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This memorandum documents the transportation and site access analysis of the proposed Maverik gas station project at 3855 \& 3875 Power Inn Road, located on the north side of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east of Power Inn Road in Sacramento, California. The project would include a gas station with 10 fueling positions and a convenience store comprised of 5,951 square feet.

This memorandum is organized into the following sections:

- Baseline Conditions
- Baseline Plus Project Conditions
- Project Access \& On-Site Circulation


## Baseline Conditions

## Project Site Setting

Figure 1 shows the project site location. The project site is located on a vacant lot at the northeast corner of the Power Inn Road and $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection. Granite Regional Park (GRP) is located immediately east of the project site.

Along the project site frontage (north of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue), Power Inn Road is six lanes. South of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Power Inn Road is four lanes plus a two-way left-turn lane (TWLTL). The speed limit is posted at 45 miles per hour and the roadway carries approximately 40,000 vehicles per weekday. To the north, Power Inn Road provides connections to Highway 50 at the Howe Avenue/Power Inn Road interchange, before continuing north as Howe Avenue towards Fair Oaks and Arden-Arcade. To the south, Power Inn Road serves southeast Sacramento, Elk Grove, and parts of unincorporated Sacramento County.


Figure 1

Study Area

West of Power Inn Road, $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is two lanes plus a TWLTL and has a posted speed limit of 40 miles per hour. East of Power Inn Road, $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue is a minor two-lane roadway that dead-ends approximately one-half mile east of Power Inn Road. The City of Sacramento Capital Improvement Program (CIP) includes a project to extend $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east to Florin-Perkins Road (CIP Project \#T15098600).

Sacramento Regional Transit (SacRT) operates transit service within the project site vicinity. SacRT Gold Line light rail service is available at Power Inn Station approximately one-half mile north of the project site, providing light rail connections east towards Folsom and west towards Downtown Sacramento. SacRT also operates the Granite Park Shuttle, a fixed-route bus service between Power Inn Station and the Granite Regional Park mixed-use complex. The shuttle is fare free and operates Monday through Friday on 15-minute headways throughout the day.

There are sidewalks on both sides of Power Inn Road and on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue west of Power Inn Road. The Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection provides marked crosswalks on the east, south, and west legs of the intersection. Sidewalks and bicycle facilities are not currently provided on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east of Power Inn Road.

Class II bike lanes are provided in both directions on Power Inn Road and on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue west of Power Inn Road.

## Methodology

This study analyzes traffic conditions at the study intersection using Level of Service (LOS) as a measure of operational performance. LOS is a qualitative measure of traffic flow from the perspective of motorists and is an indication of the comfort associated with driving. Typical factors that affect LOS include speed, travel time, and traffic interruptions. Empirical LOS criteria and methods of calculation have been documented in the Highway Capacity Manual, Gh Edition (Transportation Research Board, 2016). LOS is a letter classification system, from A (representing free-flow traffic conditions) to $F$ (oversaturated conditions where traffic demand exceeds capacity, resulting in long queues and delays). These methodologies were implemented using SimTraffic 10 software.

This study analyzes peak hour operations at the following intersection:

1. Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue

Traffic operations at this intersection was analyzed using SimTraffic 10 simulation software, which accounts for interactions between intersections, queue spillback, vehicle platooning, etc. The program also produces more accurate estimates of vehicular queuing (when compared to more deterministic methods).

## Applicable LOS Policies

Per City of Sacramento 2035 General Plan Policy M 1.2.2, LOS F is allowed on Howe Avenue (i.e., Power Inn Road south of Folsom Boulevard) from Highway 50 to $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, which includes the study intersection.

## Data Collection

This study analyzes the project's effects on vehicle operations during the weekday AM and PM peak hours. These hours were selected for study because they represent time periods during which the study area would experience high levels of both project-related traffic as well as background traffic.

Intersection turning movement counts were conducted during the AM and PM peak periods on October 15, 2020. Intersection counts included volumes for vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

The traffic counts were collected during the COVID-19 pandemic, during which typical traffic conditions and operations were affected by stay-at-home orders and related effects of the pandemic. Therefore, in order to estimate typical traffic volumes prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the following process was employed:

1. Traffic counts were conducted at the study intersection October 2020.
2. October 2020 counts were compared to historic counts, including the following data:
a. May 2019 daily roadway counts on Power Inn Road south of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
b. April 2019 daily roadway counts on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue west of Power Inn Road
3. Based on this comparison, the October 2020 traffic volumes were increased by approximately 36 percent during the AM peak hour and 18 percent during the PM peak hour such that traffic volumes passing through the intersection are representative of "pre-pandemic" conditions. The data processing preserved 2019 peak hour directional flows and accounted for current travel trends by movement.

Please refer to the technical appendix for additional details regarding this volume adjustment process.

## $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Extension

Per direction from City of Sacramento staff, this analysis considers the effects of the proposed project alongside the completion of the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension to Florin-Perkins Road (CIP Project \#T15098600). For the purposes of this analysis, the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension would be anticipated to include the following:

- Construction of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue between Power Inn Road and Florin-Perkins Road as a two-lane arterial with a TWLTL.
- The westbound approach of the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection would include a through lane, a left-turn pocket with 160 feet of storage, and a right-turn pocket with 160 feet of storage.
- Continuation of east-west split phase operations at the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection.
- Re-timing of the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection to accommodate new traffic volumes.

The $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension would re-route traffic throughout the study area by providing a new east-west connection and increasing parallel capacity to major routes including Folsom Boulevard, Jackson Road, and Fruitridge Road. Associated changes to traffic patterns were estimated using the SACSIM travel demand model.

The completion of the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension is incorporated in both the Baseline and Baseline Plus Project traffic operations analysis described below.

