

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 Department of Parks and Recreation
 Park Planning, Design and Development

FILED

MAY 02 2007

Bid Proposal
 Page 1 of 5

CONTRACTOR NAME: _____

By The
 Office of The City Clerk
 BRCO Constructors, Inc.

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

In compliance with the Contract Documents, the undersigned hereby proposes to furnish all required labor, materials, supervision, transportation, equipment, services, taxes and incidentals required for:

WITTER RANCH PARK – PHASE 2 (LU93)

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

The Work is to be done in strict conformity with the Contract Documents now on file in the Office of the City Clerk, for the following sum:

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
1.	Site Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS	\$ 10000	\$ 10000
2.	Temporary Construction Fence	1	LS	\$ 3000	\$ 3000
3.	Tree to be Removed	2	EA	\$ 500	\$ 1000
4.	Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	\$ 7000	\$ 7000
5.	Site Grading and Construction Staking	1	LS	\$ 37000	\$ 37000
6.	Play Area Drain to Construct	1	EA	\$ 3000	\$ 3000
7.	6" PVC Gravity Drain Pipe to Place	212	LF	\$ 65	\$ 13780
8.	Domestic Water Line to Install	75	LF	\$ 80	\$ 6000
9.	Sewer Clean-Out to Install	2	EA	\$ 500	\$ 1000
10.	4" Sanitary Sewer Pipe to Install	210	LF	\$ 90	\$ 18900
11.	Aggregate Base to Place	9	CY	\$ 150	\$ 1350
12.	Concrete Pavement to Construct	750	SF	\$ 20	\$ 15000
13.	Decomposed Granite Paving to Construct	90	SF	\$ 10	\$ 900
14.	Play Area Curb to Construct	190	LF	\$ 40	\$ 7600

15.	Concrete Mowstrip to Construct	94	LF	\$ 20	\$ 1880
16.	Play Area Ramp to Construct	1	EA	\$ 2000	\$ 2000
17.	Play Structure at Tot Lot Area to Install	1	LS	\$ 40000	\$ 40000
18.	Swings to Install	1	LS	\$ 7500	\$ 7500
19.	Horse & Foal Climbing Sculpture to Install	1	LS	\$ 23000	\$ 23000
20.	Wood Fiber to Install	1	LS	\$ 5415	\$ 5415
21.	Shade Shelter to Install	1	LS	\$ 60000	\$ 60000
22.	Bench to Install	2	EA	\$ 500	\$ 1000
23.	Trash Receptacle to Install	1	EA	\$ 400	\$ 400
24.	Automatic Irrigation System to Install	1	LS	\$ 8000	\$ 8000
25.	Site Electrical to Install	1	LS	\$ 14000	\$ 14000
26.	15 gallon Trees to Install	12	EA	\$ 200	\$ 2400
27.	24" box Tree to Plant	1	EA	\$ 600	\$ 600
28.	Turf Sod to Install	13,250	SF	\$.70	\$ 9275
29.	Plant Establishment (90 day)	1	LS	\$ 5000	\$ 5000
30.	Prefabricated Restroom to Install	1	LS	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000

BASE BID SUBTOTAL \$ 426000

ADDITIVE ALTERNATE BID ITEMS:

A1	Concrete Path between Play Areas	1	LS	\$ 5000	\$ 5000
A2	Play Area Entry Arch to Install	1	LS	\$ 5000	\$ 5000
A3	Wood Fence to Install	1	LS	\$ 3000	\$ 3000
A4	Tot Lot Structure Barn Roof	1	LS	\$ 60000	\$ 60000

ADDITIVE ALTERNATE SUBTOTAL \$ 73000

BASE BID PLUS ADDITIVE ALTERNATE TOTAL \$ 499000

(F) – denotes final pay quantity

CONTRACTOR NAME: BRCO Constructors, Inc. TOTAL \$ 499000

If awarded the Agreement, the undersigned agrees to sign said Agreement and furnish the necessary surety bonds and insurance certificates within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of award of Agreement, and to begin work within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Notice to Proceed by the City.

