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FY 2023 HUD CoC Funding Eligibility for Sacramento CoC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Renewal Demand (ARD)</td>
<td>$29,644,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1 (93% of ARD)</td>
<td>$27,569,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoC Bonus</td>
<td>$2,075,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2 (7% of ARD + CoC Bonus)</td>
<td>$4,150,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus</td>
<td>$1,148,221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eligible Programs

- Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)
- Rapid Re-Housing (RRH)
- Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Re-Housing (TH-RRH)
- Coordinated Entry (CE)
- Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
- Planning Projects
HUD Review & Rank Policies

• Independent panel/committee must rank CoC applications (the “Local Competition”)
• Applications ranked in sequential order starting with #1 as highest ranked
• Ranked applications are grouped into a Tier 1 and a Tier 2
• Adopted ranking, or Priority Listing, must be publicly posted at least 15 days before the application deadline (Sept. 13th)
HUD Review & Rank Policies

**Tier 1:** 93% of the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD)
Likely to be funded

**Tier 2:** Remaining amount of ARD plus CoC Bonus
May or may not be funded

**DV Bonus:** Funds a DV Bonus Project in addition to the ARD and CoC Bonus, as determined by HUD
CoC Review & Rank Policies
Automatic Tier 1 Ranking for Renewal Projects

Top of Tier 1:
Exceeding Performance Thresholds

Bottom of Tier 1:
#1 Less than 18 mos. of op. data

Bottom of Tier 1:
#2 HMIS Projects

Bottom of Tier 1:
#3 CE Renewal Projects
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Competition Applications Due</td>
<td>August 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and Rank Panel Meeting</td>
<td>Sept. 5 - 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Priority Listing Posted</td>
<td>Sept. 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline to submit formal appeals to Appeals Panel</td>
<td>Sept. 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of Priority Listing to CoC Board</td>
<td>Sept. 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopted Priority Listing posted</td>
<td>Sept. 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for posting CoC Consolidated Application</td>
<td>Sept. 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing Date for CoC Consolidated Applications</td>
<td>Sept. 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Review & Rank Process

Review & Rank Panel

- 6 members
- 4 Persons with Lived Expertise (PLEs)
- 3 Project Review Committee Members
Review and Rank Process

1. Individual review and scoring of applications
2. Convene Review and Rank Panel to discuss questions and identify clarifying questions for applicants
3. Email clarifying questions to applicants
4. Analyze Scoring Factors with a large divergence of scores or scoring inconsistencies, and make adjustments as necessary
5. Review applicant responses to clarifying questions
6. Reviewers individually modify scores by up to 25% of total possible score based on applicant responses to clarifying questions
Review and Rank Process

7. All scores are averaged by Scoring Factor and Total by application.
8. Projects are ordered by total average score.
9. Projects are placed in the Preliminary Priority Listing, ordered by total average score.
10. Panel discusses ranking order and considers any adjustments.
11. Panel finalize ranking order and sends the Preliminary Priority Listing to applicants.
## CoC Review & Rank Process
### Ranked Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exceeded performance thresholds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 18 months of operating data</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMIS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scored Applications</strong></td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>34</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HousingTools
CoC Review & Rank Process

Ranked Projects

- Auto Tier 1, 13
- CE, 2
- HMIS, 1

Applications to Score, 18

- PSH Renewals, 9
- New Projects, 6
- RRH Renewals, 3

Applications to Score, 18
CoC Review & Rank Process
Ranked Projects

Tier 1: $27,569,330
• 25 Renewal Projects
• 3 New Projects
• 2 Coordinated Entry Projects
• 1 HMIS Project
• New Vision Project (VOA Reallocation) straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2
CoC Review & Rank Process
Ranked Projects

Tier 2: $4,150,222
• 1 New DV Bonus Project
• 1 New Reallocation Project
• 1 New Project
• Preliminary Priority Listing shows funding scenarios with and without DV Bonus award
• SHELTER Inc. voluntarily moved their New Leaf Sacramento DV Bonus project from Ranking #16 in Tier 1 to Ranking #32 in Tier 2.
• This moved most of SHELTER Inc.’s New Vision (VOA Reallocation) project into Tier 1.
• If the CoC receives the DV Bonus, New Leaf Sacramento will be funded with those funds and not Tier 2 funds.