

FILED

SEP 13 2008

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

By The
Office of The City Clerk

In compliance with the Contract Documents, the undersigned hereby proposes to furnish all required labor, materials, supervision, transportation, equipment, services, taxes and incidentals required to:

BING MALONEY GOLF CART STORAGE FACILITY (PN: 256737)

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

The Work is to be done in strict conformity with the Contract Documents now on file in the office of the City Clerk, for the following sum:

A. BASE BID PROPOSAL:

~~Eight hundred sixty two thousand~~ eight hundred sixty two thousand
~~eight hundred sixty two thousand~~ eight hundred sixty two thousand 00/100
DOLLARS
(\$ 862,980.00)

B. ALTERNATES:

The Contractor shall price the following Alternative(s), stating the amount to be added to the Base Bid Proposal. The City reserves the right to award the Agreement on the basis of the Base Bid Proposal alone, or with a combination of one or more of the Alternative(s). Failure on the part of any Contractor to list the Alternative(s) may be cause for rejection of the Bid Proposal.

Each Alternate shall conform exactly to the Plans and Specifications. See the drawings and specifications for the description of the Alternates.

- 1. For all additional work for Alternate No. 1, Provide 4" diameter pipe bollards at roll-up doors, add the sum of:

two thousand two hundred twenty nine
DOLLARS (\$ 2,229.00)

- 2. For all additional work for Alternate No. 2, Provide 8 skylights, add the sum of:

four thousand seven hundred fifty six
DOLLARS (\$ 4,756.00)

- 3. For all additional work for Alternate No. 3, add/deduct the sum of:

zero DOLLARS (\$ 0)

Interstate Construction Inc

If awarded the Agreement, the undersigned agrees to sign said Agreement and furnish the necessary surety bonds and insurance certificates within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of award of Agreement, and to begin work within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Notice to Proceed by the City.

It is understood that this Bid Proposal is based upon completion of the Work within a period of **ONE HUNDRED FORTY (140) calendar days**, commencing on the date set forth in the written "Notice to Proceed" issued by the City to the Contractor

The undersigned has examined the location of the proposed Work, the local conditions at the place where the Work is to be done, is familiar with the Contract Documents and is familiar and expressly agrees to the liquidated damages provision of the Contract Documents.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the foregoing figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this Bid Proposal.

Enclosed is Bid Proposal Guarantee, as required, consisting of a bidder's bond or other acceptable security for not less than ten percent (10 %) of the amount Bid Proposal.

The undersigned agrees that all addenda received and acknowledged herein shall become a part of and be included in this Bid Proposal. This Bid Proposal includes the following addenda:

Add. # 1 DATE 8/21/06

Add # 2 DATE 9/08/06

Add. # _____ DATE _____

NOTE: State whether your concern is a corporation, a co-partnership, private individual, or individuals doing business under a firm name.

Corporation

If the Bidder is a corporation, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the corporation and must be signed by a duly authorized officer of the corporation.


If the Bidder is a partnership, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the partnership and one of the partners must subscribe his signature thereto as the authorized representative of the partnership.

AMOUNT OF BID PROPOSAL GUARANTEE ENCLOSED: (Bid Proposal Guarantee Required)
(\$ _____) not less than ten percent (10%) of amount Bid Proposal

_____	CERTIFIED CHECK
_____	MONEY ORDER
_____	CASHIER'S CHECK
<u> X </u>	BID BOND
_____	OTHER SECURITY

CONTRACTOR:

By


(Signature)

Robert A. Riedel

(Print or Type)

Title Secretary/Treasurer

Firm Interstate Construction, Inc.

Address 3915 Security Park Drive, Suite B

Rancho Cordova, CA 95742

Telephone No. 916-357-5477

Fax No. 916-357-5480

Date September 13, 2006

Contractor's License No. 341026 Type A,B,C4,C10,C20,C34,C36

Expiration Date October 31, 2006

Tax I.D. Nos. - Fed 94-2383202 State CA

City of Sacramento Business Operation Tax Certificate No. N/A
(Certificate Number will be received when building permit is issued)

For any person or entity who submits a Bid Proposal, all such information shall be submitted under penalty of perjury.

CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT


State of California

County of Sacramento

On September 13, 2006 before me, Breana R Velasquez, Notary Public
Name and Title of Officer (e.g. "Jane Doe, Notary Public")

personally appeared Robert A. Riedel
Name(s) of Signer(s)

personally known to me -OR- proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.



WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Breana R Velasquez
Signature of Notary Public

OPTIONAL

Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and reattachment of this form to another document

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Bid Proposal Guarantee

Document Date: September 12, 2006 Number of Pages: 3

Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: Robert A. Riedel, Renee Ramsey

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

Signer's Name: Robert A. Riedel

- Individual
- Corporate Officer
- Titles(s): _____
- Partner - Limited General
- Attorney-in-Fact
- Trustee
- Guardian or Conservator
- Other:



Signer's Name: Renee Ramsey

- Individual
 - Corporate Officer
 - Title(s): _____
 - Partner - Limited General
 - Attorney-in-Fact
 - Trustee
 - Guardian or Conservator
 - Other:
- Signed by 2 different Notary.*

Signer Is Representing:

Signer Is Representing:

Interstate Construction, Inc.
3915 Security Park Drive Suite B
Rancho Cordova, CA 95742



CITY OF SACRAMENTO SUBCONTRACTOR and ESBE PARTICIPATION VERIFICATION

To be eligible for award of this contract, the bidder shall list any business entity used to attain the ESBE goal. Additionally, all other subcontractors who perform work, labor, or render service in an amount in excess of one-half of 1 percent of the total bid amount shall be listed. In the case of bids for the construction of streets and highways, including bridges, subcontractors whose subcontract value exceeds one-half (0.5) of one percent of the total bid or ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), whichever is greater, shall be listed. The inclusion of false information will render the bid non-responsive. READ THE ABOVE REQUIREMENT CAREFULLY PLEASE TYPE IN ALL INFORMATION, USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY

Name of Prime Contractor: Interstate Construction	Bid Amount: 802,980.00	Date: 9/13/06	
Business Entity or Subcontractor Name / Address / License Number	Indicate EBE or SBE	Items of Work and/or Description of Work or Service Subcontracted or Materials to be provided to complete contract	Estimated Dollar Value of Work / Services Provided
ZAVES, Rancho Cordova 73210210		Site work	\$32K
Sigra's Asphalt, Rancho Cordova 57414	SBE	Paving	\$54K
All Power Gross Valley 745254	ESBE	Electrical/ Fire Painting	\$170K
Efficient Paint, Rancho Cordova 832793		Garage Door	\$9K
National Garage, Rancho Cordova			

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. On June 8, 2004, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2004-433 establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. 2004-433 by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for purposes of verification and investigation; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

1. Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California Contractor's License Number(s) held by firm:
A, B, C4, C10, C20, C34, C36 : October 31, 2006

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

Yes

No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm's behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

Yes

No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

Yes

No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

Yes

No

6. Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

OR

- B. Your firm has not completed at least three government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes No Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

Yes No

8. Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

- A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

Yes No

OR

- B. In the last three years has your firm had a three-year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: $(N/EH) \times 200,000$, where

N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)
EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year
200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)

Yes No

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

11. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Rancho Cordova, CA, on 9/13/2006
(Location) (Date)
Signature: [Handwritten Signature]
Print name: Robert A. Riedel
Title: Secretary/Treasurer

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

DATE BID OPENED	<u>9-13-06</u>
EMPLOYEE INITIALS	<u>V.M.</u>
MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY	
BID SECURITY	
<input type="checkbox"/> NONE REQUIRED	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROPERLY SIGNED	
BID DEPOSIT TYPE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BID BOND	
<input type="checkbox"/> CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK	
<input type="checkbox"/> CERTIFIED CHECK	
<input type="checkbox"/> CASH	
<input type="checkbox"/> CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER	
AFTER AWARD OF BID	
<input type="checkbox"/> SECURITY RETURNED	
<input type="checkbox"/> SECURITY ACCEPTED	
EMPLOYEE INITIALS	_____
DATE	_____

**DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE
Equal Benefits Ordinance**

Interstate Construction, Inc.
Name of Contractor

3915 Security Park Dr., Suite B Rancho Cordova, CA 95742
Address

The above named contractor ("Contractor") hereby declares and agrees as follows:

1. I have read and understand the Non-Discrimination In Employee Benefits By City Contractors Ordinance ("Ordinance") provided to me by the City of Sacramento ("City") in connection with the City's request for proposals or other solicitations for the performance of services, or for the provision of commodities, under a City contract or agreement ("Contract").
2. As a condition of receiving the City Contract, I agree to fully comply with the requirements of the Ordinance, codified as Chapter 3. 54 of the Sacramento City Code.
3. If the face amount of this City Contract is less than \$25,000, as a condition of receiving this Contract, I agree to notify the City in writing if the aggregate value of the City Contract referenced herein, after changes, modifications, or similar actions, equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total value.
4. I understand, to the extent that such benefits are not preempted or prohibited by federal or state law, employee benefits covered by the Ordinance, are any of the following:
 - a. Bereavement Leave
 - b. Disability, life, and other types of insurance
 - c. Family medical leave
 - d. Health benefits
 - e. Membership or membership discounts
 - f. Moving expenses
 - g. Pension and retirement benefits
 - h. Vacation
 - i. Travel benefits
 - j. Any other benefit offered to employees

I agree that should I offer any of the above listed employee benefits, that I will offer those benefits, without discrimination between employees with spouses and employees with domestic partners, and without discrimination between the spouses and domestic partners of such employees.

