FILED

SEP 12 2007

By The
Office of The City Clerk

JM Slover Inc

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Department of Parks & Recreation
Park Planning, Design & Development

CONTRACTOR NAME: JM Slover Inc.

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA:

In compliance with the Contract Documents, the undersigned hereby proposes to furnish all required labor, materials, supervision, transportation, equipment, services, taxes and incidentals required for:

Brookfield/Mack Landscaping
PN: LQ01

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

The Work is to be done in strict conformity with the Contract Documents now on file in the Office of the City Clerk, for the following sum:

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
1	Site Clearing and Grubbing	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 6110 —
2	Erosion and Sediment Control	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 2920 —
3	Temporary Construction Fence	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 1306 —
4	Demolition	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 1071 —
5	Aggregate Base	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 4541 —
6	Concrete Flatwork	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 15485 —
7	Fold-Down Bollard	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 940 —
8	Post and Cable Fence	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 5367. —
9	Automatic Irrigation System	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ GMS 32350 —
10	Control System	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 1900 —
11	Trees to Install	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 10240 —
12	Shrubs and Groundcover to Install	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 37395 —
13	Landscape Maintenance (180 days)	1	LS	$ Lump Sum	$ 8240 —

CONTRACTOR NAME: JM Slover Inc. TOTAL $ 127865.00

If awarded the Agreement, the undersigned agrees to sign said Agreement and furnish the necessary surety bonds and insurance certificates within ten (10) days after receipt of the notice of award of Agreement, and to begin work within fifteen (15) days after receipt of the Notice to Proceed by the City.

It is understood that this Bid Proposal is based upon completion of the Work within a period of **FORTY-FIVE (45) CALENDAR DAYS,** commencing on the date set forth in the written Notice to Proceed issued by the City to the Contractor. The Contractor shall refer to Section 1.2 Completion Time of the Special Provisions for calculation of the completion date.

The Landscape Architect's order of preference will be as follows; base bid first followed by additive alternates in chronological order.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, the City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by the Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

The undersigned has examined the location of the proposed Work, the local conditions at the place where the Work is to be done, is familiar with the Contract Documents and is familiar and expressly agrees to the liquidated damages provision of the Contract Documents.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the foregoing figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this Bid Proposal.

Enclosed is Bid Proposal Guarantee, as required, consisting of a bidder's bond or other acceptable security for not less than ten percent (10%) of the amount Bid Proposal.

The undersigned agrees that all addenda received and acknowledged herein shall become a part of and be included in this Bid Proposal. This Bid Proposal includes the following addenda:

Add. # _____ DATE _____

Add. # _____ DATE _____

Add. # _____ DATE _____

NOTE: State whether your concern is a corporation, a co-partnership, private individual, or individuals doing business under a firm name.

Corporation

If the Bidder is a corporation, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the corporation and must be signed by a duly authorized officer of the corporation.

If the Bidder is a partnership, the Bid Proposal must be executed in the name of the partnership and one of the partners must subscribe their signature thereto as the authorized representative of the partnership.

AMOUNT OF BID PROPOSAL GUARANTEE ENCLOSED:

($ 10%) not less than ten percent (10%) of amount Bid Proposal

_____ CERTIFIED CHECK
_____ CASHIER'S CHECK
X BID BOND
_____ MONEY ORDER
_____ OTHER SECURITY

CONTRACTOR:

By _____
(Signature)

John M. Slover
(Print or Type)

Title President
Address 1630 Lotus Road
Placerville, CA 95667
Telephone No. 530-621-4815
Fax No. 530-621-4869
Email jmslover@wildblue.net
Date 9/12/07

PLEASE WRITE CLEARLY

Contractor's License No. 615956 Type A / C-27

Expiration Date 3/31/08

Tax I.D. Nos.- Fed. 20-3963906 State 377 4592 4

City of Sacramento Business Operation Tax Certificate No. 125125
(City will not award contract if Certificate Number is missing.)

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code Section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening in order to bid. On July 31, 2007, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2007-574 establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on the subject project.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. 2007-574 by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. Bidder responses shall be limited to those operating business units, offices, branches and/or subsidiary divisions of the bidder that will be involved with the performance of any project work if awarded the contract. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a qualified bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a qualified bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for purposes of verification and investigation; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2007-574

DATE ADOPTED: July 31, 2007

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: **For firms that maintain other operating business units, offices, branches and/or subsidiary divisions that will not be involved with the performance of any project work if the firm is awarded the contract, references hereafter to "your firm" shall mean only those operating business units, offices, branches and/or subsidiary divisions that will be involved with the performance of any project work.**

All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years

The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

1. **Classification & Expiration Date(s) of California** Contractor's License Number(s) held by firm:

   615956 - A / C-27 - 03/31/08

2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?

   ☐ Yes ☒ No

3. Within the last five years, has a surety firm completed a contract on your firm's behalf, or paid for completion of a contract to which your firm was a party, because your firm was considered to be in default or was terminated for cause by the project owner?

   ☐ Yes ☒ No

4. At the time of submitting this minimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ineligible to bid on or be awarded a public works contract, or perform as a subcontractor on a public works contract, pursuant to either California Labor Code section 1777.1 (prevailing wage violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7 (apprenticeship violations)?