## Intersection Operations

Table 1 displays the peak hour delay and level of service at the study intersection under Baseline No Project conditions. The study intersection would operate at LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours under Baseline No Project conditions. Figure 2 displays the peak hour turning movements at the study intersection under Baseline No Project conditions.

Table 1: Peak Hour Intersection Operations - Baseline No Project Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ | Delay ${ }^{2}$ | LOS $^{3}$ |
| 1. Power Inn Road/14 $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | Signal | 40 | D | 52 | D |

Notes:

1. "Signal" represents an intersection that operates with a traffic signal.
2. Delay is reported as seconds per vehicle. Values are rounded to the nearest whole number so the same delay may represent two different LOS conditions if the delay is within 0.5 seconds of the LOS threshold. Average control delay for signalized intersections is the weighted average for all movements.
3. "LOS" represents level of service, calculated based on methodologies contained in the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Transportation Research Board, 2016).
Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2020.


Figure 2. Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movements - Baseline No Project Conditions

## Vehicle Queues

Table 2 displays the AM and PM peak hour $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the southbound and westbound left-turn movements at the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection under Baseline No Project conditions. Under Baseline No Project conditions, sufficient storage would be available to accommodate the southbound left-turn $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues during both the AM and PM peak hours. However, the westbound left-turn $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue would exceed the available storage during the PM peak hour.

Fehr \& Peers recommends that the westbound left-turn lane be constructed with 200 feet of storage to accommodate the PM peak hour 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue under Baseline No Project conditions.

Table 2: Peak Hour $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queues - Baseline No Project Conditions

| Movement |  | Direction | Movement | Storage (ft.) | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Vehicle Queue ${ }^{1}$ (vehicles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Inn Road | AM Peak Hour | SB | Left | 285 ft . | 200 ft . (8 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | SB | Left | 285 ft . | 250 ft. (10 vehicles) |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | AM Peak Hour | WB | Left | 160 ft . | 150 ft . (6 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | WB | Left | 160 ft . | 175 ft . (7 vehicles) |

## Notes:

Grey text indicates that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the available storage capacity.

1. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths estimated using SimTraffic traffic operations analysis software. Queue lengths rounded up to the nearest 25-foot increment.
Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2020.

## Baseline Plus Project Conditions

Figure 3 shows the project site plan (Preliminary Site Plan, Cartwright Nor Cal, November 9, 2020). The proposed project would include a 5,951 square foot convenience store and 10 fueling positions. The proposed project would also include 10 restrooms open to the public. The project site would provide a stop for the existing Granite Park Shuttle.

The project would be accessed via an existing full access driveway on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue located 525 feet east of Power Inn Road, as well as a new right-in/right-out only (RIRO) driveway on Power Inn Road located 250 north of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. According to the project site plan, the project would construct a new marked crosswalk on the north leg of the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection. Note that this modification would require new traffic signal equipment (e.g., pedestrian signal heads) and signal re-timing in order to accommodate a new pedestrian crossing phase on the north leg crossing. The project would also include the construction of new sidewalk on its $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue frontage.

The project would include modifications to $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue east of Power Inn Road. The extents of the modifications would begin at Power Inn Road and end approximately 360 feet east of Power Inn Road. In the westbound direction, the modifications would include two through lanes, a left-turn lane with 160 feet of storage, and a right-turn lane with 160 feet of storage. Additionally, the modifications would include two eastbound travel lanes. The roadway would taper down to a two-lane cross-section immediately west of the project driveway on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

## Travel Characteristics

## Trip Generation

Typically, the trip generation of a proposed project is calculated using trip rates or equations contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual. However, Fehr \& Peers' experience analyzing comparable Maverik stores indicates that ITE rates substantially overstate peak hour trip generation compared to empirical data reviewed at existing Maverik stores.

In order to estimate trip generation for the proposed project, sales transaction data was obtained from four existing and comparable Maverik stores. Store \#540 in Thornton, CO is similar to the Power Inn Maverik store in that both would have 10 fueling positions. Stores \#420 (West Valley, UT), \#423 (Salt Lake City, UT), and \#473 (Spokane Valley, WA) have 20 fueling positions, but have surrounding travel patterns that would be analogous to the Power Inn Maverik according to Maverik representatives. Maverik provided store transaction data for all Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Thursdays in October 2019, which is an above average month in terms of fuel sales. Fehr \& Peers conducted a weighted average of these four stores to estimate transactions for the proposed project.

These data were supplemented by collecting local "internal trip estimates" at one of the Maverik sites in Salt Lake City, and at the combined McDonalds/Chevron on Blue Oaks Boulevard in Roseville. It was necessary to determine the proportion of fuel sales that also included a purchase inside store using sales transaction data due to the inclusion of the convenience store in the project description.


Source: Preliminary Site Plan, Cartwright Nor Cal, November 9, 2020

Table 3 shows the proposed project trip generation, including reductions for pass-by trips. Pass-by trips are trips already on the network and therefore would not be considered as new trips generated by the project. Pass-by trips were estimated from data presented in the Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{r d}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2017). Technical calculations are available in the technical appendix.

As shown in Table 3, during the AM peak hour, the project would generate 70 net new vehicle trips ( 50 percent in/50 percent out). During the PM peak hour, the project would generate 64 net new vehicle trips ( 50 percent in/50 percent out). Daily, the project would generate 1,044 net new vehicle trips. These represent net new external vehicle trips after accounting for reductions made for pass-by activity per the Trip Generation Handbook (ITE, 2017).