It is understood that this Bid Proposal is based upon completion of the Work within a period of **ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY (120) CALENDAR DAYS**. The undersigned agrees that all underground work for this project will be completed before the rainy season begins. The Contractor shall refer to Section 1.2 Completion Time of the Special Provisions for calculation of the completion date.

The Landscape Architect's order of preference will be as follows: base bid first, followed by additive alternates in chronological order, based on funds available.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, the City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by the Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

The undersigned has examined the location of the proposed Work, the local conditions at the place where the Work is to be done, is familiar with the Contract Documents and is familiar and expressly agrees to the liquidated damages provision of the Contract Documents. The undersigned has checked carefully all of the foregoing figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this Bid Proposal.

Enclosed is Bid Proposal Guarantee, as required, consisting of a bidder's bond or other acceptable security for not less than ten percent (10%) of the amount Bid Proposal.

The undersigned agrees that all addenda received and acknowledged herein shall become a part of and be included in this Bid Proposal. This Bid Proposal includes the following addenda:

Add. # 1 DATE 4-23-07
Add. # _____ DATE _____
Add. # _____ DATE _____

NOTE: State whether your concern is a corporation, a co-partnership, private individual, or individuals doing business under a firm name.

Corporation, incorporated in the State of California

If the Bidder is a corporation, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the corporation and must be signed by a duly authorized officer of the corporation.

If the Bidder is a partnership, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the partnership and one of the partners must subscribe their signature thereto as the authorized representative of the partnership.


AMOUNT OF BID PROPOSAL GUARANTEE ENCLOSED:

(\$ 10% of Total Bid) not less than ten percent (10%) of amount Bid Proposal

XX

CERTIFIED CHECK
CASHIER'S CHECK
BID BOND
MONEY ORDER
OTHER SECURITY

CONTRACTOR: BRCO Constructors, Inc.

By 
(Signature)

Bob Pasko
(Print or Type)

Title Vice-President
Address P.O. Box 367
Loomis, CA 95650
Telephone No. (916) 652-3868
Fax No. (916) 652-3922

EMAIL ADDRESS bpasko@brcoconstructors.com

Date May 2, 2007

Contractor's License No. 511602 Type A & B

Expiration Date 6-30-07

Tax I.D. Nos.- Fed. 68-0125305 State 345-9305-3

City of Sacramento Business Operation Tax Certificate No. _____
(City will not award contract if Certificate Number is missing.)

Will provide if low bidder

DATE BID OPENED <u>5-2-07</u>
EMPLOYEE INITIALS <u>V.M.</u>
MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY
BID SECURITY
<input type="checkbox"/> NONE REQUIRED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROPERLY SIGNED
BID DEPOSIT TYPE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BID BOND
<input type="checkbox"/> CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK
<input type="checkbox"/> CERTIFIED CHECK
<input type="checkbox"/> CASH
<input type="checkbox"/> CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER
AFTER AWARD OF BID
<input type="checkbox"/> SECURITY RETURNED
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SECURITY ACCEPTED
EMPLOYEE INITIALS _____

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. On June 8, 2004, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2004-433 establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. 2004-433 by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for purposes of verification and investigation; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

1. Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California Contractor's License Number(s) held by firm:

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

Yes

No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm's behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

Yes

No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

Yes

No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

6. Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more **government** construction contracts **in Sacramento County** within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm **been assessed** liquidated damages on three or more **government construction** contracts **in Sacramento County** for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

OR

B. Your firm has not completed at least three **government** construction contracts **in Sacramento County** within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

8. Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation **occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County** at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

Yes

No

OR

B. In the last three years has your firm had a three-year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: $(N/EH) \times 200,000$, where

N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)

EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year

200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)