5. I understand that I will not be considered to be discriminating in the provision or application of employee benefits under the following conditions or circumstances:

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE
Equal Benefits Ordinance

- a. In the event that the actual cost of providing a benefit to a domestic partner or spouse, exceeds the cost of providing the same benefit to a spouse or domestic partner of an employee, I will not be required to provide the benefit, nor shall it be deemed discriminatory, if I require the employee to pay the monetary difference in order to provide the benefit to the domestic partner or to the spouse.
- b. In the event I am unable to provide a certain benefit, despite taking reasonable measures to do so, if I provide the employee with a cash equivalent, I will not be deemed to be discriminating in the application of that benefit.
- c. If I provide employee benefits neither to employee's spouses nor to employee's domestic partners.
- d. If I provide employee benefits to employees on a basis unrelated to marital or domestic partner status.
- e. If I submit, to the Program Coordinator, written evidence of making reasonable efforts to end discrimination in employee benefits by implementing policies which are to be enacted before the first effective date after the first open enrollment process following the date the Contract is executed with the City.

I understand that any delay in the implementation of such policies may not exceed one (1) year from the date the Contract is executed with the City, and applies only to those employee benefits for which an open enrollment process is applicable.

- f. Until administrative steps can be taken to incorporate, in the infrastructure, nondiscrimination in employee benefits

The time allotted for these administrative steps will apply only to those employee benefits for which administrative steps are necessary and may not exceed three (3) months from the date the Contract is executed with the City.

- g. Until the expiration of a current collective bargaining agreement(s) where, in fact, employee benefits are governed by a collective bargaining agreement(s).

- h. I take all reasonable measures to end discrimination in employee benefits by either requesting the union(s) involved agree to reopen the agreement(s) in order for me to take whatever steps are necessary to end discrimination in employee benefits or by my ending discrimination in employee benefits without reopening the collective bargaining agreement(s).

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE
Equal Benefits Ordinance

- i. In the event I cannot end discrimination in employee benefits despite taking all reasonable measures to do so, I provide a cash equivalent to eligible employees for whom employee benefits (as listed previously), are not available.

Unless otherwise authorized in writing by the City Manager, I understand this cash equivalent must begin at the time the union(s) refuse to allow the collective bargaining agreement(s) to be reopened or no longer than three (3) months from the date the Contract is executed with the City.

6. I understand that failure to comply with the provisions of Section 5. (a) through 4. (i), above, will subject me to possible suspension and/or termination of this Contract for cause; repayment of any or all of the Contract amount disbursed by the City; debarment for future contracts until all penalties and restitution have been paid in full; deemed ineligible for future contracts for up to two (2) years; the imposition of a penalty, payable to the City, in the sum of \$50.00 for each employee, for each calendar day during which the employee was discriminated against in violation of the provisions of the Ordinance.
7. I understand and do hereby agree to provide each current employee and, within ten (10) days of hire, each new employee, of their rights under the Ordinance. I further agree to maintain a copy of each such letter provided, in an appropriate file for possible inspection by an authorized representative of the City. I also agree to prominently display a poster informing each employee of these rights.
8. I understand that I have the right to request an exemption to the benefit provisions of the Ordinance when such a request is submitted to the Procurement Services Division, in writing with sufficient justification for resolution, prior to contract award.

I further understand that the City may request a waiver or exemption to the provisions or requirements of the Ordinance, when only one contractor is available to enter into a contract or agreement to occupy and use City property on terms and conditions established by the City; when sole source conditions exist for goods, services, public project or improvements and related construction services; when there are no responsive bidders to the EBO requirements and the contract is for essential goods or services; when emergency conditions with public health and safety implications exist; or when the contract is for specialized legal services if in the best interest of the City.

-
9. In consideration of the foregoing, I shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless, the City, its officers and employees, against any claims, actions, damages, costs (including reasonable attorney fees), or other liabilities of any kind arising from any violation of the City's Equal Benefits Requirements or of the Ordinance by me.

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE
Equal Benefits Ordinance

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am authorized to bind the Contractor to the provisions of this Declaration.



Signature of Authorized Representative

September 13, 2006

Date

Robert A. Riedel

Print Name

Secretary/Treasurer

Title