Table 3: Project Trip Generation

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Total Gross Trips | 4,246 | 142 | 142 | 284 | 130 | 130 | 260 |  |
| Pass-By Trip Reduction (76\%) | $-3,202$ | -107 | -107 | -214 | -98 | -98 | -196 |  |
| Net New External Trips | 1,044 | 35 | 35 | 70 | 32 | 32 | 64 |  |

## Note:

Trip generation estimate calculated using observed data and pass-by rates obtained from Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2017) for the Gas Station land use (Land Use Code 945).
Source: Fehr \& Peers, Maverik, and Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{d d}$ Edition, 2020.

## Trip Distribution and Trip Assignment

New project trips were assigned to the roadway network based on existing traffic patterns and the general distribution of jobs, housing, and other destinations in the area, as well as permitted driveway movements. The net new external trips were assigned to the roadway network as follows:

| $\underline{\text { Direction }}$ | AM Percentage | PM Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Power Inn Road to/from the south | $48 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Power Inn Road to/from the north | $33 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to/from the west | $10 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to/from the east | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

Pass-by trips were assigned based on the volume of traffic on Power Inn Road and $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and ease of performing pass-by maneuvers.

## Intersection Operations

The project would increase peak hour traffic volumes and vehicle delay on roadways within the immediate vicinity of the project site. Figure 4 displays the peak hour turning movements at the study intersection under Baseline Plus Project conditions.

Table 4 presents the average delay and LOS under Baseline Plus Project conditions at the study intersection. The intersection would operate at LOS E during both the AM and PM peak hours under Baseline Plus Project conditions.

Table 4: Peak Hour Intersection Operations - Baseline Plus Project Conditions


Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2020.


Figure 4. Peak Hour Intersection Turning Movements - Baseline Plus Project Conditions

## Vehicle Queues

Table 5 displays the AM and PM peak hour $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues for the southbound and westbound left-turn movements at the Power Inn Road/ $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection under Baseline Plus Project conditions. Under Baseline Plus Project conditions, sufficient storage would be available to accommodate the southbound left-turn 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues during both the AM and PM peak hours. However, the westbound left-turn $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue would exceed the available storage during the PM peak hour.

Table 5: Peak Hour 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queues - Baseline Plus Project Conditions

| Movement |  | Direction | Movement | Storage (ft.) | $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Vehicle Queue ${ }^{1}$ (vehicles) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Power Inn Road | AM Peak Hour | SB | Left | 285 ft . | 250 ft . (10 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | SB | Left | 285 ft . | 250 ft . (10 vehicles) |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | AM Peak Hour | WB | Left | 160 ft . | 125 ft . (5 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | WB | Left | 160 ft . | 175 ft . (7 vehicles) |
| Power Inn Road Driveway | AM Peak Hour | WB | Right | 75 ft . | 75 ft . (3 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | WB | Right | 75 ft . | 50 ft . (2 vehicles) |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Driveway | AM Peak Hour | SB | Left/Right | 60 ft . | 50 ft . (2 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | SB | Left/Right | 60 ft . | 75 ft . (3 vehicles) |
|  | AM Peak Hour | EB | Left ${ }^{2}$ | 0 ft . | 50 ft . (2 vehicles) |
|  | PM Peak Hour | EB | Left ${ }^{2}$ | 0 ft . | 75 ft . (3 vehicles) |

Notes:
Grey text indicates that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the available storage capacity.

1. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths estimated using SimTraffic traffic operations analysis software. Queue lengths rounded up to the nearest 25-foot increment.
2. Eastbound $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue would not include a left-turn lane along the project frontage. Thus, these movements would be completed from the eastbound through lane.
Source: Fehr \& Peers, 2020.

Fehr \& Peers recommends that the westbound left-turn lane be constructed with 200 feet of storage to accommodate the PM peak hour $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue under Baseline No Project conditions.

Fehr \& Peers also recommends modifying the traffic signal at the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection to operate with protected left-turn phases in the eastbound and westbound directions (versus the existing east-west split phases). While not required in order to address project-related effects on peak hour traffic operations, this modification would enable the signal to operate more efficiently compared to its current timing and phasing plan. The modification would require that the eastbound approach be reconfigured to dual left-turn lanes and a shared through-right lane. The eastbound shared through-right lane would be aligned with the eastbound lane on the east leg of the Power Inn Road/ $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection that is proposed as part of the Maverik project. With this signal modification, the intersection could be operated to allow for overlapping eastbound and westbound left-turn phases. A truck turning analysis (refer to the technical appendix) indicates that simultaneous eastbound and westbound leftturning trucks would clear each other, however, drivers would be required to execute these maneuvers with little room for error. The following modifications are recommended in order to better accommodate simultaneous eastbound and westbound left-turning vehicle maneuvers:

- Relocate the east leg median nose 15 feet east of the location shown on the project site plan and reposition the westbound left-turn lane stop bar.
- Relocate the south leg median nose and left-most northbound left-turn lane stop bar 20 feet south of their current locations.
- Install skip stripe guidance markings (i.e., "cat tracking") for both sides of the westbound left-turn path of travel and for the outside of the path travel for the right-most eastbound left-turn lane.

The intersection could also be operated to separate the eastbound and westbound left-turn phases (through separate lead/lag phasing) if physical modifications to the intersection are not desirable.

## Project Access and On-Site Circulation

This section outlines the access and on-site circulation components of the project.

## $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Driveway

The $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue project driveway is an existing driveway that currently serves surface parking lots surrounding the Sacramento County office buildings located northeast of the project site. The driveway is approximately 25 feet wide. The project would not include any changes to the driveway itself. However, the project would construct a new eastwest drive aisle between the driveway and the Maverik site. The resulting driveway throat depth would be approximately 60 feet between the northerly edge of pavement on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and the east-west drive aisle.