Yes

No

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

11. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at 5900 King Rd., Loomis, Placer Co., CA, on May 2, 2007.
(Location) (Date)

Signature: 

Print name: Bob Pasko

Title: Vice-President

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE SEALED BID PROPOSAL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO SUBCONTRACTOR and ESBE PARTICIPATION VERIFICATION

To be eligible for award of this contract, the bidder shall list any business entity used to attain the ESBE goal. Additionally, all other subcontractors who perform work, labor, or render service in an amount in excess of one-half of 1 percent of the total bid amount shall be listed. In the case of bids for the construction of streets and highways, including bridges, subcontractors whose subcontract value exceeds one-half (0.5) of one percent of the total bid or ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), whichever is greater, shall be listed. Estimated dollar values shall be provided for all work / services listed. The inclusion of false information or the omission of required information will render the bid non-responsive. **READ THE ABOVE REQUIREMENT CAREFULLY**

Name of Prime Contractor: BRCO Constructors, Inc.	N/A	Bid Amount: 426,000	Date: May 2, 2007
Business Entity or Subcontractor Name and Location	Indicate EBE or SBE (subject to verification)	Items of Work and/or Description of Work or Service Subcontracted or Materials to be provided to complete contract	Estimated Dollar Value of Work / Services Provided
Takehana - Sac	N/A	landscape	20000
PTS - Sac	N/A	masonry	15000
Ross - Folsom	N/A	playground equip.	62000
SLS - Rocklin	SBE	site structures	100000
Dang Electric - Sac	N/A	electrical	10000

RP

EBE AND SBE CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS ARE DUE BY THE CLOSE OF BUSINESS TWO DAYS AFTER BID OPENING

ADDENDUM 1 DATE: April 23, 2007

Witter Ranch Park Phase 2 - LU93

Item #1 - General Questions from bidders and the appropriate responses

- 1.1 *I need information on the "Spring Rider" noted on sheet 7 of 14 for the above referenced project. The plans state "PER SPECS" but I have been unable to find anything on the rider in the specs. Can you please let me know the manufacturer and model number of this component? There is no line item on the bid sheet for this rider. Do you want it added to line item #17 "Play Structure at Tot Lot Area to Install"? The manufacturer is Landscape Structures. This item, Custom Spring Rider Cow is available from Ross Recreation Equipment Company; Representative: Chris Tait at phone 916.985.6349, fax 916.985.6421. There is no model number. Ross Recreation is aware of the type & design of this item. The cost for this item shall be included in the "Play Structure at Tot Lot Area To Install".*
- 1.2 *Also, on sheet 5 of 14, there is a Bronze Pig Sculpture that states "INSTALLED BY ARTIST" with a detail on sheet 7 (detail 3) showing the Base that needs to be constructed to set the sculpture on. There is no Line Item on the Bid Sheet for this base. What line item do you want this in? The cost for concrete work, concrete pad and foundation piers, shall be included in the Concrete Flatwork line item. The cost for the soil backfill and decomposed granite shall be figured, respectively, in the soil grading line item and the decomposed granite line item.*
- 1.3 *Can a Contractor that is City of Sacramento certified as an ESBE entity need to find other ESBE subs to do the 20% of the work if the Contractor can fulfill the 20% minimum requirement? No, as long as the requirements are satisfied as described in the ESBE Program Requirements section, III. DETERMINATION OF ESBE PARTICIPATION LEVEL.*

THE ORIGINAL BID DUE DATE OF MAY 2, 2007 REMAINS THE SAME.

This addendum is issued in conformance with the original plans and specifications. Unchanged portions of the plans and special provisions remain in effect.

BRCO Constructors, Inc.

NAME AND TITLE: Bob Pasko, Vice-PresidentSIGNATURE:  Date May 2, 2007

JURAT

State of California

County of Placer

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on

this 2nd day of May, 2007,

by Bob Pasko

personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.



(seal)

Signature [Handwritten Signature]