   ☐ Yes ☒ No

5. At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?

   ☐ Yes ☒ No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2007-574

DATE ADOPTED: July 31, 2007

6. Answer either subsection A or B, as applicable:

A. Your firm has completed three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County_within the last five years: Within those five years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts in Sacramento County for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging the assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last five years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not applicable

OR

B. Your firm has not completed at least three government construction contracts in Sacramento County within the last five years: Within the last three years, has your firm been assessed liquidated damages on three or more government construction contracts for failure to complete contract work on time?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging an assessment of liquidated damages on a government contract within the last three years, you need not include that contract in responding to this question.

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not applicable

7. In the last three years has your firm been debarred from bidding on, or completing, any government agency or public works construction contract for any reason?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action challenging a debarment, you need not include that debarment in responding to this question.

☐ Yes ☒ No

8. Has CAL OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation occurring on construction projects performed in Sacramento County at any time within the last three years?

NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.

☐ Yes ☒ No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2007-574

DATE ADOPTED: July 31, 2007

9. Answer either subsection A or B, as preferred:

A. In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers' Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?

☐ Yes ☒ No

OR

B. In the last three years has your firm had a three-year average incident rate for total lost workday cases exceeding 10?

NOTE: **Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 full-time workers and is to be calculated as: (N/EH) x 200,000, where**

N	=	**number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)**
EH	=	**total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year**
200,000	=	**base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)**

☐ Yes ☐ No

10. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against the project owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor in Sacramento County?

NOTE: **If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.**

☐ Yes ☒ No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2007-574

DATE ADOPTED: July 31, 2007

11. In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single penalty of $100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against the project owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was the contractor in Sacramento County?

NOTE: **If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.**

☐ Yes ☒ No

12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: **If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.**

☐ Yes ☒ No

13. In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times?

NOTE: **If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question.**

☐ Yes ☒ No

14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of $50,000 or more?

NOTE: **If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.**

☐ Yes ☒ No

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2007-574

DATE ADOPTED: July 31, 2007

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, certify and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to this Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in these Questionnaire answers are true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed at Placerville, CA (Location), on 9/12/07 (Date).

Signature: _____

Print name: John M. Slover

Title: President

NOTE: If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must submit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.

DATE BID OPENED 9-12-07
EMPLOYEE INITIALS V.M.
MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY

BID SECURITY
( ) NONE REQUIRED
(X) PROPERLY SIGNED

BID DEPOSIT TYPE
(X) BID BOND
( ) CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK
( ) CERTIFIED CHECK
( ) CASH
( ) CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER

AFTER AWARD OF BID
( ) SECURITY RETURNED
( ) SECURITY ACCEPTED
EMPLOYEE INITIALS _____
DATE _____

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: 2007-574

DATE ADOPTED: July 31, 2007

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

BID PROPOSAL MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.
Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this Agreement will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition.

2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:

   a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
   b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
   c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program.
   d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.

3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this Agreement, employees will be expected to:

   a. Abide by the terms of the statement.
   b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace.

4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the Agreement be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.

5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:

   a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
   b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

* I certify that no person employed by this company, corporation, or business has been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project where this company, corporation or business was performing was within three years of the date of my signature below.

EXCEPTION: _____ _____ _____
Date **Violation Type** **Place of Occurrence**

If additional space is required use back of this form.

*** The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.**

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: JM Slover Inc.

BY: John M. Slover, President **Date:** 9/12/07
Signature **Title**

Effects of violations: **a.** Suspension of payments under the Agreement. **b.** Suspension or termination of the Agreement. **c.** Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any Agreement from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

THIS FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THE SEALED BID PROPOSAL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO SUBCONTRACTOR and ESBE PARTICIPATION VERIFICATION

To be eligible for award of this contract, the bidder shall list any business entity used to attain the ESBE goal. Additionally, all other subcontractors who perform work, labor, or render service in an amount in excess of one-half of 1 percent of the total bid amount shall be listed. In the case of bids for the construction of streets and highways, including bridges, subcontractors whose subcontract value exceeds one-half (0.5) of one percent of the total bid or ten thousand dollars ($10,000), whichever is greater, shall be listed. Estimated dollar values shall be provided for all work / services listed. The inclusion of false information or the omission of required information will render the bid non-responsive. **READ THE ABOVE REQUIREMENT CAREFULLY**

Name of Prime Contractor: JM Slover Inc. | Bid Amount: 127,865.00 | Date: 9/12/07

Business Entity or Subcontractor Name and Location	EBE/SBE Indicate EBE or SBE (subject to verification)	Items of Work and/or Description of Work or Service Subcontracted or Materials to be provided to complete contract	Estimated Dollar Value of Work / Services Provided
Pawprint Equipment - Placerville, CA	SBE	Grading + Aggregate	$ 7,910 —
Diamond Concrete - Sacramento, CA	SBE	Concrete	13,107 —
Dombiel Electric - El Dorado, CA	—	Electrical	4,000 —
~~Chuck Cadman - Sacramento, CA~~	—	~~Fence~~	~~4,545~~
Arrow Fence, Rocklin	—	Fence	3515. —

Page 1 of 1

COPY AND ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY

FM 440 (Rev. 2/25/04)

ESBE AND SBE CERTIFICATION STATEMENTS ARE DUE BY THE CLOSE OF BUSINESS TWO DAYS AFTER BID OPENING