## Outbound Maneuvers

Outbound maneuvers from the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway would occur from a southbound shared left-right lane. As shown in Table 5, the outbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue at the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway would be sufficiently accommodated within the 60 feet of available storage during the AM peak hour. However, the outbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue would extend to a length of 75 feet during the PM peak hour, which would cause occasional blocking of the east-west drive aisle. In instances where the blocked drive aisle would be caused by southbound through vehicles (i.e., those traveling from the Sacramento County office buildings parking lots), the outbound queue would block access into the project site for inbound vehicles traveling from $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. This condition could result in inbound queue spillbacks onto $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Fehr \& Peers recommends that the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway and new east-west drive aisle be modified to reduce the potential for outbound queues to block inbound vehicle traffic traveling to the project site from $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Potential modifications include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Relocate the Maverik east-west drive aisle further to the north to provide a throat depth of at least 75 feet for the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway.
- Install "keep clear" markings at the intersection of the Maverik east-west drive aisle and the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway. Install stop signs at the eastbound and southbound approaches of this internal intersection.

The selection of a preferred option should consider existing access needs for the Sacramento County office buildings as well as future access needs for the vacant parcels located immediately east of the project site. The selection of a preferred option should additionally consider the future placement of the northerly curb of $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue associated with the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension project (i.e., to maintain at least 75 feet of driveway throat depth).

## Inbound Maneuvers

Eastbound left-turns into the site would be completed from the eastbound through lane. Therefore, vehicles in the eastbound left-turn queue would block eastbound through traffic while waiting for a gap in opposing traffic. This condition could increase the potential for rear-end conflicts at this location.

Fehr \& Peers recommends that a TWLTL be constructed on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue beginning at the end of the westbound leftturn pocket at the Power Inn Road/ $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection and ending approximately 100 feet east of the project's $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway. This modification should adhere to applicable City arterial design standard and be integrated into the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension project. The TWLTL would accommodate left-turns in and out of the project's $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway while minimizing their effects on through traffic along $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

## Power Inn Road Driveway

The new Power Inn Road project driveway would provide right-in/right-out only access to and from the project site. The driveway would be approximately 40 feet wide and provide a throat depth of approximately 40 feet. Based on the configuration of the driveway and its placement relative to on-site facilities, the driveway would provide approximately 75 feet of storage for outbound vehicle queues.

## Outbound Maneuvers

As shown in Table 5, the outbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue at the Power Inn Road driveway would be sufficiently accommodated within the 75 feet of available storage.

## Inbound Maneuvers

Northbound right-turns into the site would be completed from the outside northbound through lane. The project would generate considerable peak hour right-turn volumes at this driveway, including nearly 100 vehicles during the AM peak hour. Power Inn Road has a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour along the project site frontage. Vehicles completing a northbound right-turn movement into the project site driveway would be required to slow to speeds in the 10 to 15 mile per hour range while maneuvering into the project site. Therefore, a considerable speed differential (equal to or greater than 30 miles per hour) would exist between northbound through traffic and northbound rightturning traffic, which could in turn increase the potential for rear-end conflicts at this location.

Fehr \& Peers recommends the construction of a northbound right-turn pocket/deceleration lane at the project's Power Inn Road driveway. Note that this modification would require widening of Power Inn Road as well as a reconfiguration of the western portion of the project site plan. This modification should include high visibility conflict markings for the northbound bike lane that would be located between the through lane and the right-turn lane.

## Fuel Truck Turning Analysis

Figure 5 illustrates an AutoTURN analysis prepared by the project applicant illustrating fuel truck circulation through the project site (Fueling Truck Ingress/Egress Exhibit, Cartwright Nor Cal, November 9, 2020). This analysis utilizes a WB-67 truck as the design vehicle, which measures approximately 70 feet in length from the front of the tractor to the back of the trailer.

Fuel trucks would enter the site via the Power Inn Road driveway and exit via the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway (in a clockwise pattern). According to the AutoTURN analysis, fuel trucks entering the site would be required to swing across all three lanes of traffic on Power Inn Road in order to maneuver into the Power Inn Road driveway. Upon entering the site, fuel trucks would be required to travel contraflow in the outbound travel lane at the Power Inn Road driveway in order to complete this maneuver. This would require fuel trucks to wait on Power Inn Road for the outbound lane to be clear of queued vehicles. Altogether, these conditions could cause adverse operational effects and increase the potential for conflicts with westbound traffic exiting the project site via the Power Inn Road driveway and with adjacent traffic on northbound Power Inn Road.

Fuel trucks exiting the site would travel eastbound using the new east-west Maverik drive aisle before turning south and then west onto $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue via the existing $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway. The AutoTURN analysis indicates that the fuel truck trailer swept path would travel outside of the paved area on the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway. Additionally, the analysis indicates that the fuel truck tractor would be required to travel contraflow in the inbound travel lane at the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway and in the eastbound travel lane on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to complete this maneuver. Altogether, these conditions could cause adverse operational effects and increase the potential for conflicts with northbound traffic using the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway and with adjacent traffic on eastbound and westbound $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Fehr \& Peers recommends that the Power Inn Road and $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue project driveways be constructed to City standard and to better accommodate fuel truck movements and swept paths. Specifically, the driveways should be constructed in a manner that reduces the potential for conflicts between truck movements and adjacent vehicle traffic, particularly:

- At the Power Inn Road driveway, a) conflicts between northbound right-turning trucks and northbound through traffic on Power Inn Road and b) conflicts between northbound right-turning trucks and westbound vehicle traffic exiting the project site.
- At the 14th Avenue driveway, conflicts between eastbound right-turning trucks and westbound traffic entering the east-west Maverik drive aisle and northbound traffic entering the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway.
- At the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway, conflicts between southbound right-turning trucks and eastbound through traffic on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

