Work is to be done in strict conformity with the Contract Documents now on file in the Office of the City Clerk, for the following sum:

BASE BID ITEMS

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
1	Site Staking	Job	LS	\$ 5170.00	\$ 5,170.00
2	Erosion Control	Job	LS	\$ 6190.66	\$ 6,190.66
3	Site Clearing & Grubbing	LS	LS	\$ 3163.82	\$ 3,163.82
4	Site Grading	3.4	Ac	\$ 7238.00	\$ 24,609.20
5	Temporary 6' Construction Fence	Job	LS	\$ 2081.15	\$ 2,081.15
6	Adjust Catch Basins/Manholes	2	EA	\$ 2860.00	\$ 5,720.00
7	Catch Basins	2	EA	\$ 2530.00	\$ 5,060.00
8	8" PVC Drain Pipe	140	LF	\$ 31.35	\$ 4,389.00
9	6" PVC Drain Pipe	30	LF	\$ 31.35	\$ 940.50
10	Aggregate Base	192	CY	\$ 86.42	\$ 16,593.98
11	Concrete Paving	475	SF	\$ 10.55	\$ 5,011.60
12	Asphalt Paving	10,050	SF	\$ 4.12	\$ 41,451.85
13	Recycled Header Board	3,775	LF	\$ 2.98	\$ 11,286.01
14	Trash Receptacles	3	EA	\$ 686.78	\$ 2,060.36
15	8' Park Bench	3	EA	\$ 1098.18	\$ 3,294.56

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
16	Automatic Irrigation System	Job	LS	\$ 55485.42	\$ 55,485.42
17	Central Control System	Job	LS	\$ 12975.70	\$ 12,975.70
18	Soil Amendment	137,980	SF	\$.28	\$ 38,965.88
19	Electrical	Job	LS	\$ 242.00	\$ 242.00
20	Bark Mulch	440	CY	\$ 57.56	\$ 25,328.04
21	Trees to Plant (15 gallon)	74	EA	\$ 73.82	\$ 5,463.18
22	Shrubs & Groundcover	217	EA	\$ 7.29	\$ 1,582.99
23	Turf Hydroseeding	19,130	SF	\$.094	\$ 1,802.21
24	No Grow Grass	38,520	SF	\$.11	\$ 4,237.20
25	Ornamental Grass	30,020	SF	\$.63	\$ 18,795.40
26	90 Day Landscape Establishment Period	Job	LS	\$ 3178.56	\$ 3,178.56

CONTRACTOR NAME: PARKER LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT, INC.

TOTAL BASE BID \$305,079.27*

THREE HUNDRED FIVE THOUSAND SEVENTY-NINE DOLLARS & 27/100

If awarded the Agreement, the undersigned agrees to sign said Agreement and furnish the necessary surety bonds and insurance certificates within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of award of Agreement, and to begin work within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Notice to Proceed by the City.

It is understood that this Bid Proposal is based upon completion of the Work within a period of **TWO HUNDRED-TEN (210) CALENDAR DAYS, INCLUDING THE NINETY (90) DAY PLANT ESTABLISHMENT PERIOD**, commencing on the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed issued by the City to the Contractor. The Contractor shall refer to Section 1.2 Completion Time of the Special Provisions for calculation of the completion date.

The Architect's order of preference will be as follows: base bid first, followed by additive alternates in chronological order, based on funds available.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, the City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by the Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

The undersigned has examined the location of the proposed Work, the local conditions at the place where the Work is to be done, is familiar with the Contract Documents and is familiar and expressly agrees to the liquidated damages provision of the Contract Documents.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the foregoing figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this Bid Proposal.

Enclosed is Bid Proposal Guarantee, as required, consisting of a bidder's bond or other acceptable security for not less than ten percent (10%) of the amount Bid Proposal.

The undersigned agrees that all addenda received and acknowledged herein shall become a part of and be included in this Bid Proposal. This Bid Proposal includes the following addenda:

Add. #	<u>1 (one)</u>	DATE	<u>April 20, 2006</u>
Add. #	<u> </u>	DATE	<u> </u>
Add. #	<u> </u>	DATE	<u> </u>

NOTE: State whether your concern is a corporation, a co-partnership, private individual, or individuals doing business under a firm name.

If the Bidder is a corporation, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the corporation and must be signed by a duly authorized officer of the corporation.

If the Bidder is a partnership, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the partnership and one of the partners must subscribe their signature thereto as the authorized representative of the partnership.

AMOUNT OF BID PROPOSAL GUARANTEE ENCLOSED:

(\$ 3,051) not less than ten percent (10%) of amount Bid Proposal

G:\Contract Mgmt\EGRET PARK-PHASE 2\4-26-06 REBID\ADDENDUM #1\Bid Proposal.doc

_____ CERTIFIED CHECK
_____ CASHIER'S CHECK
XX _____ BID BOND
_____ MONEY ORDER
_____ OTHER SECURITY

CONTRACTOR:

By



(Signature)

Ryan K. Parker

(Print or Type)

Title V. President

Address 6251 Sky Creek Dr. #A
Sacramento, Ca. 95828

Telephone No. (916) 383-4071

Fax No. (916) 383-3926

Email Address _____

Date 4-25-06

A-Gen. Engineering Contractor
C-27 Landscaping

Contractor's License No. 855546

Type

Expiration Date March 31, 2007

Tax I.D. Nos.- Fed. 52-2450493

State California

City of Sacramento Business Operation Tax Certificate No.
(City will not award contract if Certificate Number is missing.)

#140622 Exp 3/31/07

DATE BID OPENED <u>4/26/06</u>
EMPLOYEE INITIALS <u>JP</u>
MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY
BID SECURITY
<input type="checkbox"/> NONE REQUIRED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROPERLY SIGNED
BID DEPOSIT TYPE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BID BOND
<input type="checkbox"/> CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK
<input type="checkbox"/> CERTIFIED CHECK
<input type="checkbox"/> CASH
<input type="checkbox"/> CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER
AFTER AWARD OF BID
<input type="checkbox"/> SECURITY RETURNED
<input type="checkbox"/> SECURITY ACCEPTED
EMPLOYEE INITIALS _____

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

1. **Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California Contractor's License Number(s) held by firm:**

A- 3/31/07 C27- 3/31/07

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

Yes No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm's behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

Yes No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

Yes No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

Yes No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

6. Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more **government** construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more **government construction** contracts in Sacramento County for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

OR

B. Your firm has not completed at least three **government** construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

8. Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

Yes

No

OR

B. In the last three years has your firm had a three-year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: $(N/EH) \times 200,000$, where

N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)
EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year
200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)

Yes

No

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

11. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at 6251 Sky Creek Dr #A, on 4-25-06
(Location) (Date)

Signature: 

Print name: Connie Jo Parker

Title: Corp. Sect.

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

**BID PROPOSAL MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.
Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.**

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this Agreement will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition
2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:
 - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
 - b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
 - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program
 - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace
3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this Agreement, employees will be expected to:
 - a. Abide by the terms of the statement
 - b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace
4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the Agreement be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.
5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:
 - a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

* I certify that no person employed by this company, corporation, or business has been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project where this company, corporation or business was performing was within three years of the date of my signature below.

EXCEPTION: _____
Date Violation Type Place of Occurrence

If additional space is required use back of this form.

* The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: PARKER LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT INC

BY: [Signature] CORP SECT. _____ Date: 4-25-06
Signature Title

Effects of violations: a. Suspension of payments under the Agreement b. Suspension or termination of the Agreement. c. Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any Agreement from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years

