

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 Department of Parks & Recreation
 Park Planning, Design & Development

MAY 30 2007

Bid Proposal
 Page 1 of 4

By The
 Office of The City Clerk

CONTRACTOR NAME: Biondi Paving, Inc

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

In compliance with the Contract Documents, the undersigned hereby proposes to furnish all required labor, materials, supervision, transportation, equipment, services, taxes and incidentals required for:

**Curtis Park Tennis and Basketball Court Renovations
 (LY01)**

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

The Work is to be done in strict conformity with the Contract Documents now on file in the Office of the City Clerk, for the following sum:

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
BASE BID ITEMS					
1	Site Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 2500.00
2	Additional Protection of Facilities	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 1700.00
3	Tennis Court Preparation	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 13,200.00
4	Petromat Overlay	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 3100.00
5	Asphalt Overlay	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 15,900.00
6	Color Coat Resurfacing	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 10,300.00
7	Tennis Court Striping	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 1200.00
8	Equipment	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 4900.00
BASE BID SUBTOTAL					\$ 52,800.00
ADDITIONAL ALTERNATE ITEMS					
A1	Site Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 700.00
A2	Additional Protection of Facilities	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 1100.00
A3	Basketball Court Preparation	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	\$ 1200.00

A4	Petromat Overlay	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	<u>\$ 1200.00</u>
A5	Asphalt Overlay	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	<u>\$ 7500.00</u>
A6	Basketball Court Striping	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	<u>\$ 700.00</u>
A7	Equipment	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	<u>\$ 6000.00</u>
A8	Bench	1	LS	\$ Lump Sum	<u>\$ 1100.00</u>
ADDITIONAL ALTERNATE SUBTOTAL					<u>\$ 19,500.00</u>
BASE BID AND ADD. ALT. TOTAL					<u>\$ 72,300.00</u>

(F) – denotes final pay quantity

CONTRACTOR NAME: Biondi Paving, Inc. TOTAL \$ 72,300.00

If awarded the Agreement, the undersigned agrees to sign said Agreement and furnish the necessary surety bonds and insurance certificates within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of award of Agreement, and to begin work within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Notice to Proceed by the City.

It is understood that this Bid Proposal is based upon completion of the Work within a period of **FORTY-FIVE (45) CALENDAR DAYS**, commencing on the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed issued by the City to the Contractor. The Contractor shall refer to Section 1.2 Completion Time of the Special Provisions for calculation of the completion date.

The Landscape Architect's order of preference will be as follows; base bid first followed by additive alternates in chronological order.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, the City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by the Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

The undersigned has examined the location of the proposed Work, the local conditions at the place where the Work is to be done, is familiar with the Contract Documents and is familiar and expressly agrees to the liquidated damages provision of the Contract Documents.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the foregoing figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this Bid Proposal.

Enclosed is Bid Proposal Guarantee, as required, consisting of a bidder's bond or other acceptable security for not less than ten percent (10%) of the amount Bid Proposal.

The undersigned agrees that all addenda received and acknowledged herein shall become a part of and be included in this Bid Proposal. This Bid Proposal includes the following addenda:

Add. #	<u>1</u>	DATE	<u>May 23, 2007</u>
Add. #	_____	DATE	_____
Add. #	_____	DATE	_____

NOTE: State whether your concern is a corporation, a co-partnership, private individual, or individuals doing business under a firm name.

CORPORATION

If the Bidder is a corporation, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the corporation and must be signed by a duly authorized officer of the corporation.

If the Bidder is a partnership, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the partnership and one of the partners must subscribe their signature thereto as the authorized representative of the partnership.

AMOUNT OF BID PROPOSAL GUARANTEE ENCLOSED:

(\$ 10%) not less than ten percent (10%) of amount Bid Proposal

_____	CERTIFIED CHECK
_____	CASHIER'S CHECK
<u>X</u>	BID BOND
_____	MONEY ORDER
_____	OTHER SECURITY

CONTRACTOR:
By [Signature]
(Signature)

STEPHAN BIONDI
(Print or Type)

Title PRESIDENT
Address 8150 37TH AVE
SACRAMENTO, CA 95824
Telephone No. 916.383.5982
Fax No. 916.383.3077

Email RWTACKETT@BIONDIPLANNING.COM
Date 5.30.07

Contractor's License No. 505422 Type A, B, C12
Expiration Date 2-29-07
Tax I.D. Nos.- Fed. 68-0402221 State CA
City of Sacramento Business Operation Tax Certificate No. 75131
(City will not award contract if Certificate Number is missing.)

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS,

That we, Biondi Paving, Inc.

as Principal, and Great American Insurance Company

a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of Ohio and duly licensed to become sole surety on bonds required or authorized by the State of California, as Surety, are held and firmly bound unto the City of Sacramento, hereinafter called the City, in the penal sum of ten percent (10%) of the (BASE OR LUMP SUM) Proposal of the Principal above named, or other amount as set forth in the Invitation to Bidders, submitted by said Principal to the City for the Work described below, for the payment of which sum in lawful money of the United States, well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves, our heirs, executors, administrators and successors, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents.

THE CONDITION OF THIS OBLIGATION IS SUCH

That whereas the Principal has submitted the above-mentioned Proposal to the City, for which Proposals are to be opened on the 2nd floor of Historic City Hall, 915 I Street, Sacramento, California, on MAY 30, 2007 for the Work specifically described as follows:

**CURTIS PARK TENNIS & BASKETBALL COURT RENOVATIONS
(LY01)**

NOW, THEREFORE, if the aforesaid Principal is awarded the Contract and within the time and manner required under the Contract Documents, enters into a written Contract, in the prescribed form, in accordance with the Proposal, and files two (2) bonds with the City, one to guarantee faithful performance and the other to guarantee payment for labor and materials, and files the required insurance policies with the City, all as required by the Contract Documents or by law, then the obligation shall be null and void; otherwise it shall be and remain in full force and effect.

In the event suit is brought upon this bond by the Obligee and judgment is recovered, the Surety shall pay all costs incurred by the Obligee in such suit, including a reasonable attorney's fee to be fixed by the court, which sums shall be additional to the principal amount of this bond.

IN WITNESS THEREOF We have hereunto set our hands and seal this 29
day of May 2007.

Biondi Paving, Inc.
PRINCIPAL
8150 37th Avenue
Address
Sacramento, CA 95824-2306

Great American Insurance Company
SURETY
1350 Treat Blvd., Ste. #210
Address
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

NOTARY

NOTARY
Richard W. Pratt, Attorney-in-Fact

COPY

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. On June 8, 2004, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2004-433 establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. 2004-433 by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for purposes of verification and investigation; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: All of the following questions regarding “your firm” refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm’s owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is “yes”, or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

1. **Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California Contractor’s License Number(s) held by firm:**

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

Yes No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm’s behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

Yes No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

Yes No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

Yes No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

6. Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more **government** construction contracts **in Sacramento County** within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm **been assessed** liquidated damages on three or more **government construction** contracts **in Sacramento County** for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

OR

B. Your firm has not completed at least three **government** construction contracts **in Sacramento County** within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

Yes

No

Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

8. Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation **occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County** at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

Yes

No

OR

B. In the last three years has your firm had a three-year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: $(N/EH) \times 200,000$, where

N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)

EH = total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year

200,000 = base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)

Yes

No

N/A

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes

No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

11. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

Yes No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at SACRAMENTO, CA, on 5.30.07.
(Location) (Date)

Signature: 

Print name: STEPHAN BIONDI

Title: PRESIDENT

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2004-433

DATE ADOPTED: June 8, 2004