Additionally, Fehr \& Peers recommends that the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway be widened to a width of 30 feet or more between the Maverik east-west drive aisle and $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to better accommodate fuel truck movements and swept paths.

source: Fueling Truck Ingress/Egress Exhibit, Cartwright Nor Cal, November 9, 2020

## Summary \& Conclusions

In summary, based on a review of the project, Fehr \& Peers recommends the following modifications to the surrounding roadway network:

- Construct the westbound left-turn lane of the Power Inn Road $/ 14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection with 200 feet of storage.
- Modify the traffic signal at the Power Inn Road/14th Avenue intersection to operate with protected left-turn phases in the eastbound and westbound directions.
- Install new pedestrian signal heads and re-time the Power Inn Road/ $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue intersection traffic signal to accommodate a new pedestrian crossing phase for the north leg of the intersection (these modifications would be required due to the new north leg pedestrian crossing that is proposed as part of the project).
- Modify the 14th Avenue driveway and the new east-west drive aisle to reduce the potential for outbound queues to block inbound vehicle traffic traveling to the project site from $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.
- Construct a TWLTL on 14th Avenue beginning at the end of the westbound left-turn pocket at the Power Inn Road/14th Avenue intersection and ending approximately 100 feet east of the project's $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue driveway.
- Construct a northbound right-turn pocket/deceleration lane at the project's Power Inn Road driveway.
- Construct the Power Inn Road and $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue project driveways to City standard in order to better accommodate fuel truck movements and swept paths and to reduce the potential for conflicts between trucks and adjacent vehicle traffic.

Figure 6 provides a summary of these recommendations.
The City should consider the near-term operational needs associated with the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension with respect to its components that are constructed alongside the Maverik project in the near-term. For example, the project site plan proposes two through lanes on $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in both the eastbound and westbound directions east of Power Inn Road, while the City has recently indicated that the $14^{\text {th }}$ Avenue extension would be constructed as a two-lane arterial. The City may determine that while it is beneficial to dedicate the right-of-way necessary to accommodate these additional travel lanes, the additional lane capacity is not necessary to maintain desirable near-term operations. In this case, the City could pave only what is needed in the near-term, while allocating excess right-of-way to other uses such as landscaping or in-street bicycle facilities until the capacity is needed at a later date (e.g., the landscaped area reserved for a future right-turn lane on eastbound Folsom Boulevard at 65 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street).
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## Technical Appendix

A. Traffic Volume Calculations
B. Project Trip Generation Calculations
C. Traffic Operations Analysis Results
D. Power Inn Road/14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Truck Turn Analysis

| Green | Weekday peak hour intersection turning movement data collected on October 15, 2020 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Orange | Weekday daily and hourly roadway segment data collected in Spring 2019 |
| Blue | Adjusted peak hour intersection turning movement estimates (i.e., pre-pandemic "existing" traffic volumes) |

## AM Peak Hour (7:30 AM)



## PM Peak Hour (4:30 PM)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | Average Fuel | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average In } \\ \text { Store } \end{gathered}$ | Hour | Average Fuel | Average In <br> Store | Hour | Average Fuel | Average In Store | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Who Fuel and Then Make } \\ \text { Store Transaction }\end{array}$ | Hour | Average Transactions | Hour | Average Transactions | Hour | In | Out | Total | Hour | In | Out | Total | Hour | In | Out | Total |
| 0 | 6.4 | 13.0 | 0 | 7.7 | 19.5 | 0 | 7.4 | 17.9 | 5\% | 0 | 17.5 | 0 | 24.9 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 0 | -18 | -18 | -36 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 14 |
| 1 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1 | 5.9 | 13.1 | 1 | 5.4 | 11.7 | 5\% | 1 | 11.5 | 1 | 16.8 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 1 | -12 | -12 | $-24$ | 1 | 5 | 5 |  |
| 2 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 2 | 4.2 | 12.0 | 2 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 5\% | 2 | 10.5 | 2 | 14.5 | 2 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 2 | -11 | -11 | -22 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |
| 3 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 3 | 5.7 | 13.5 | 3 | 5.2 | 11.8 | 5\% | 3 | 11.5 | 3 | 16.7 | 3 | 17 | 17 | ${ }^{34}$ | 3 | -12 | -12 | -24 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 4 | 5.8 | 13.2 | 4 | 9.5 | 24.7 | 4 | 8.6 | 21.8 | 5\% | 4 | 21.4 | 4 | 30.0 | 4 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 4 | -22 | -22 | $-44$ | 4 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| 5 | 19.4 | 40.5 | 5 | 17.7 | 54.7 | 5 | 18.1 | 51.2 | 5\% | 5 | 50.2 | 5 | 68.4 | 5 | 69 | 69 | ${ }^{138}$ | 5 | -52 | -52 | -104 | 5 | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| 6 | 37.2 | 71.6 | 6 | 31.6 | 92.8 | 6 | 33.0 | 87.5 | 15\% | 6 | 82.6 | 6 | 115.6 | 6 | 116 | 116 | 232 | 6 | -88 | -88 | -176 | 6 | 28 | 28 | 56 |
| 7 | 46.6 | 88.9 | 7 | 44.3 | 110.0 | 7 | 44.9 | 104.7 | 20\% | 7 | 95.8 | 7 | 140.6 | 7 | 141 | 141 | 282 | 7 | -107 | -107 | -214 | 7 | 34 | 34 | 68 |
| 8 | 40.8 | 69.5 | 8 | 51.3 | 113.0 | 8 | 48.7 | 102.1 | 20\% | 8 | 92.4 | 8 | 141.1 | 8 | 142 | 142 | 284 | 8 | -107 | -107 | -214 | 8 | 35 | 35 |  |
| 9 | 28.9 | 51.9 | 9 | 48.0 | 93.1 | 9 | 43.2 | 82.8 | 10\% | 9 | 78.5 | 9 | 121.7 |  | 122 | 122 | 244 | 9 | -92 | -92 | -184 | 9 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| 10 | 27.6 | 42.0 | 10 | 44.2 | 78.5 | 10 | 40.1 | 69.4 | 10\% | 10 | 65.4 | 10 | 105.4 | 10 | 106 | 106 | 212 | 10 | $-80$ | $-80$ | -160 | 10 | 26 | 26 | 52 |
| 11 | 30.0 | 45.0 | 11 | 47.2 | 82.0 | 11 | 42.9 | 72.8 | 15\% | 11 | 66.3 | 11 | 109.2 | 11 | 110 | 110 | 220 | 11 | -83 | -83 | -166 | 11 | 27 | 27 | 54 |
| 12 | ${ }^{33.5}$ | 51.4 | ${ }^{12}$ | 52.5 | 97.2 | 12 | 47.8 | ${ }^{85.8}$ | 20\% | 12 | 76.2 | 12 | 124.0 | 12 | 124 | 124 | 248 | 12 | -94 | -94 | -188 | 12 | 30 | 30 | ${ }^{60}$ |
| 13 | 35.7 | 53.4 | 13 | 50.2 | 80.5 | 13 | 46.6 | 73.7 | 20\% | 13 | 64.4 | 13 | 111.0 | 13 | 111 | 111 | 222 | 13 | -84 | $-84$ | -168 | 13 | 27 | 27 | 54 |
| 14 | 36.6 | 51.7 | 14 | 52.9 | 79.5 | 14 | 48.8 | 72.6 | 10\% | 14 | 67.7 | 14 | 116.5 | 14 | 117 | 117 | 234 | 14 | -88 | -88 | -176 | 14 | 29 | 29 | 58 |
| 15 | 46.8 | 67.1 | 15 | 62.2 | 83.5 | 15 | 58.4 | 79.4 | 10\% | 15 | 73.6 | 15 | 131.9 | 15 | 132 | 132 | 264 | 15 | -100 | -100 | -200 | 15 | 32 | 32 | 64 |
| 16 | 55.6 | 72.4 | 16 | 65.5 | 85.6 | 16 | 63.3 | 82.3 | 25\% | 16 | 66.5 | 16 | 129.8 | 16 | 130 | 130 | 260 | 16 | -98 | -98 | -196 | 16 | 32 | 32 | 64 |
| 17 | 59.5 | 72.6 | 17 | 66.1 | 82.5 | 17 | 64.5 | 80.0 | 25\% | 17 | 63.9 | 17 | 128.4 | 17 | 129 | 129 | 258 | 17 | -98 | -98 | -196 | 17 | 31 | 31 |  |
| 18 | 56.8 | 67.0 | 18 | 56.5 | 73.3 | 18 | 56.6 | 71.7 | 10\% | 18 | 66.1 | 18 | 122.6 | 18 | 123 | 123 | 246 | 18 | -93 | -93 | -186 | 18 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| 19 | 42.9 | 56.7 | 19 | 44.6 | 62.3 | 19 | 44.2 | 60.9 | 10\% | 19 | 56.5 | 19 | 100.7 | 19 | 101 | 101 | 202 | 19 | -76 | -76 | -152 | 19 | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| 20 | 33.5 | 50.6 | 20 | 35.4 | 54.2 | 20 | 34.9 | 53.3 | 5\% | 20 | 51.6 | 20 | 86.5 | 20 | 87 | 87 |  | 20 | -66 | -66 | -132 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 42 |
| 21 | 23.8 | 36.4 | ${ }^{21}$ | 26.0 | 42.9 | 21 | 25.5 | 41.3 | 5\% | 21 | 40.0 | 21 | 65.5 | 21 | 66 | 66 | 132 | 21 | -50 | -50 | -100 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 32 |
| 22 | 15.7 | 27.8 | 22 | 19.5 | 37.8 | 22 | 18.6 | 35.3 | 5\% | 22 | 34.4 | 22 | 52.9 | 22 | 53 | 53 | 106 | 22 | -40 | -40 | -80 | 22 | 13 | 13 | 26 |
| 23 | 10.4 |  | 23 | 13.8 |  | 23 | 13.0 |  | 5\% | 23 | 26.7 | 23 | 39.6 | 23 | 40 | 40 | 80 | 23 | -30 | -30 | -60 | 23 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| Daily Tot. | 705.2 | 1,083.2 | Daily Tot. | 862.5 | 1,516.1 | Daily Tot. | 823.2 | 1,407.9 |  | Daily Tot. | 1,290.8 | Daily Tot. | 2.114 .0 | Daily Tot. | 2,123 | 2,123 | 4,246 | Daily Tot. | -1,601 | -1,601 | -3,202 | Daily Tot. | 522 | 522 | ,044 |
| Note: Represents average weekday Monday through Thuscayy transactions between October 1,2019 and $O$ otober 31,2019 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Note: This step is taken to account for customers who complete both a a fuel transaction and an in store transaction. |  |  |  | Note: Each transaction represents one inbound trip and one outbound trip. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | eneation Ho |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Maverik |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PM peak hour observations on September 29, 2020 at Maverik Gas Station located at 425 South Redwood |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Road, Salt Lake City, UT. Additional counts to verify local conditions$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | collected in September 2020 at similar gas station and convenience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Power Inn Maverik
Existing Plus 14th Ave Extension
AM Peak Hour

Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 165 | 155 | 94.1\% | 66.7 | 5.6 | E |
|  | Through | 1,500 | 1,492 | 99.5\% | 34.4 | 5.5 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 30 | 24 | 80.0\% | 17.4 | 10.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,695 | 1,671 | 98.6\% | 37.2 | 5.3 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 105 | 102 | 97.5\% | 48.5 | 2.4 | D |
|  | Through | 900 | 934 | 103.8\% | 39.7 | 3.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 250 | 271 | 108.3\% | 9.0 | 2.0 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,255 | 1,307 | 104.2\% | 34.2 | 3.0 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 230 | 221 | 96.2\% | 36.0 | 2.6 | D |
|  | Through | 105 | 100 | 95.6\% | 38.2 | 5.7 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 106 | 105.6\% | 11.3 | 2.7 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 435 | 427 | 98.2\% | 30.5 | 2.4 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 25 | 23 | 92.8\% | 105.2 | 49.6 | F |
|  | Through | 175 | 175 | 99.9\% | 115.9 | 53.6 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 100 | 92 | 92.0\% | 45.6 | 44.2 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 300 | 290 | 96.7\% | 92.9 | 50.9 | F |
| Total |  | 3,685 | 3,696 | 100.3\% | 39.9 | 4.1 | D |

Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 200 | 80 | 9 | 153 | 23 | 146 | 23 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,774 | 129 | 14 | 199 | 26 | 199 | 36 | 5\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 165 | 44 | 18 | 91 | 47 | 96 | 64 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | U/Left Turns | 190 | 51 | 22 | 117 | 45 | 126 | 41 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 190 | 170 | 34 | 277 | 19 | 240 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 973 | 462 | 65 | 592 | 125 | 572 | 125 | 35\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 160 | 203 | 9 | 221 | 13 | 210 | 0 | 11\% | 0\% |
| SB | U/Left Turns | 285 | 103 | 24 | 197 | 61 | 211 | 90 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 854 | 255 | 44 | 344 | 74 | 338 | 74 | 10\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 1,854 | 72 | 15 | 131 | 35 | 142 | 29 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 51 | 27 | 134 | 70 | 140 | 70 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,590 | 290 | 139 | 431 | 149 | 419 | 138 | 41\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 109 | 32 | 215 | 33 | 185 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Power Inn Maverik
Existing Plus 14th Ave Extension
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 165 | 159 | 96.5\% | 54.1 | 5.1 | D |
|  | Through | 1,000 | 997 | 99.7\% | 27.0 | 2.2 | C |
|  | Right Turn | 15 | 14 | 96.0\% | 8.1 | 7.5 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,180 | 1,170 | 99.2\% | 30.4 | 2.1 | C |
| SB | Left Turn | 130 | 132 | 101.5\% | 63.6 | 4.1 | E |
|  | Through | 1,360 | 1,356 | 99.7\% | 35.5 | 8.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 350 | 338 | 96.5\% | 6.5 | 0.9 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 1,840 | 1,825 | 99.2\% | 32.4 | 6.1 | C |
| EB | Left Turn | 510 | 448 | 87.8\% | 118.8 | 19.6 | F |
|  | Through | 110 | 114 | 103.3\% | 110.0 | 20.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 250 | 239 | 95.7\% | 96.1 | 20.7 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 870 | 800 | 92.0\% | 111.0 | 19.7 | F |
| WB | Left Turn | 40 | 41 | 102.0\% | 97.9 | 37.8 | F |
|  | Through | 175 | 160 | 91.2\% | 119.1 | 51.2 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 40 | 32 | 81.0\% | 41.8 | 35.9 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 255 | 233 | 91.3\% | 105.7 | 47.9 | F |
| Total |  | 4,145 | 4,029 | 97.2\% | 51.7 | 4.3 | D |

Intersection 1 Power Inn Road/14th Ave Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn <br> Left/Through Right Turn | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 200 \\ 2,555 \\ 165 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 213 \\ 1,022 \\ 168 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 6 \\ 237 \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 242 \\ 1,325 \\ 234 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 10 \\ 160 \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 225 \\ 1,315 \\ 190 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 0 \\ 190 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 5 \% \\ 55 \% \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| NB | U/Left Turns | 190 | 54 | 13 | 128 | 34 | 136 | 29 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 190 | 134 | 19 | 230 | 22 | 211 | 11 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,295 | 238 | 20 | 343 | 45 | 348 | 51 | 17\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 160 | 159 | 11 | 212 | 19 | 185 | 0 | 3\% | 0\% |
| SB | U/Left Turns | 285 | 137 | 30 | 232 | 54 | 243 | 59 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 854 | 258 | 67 | 384 | 137 | 436 | 228 | 14\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 1,854 | 53 | 10 | 98 | 13 | 102 | 21 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 72 | 29 | 162 | 61 | 159 | 54 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 1,620 | 272 | 107 | 389 | 165 | 369 | 148 | 43\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 64 | 31 | 170 | 59 | 183 | 4 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Power Inn Maverik
Existing Plus 14th Ave Extension Plus Project
AM Peak Hour

Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 160 | 126 | 79.0\% | 149.2 | 10.1 | F |
|  | Through | 1,507 | 1,352 | 89.7\% | 119.5 | 7.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 44 | 46 | 103.6\% | 123.0 | 21.0 | F |
|  | Subtotal | 1,711 | 1,524 | 89.1\% | 122.1 | 7.2 | F |
| SB | Left Turn | 149 | 136 | 91.5\% | 75.5 | 10.6 | E |
|  | Through | 877 | 934 | 106.5\% | 40.4 | 7.4 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 241 | 252 | 104.7\% | 16.3 | 4.3 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 1,267 | 1,323 | 104.4\% | 39.5 | 6.8 | D |
| EB | Left Turn | 233 | 219 | 94.1\% | 38.1 | 4.2 | D |
|  | Through | 109 | 115 | 105.7\% | 39.2 | 3.6 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 97 | 111 | 114.6\% | 13.6 | 5.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 439 | 446 | 101.5\% | 32.3 | 2.9 | C |
| WB | Left Turn | 59 | 62 | 104.4\% | 58.6 | 13.0 | E |
|  | Through | 190 | 207 | 109.1\% | 54.5 | 6.1 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 86 | 93 | 107.9\% | 10.8 | 1.9 | B |
|  | Subtotal | 335 | 362 | 107.9\% | 44.4 | 5.7 | D |
| Total |  | 3,752 | 3,654 | 97.4\% | 73.7 | 2.9 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

## Existing Plus 14th Ave Extension Plus Project

AM Peak Hour
Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave $\quad$ Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 200 | 86 | 18 | 167 | 40 | 174 | 37 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,822 | 151 | 15 | 216 | 33 | 225 | 33 | 7\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 165 | 45 | 16 | 91 | 48 | 99 | 66 | 0\% | 0\% |
| NB | U/Left Turns | 190 | 56 | 14 | 95 | 30 | 96 | 31 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 190 | 147 | 43 | 260 | 47 | 240 | 1 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 973 | 970 | 47 | $1,067$ | 63 | $1,011$ | 17 | 54\% | 49\% |
|  | Through/Right | 160 | 207 | 2 | 215 | 3 | 210 | 0 | 54\% | 0\% |
| SB | U/Left Turns | 285 | 153 | 29 | 249 | 31 | 233 | 8 | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | Through | 236 | 261 | 39 | 345 | 31 | 313 | 8 | 22\% | 22\% |
|  | Right Turn | 1,236 | 80 | 17 | 174 | 38 | 178 | 33 | 0\% | 0\% |
| WB | Left Turn | 160 | 63 | 18 | 104 | 23 | 103 | 23 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 279 | 100 | 16 | 148 | 30 | 148 | 35 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 160 | 46 | 10 | 86 | 29 | 100 | 48 | 0\% | 0\% |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Volume and Delay by Movement

Power Inn Maverik
Existing Plus 14th Ave Extension Plus Project
PM Peak Hour

Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave Signal

| Direction | Movement | Demand Volume (vph) | Served Volume (vph) |  | Total Delay (sec/veh) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Percent | Average | Std. Dev. | LOS |
| NB | Left Turn | 165 | 167 | 101.3\% | 71.5 | 5.5 | E |
|  | Through | 1,006 | 1,019 | 101.3\% | 40.9 | 5.8 | D |
|  | Right Turn | 19 | 17 | 90.5\% | 23.5 | 15.7 | C |
|  | Subtotal | 1,190 | 1,204 | 101.1\% | 44.9 | 5.1 | D |
| SB | Left Turn | 178 | 148 | 83.1\% | 126.7 | 10.2 | F |
|  | Through | 1,330 | 1,222 | 91.9\% | 86.0 | 5.9 | F |
|  | Right Turn | 345 | 336 | 97.3\% | 51.1 | 5.2 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 1,853 | 1,706 | 92.1\% | 82.6 | 5.6 | F |
| EB | Left Turn | 515 | 504 | 97.8\% | 71.9 | 24.5 | E |
|  | Through | 114 | 110 | 96.1\% | 70.7 | 27.6 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 247 | 266 | 107.7\% | 51.8 | 24.3 | D |
|  | Subtotal | 876 | 879 | 100.4\% | 65.7 | 24.7 | E |
| WB | Left Turn | 92 | 85 | 92.2\% | 64.3 | 7.0 | E |
|  | Through | 193 | 187 | 96.8\% | 57.8 | 4.4 | E |
|  | Right Turn | 40 | 45 | 112.0\% | 8.9 | 1.8 | A |
|  | Subtotal | 325 | 316 | 97.4\% | 52.4 | 2.7 | D |
| Total |  | 4,244 | 4,105 | 96.7\% | 65.6 | 4.1 | E |

SimTraffic Post-Processor
Average Results from 10 Runs
Queue Length

## Existing Plus 14th Ave Extension Plus Project

PM Peak Hour
Intersection $1 \quad$ Power Inn Road/14th Ave $\quad$ Signal

| Direction | Lane Group | Storage <br> (ft) | Average Queue (ft) |  | 95th Queue (ft) |  | Maximum Queue (ft) |  | Block Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Average | Std. Dev. | Pocket | Upstream |
| EB | Left Turn | 200 | 205 | 12 | 242 | 7 | 224 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Left/Through | 1,327 | 548 | 151 | 799 | 253 | 852 | 200 | 43\% | 0\% |
|  | Right Turn | 165 | 166 | 9 | 241 | 17 | 190 | 0 | 2\% | 0\% |
| NB | U/Left Turns | 190 | 97 | 26 | 186 | 47 | 170 | 30 | 0\% | 0\% |
|  | Left Turn | 190 | 166 | 23 | 247 | 18 | 214 | 1 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  | Through | 973 | 356 | 41 | 485 | 60 | 473 | 65 | 33\% | 0\% |
|  | Through/Right | 160 | 172 | 11 | 202 | 14 | 185 | 0 | 7\% | 0\% |
| SB | U/Left Turns Through Right Turn | 285 | 174 | 18 | 245 | 18 | 216 | 1 | 5\% | 5\% |
|  |  | 216 | 291 | 3 | 302 | 13 | 306 | 17 | 56\% | 57\% |
|  |  | 1,216 | 103 | 17 | 202 | 39 | 221 | 33 | 0\% | 1\% |
| WB | Left Turn <br> Through <br> Right Turn | 160 | 93 | 21 | 162 | 19 | 167 | 22 | 1\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 279 | 119 | 22 | 181 | 20 | 184 | 26 | 2\% | 0\% |
|  |  | 160 | 25 | 8 | 52 | 14 | 51 | 18 | 0\% | 0\% |



