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Introduction
This Errata Sheet presents, in strike-through and double-underline format, the revisions to the Stockton & T Street Project Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment Initial Study (SCEA IS) being provided for clarification on various resource areas. The revisions to the SCEA IS in this Errata Sheet are staff-initiated for clarification purposes only and do not affect the adequacy of the environmental analysis contained in the Stockton & T Street Project SCEA IS.

SCEA Criteria Section of the SCEA IS
The last paragraph under the Proximity to Transit header on page 11 of the SCEA IS is hereby revised as follows:

The farthest point of the project site from the 39th Street Light Rail Station, is 1,400 feet, or .27 mile. 92 percent of the project is within 1/2 mile of the 39th Street light rail station, and 97 percent of the units are within 1/2 mile of the 39th Street light rail station (see Figure 5 and Figure 5a).

The above change provides more accurate information regarding the proximity of the project site to the nearby light rail station, as well as provides an additional figure (Figure 5a – Context Map) illustrating that the proposed project is within a 1/2 mile radius from the 39th Street light rail station. Figure 5a – Context Map is attached to at the end of this Errata. The above revision does not change the adequacy of the analysis or conclusions within the SCEA IS.

Section II. Biological Resources of the SCEA IS
The discussion for checklist question e. on page 42 of Section II, Biological Resources, of the SCEA IS is hereby revised as follows:

As discussed above, the existing vegetation on or in the vicinity of the project site predominantly consists of ornamental trees and landscaping, as well as ruderal vegetation. The on-site trees are non-native and would not be subject to any tree preservation policy or ordinance. In addition, the larger many of the trees, which would be the trees on the sidewalk along T Street are not within located along the project boundaries and would be preserved with implementation of the proposed project. Furthermore, landscaping and new trees would be included as part of the proposed project. However, approximately 14 trees are anticipated for removal. These trees are defined as City street trees and are protected pursuant to Sacramento City Code, Chapter 12.56. Removal of the trees would require a permit from the Public Works Department, Urban Forestry Division, and conditions will be included for replacement of the trees removed. As a result, the proposed project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological
resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance, resulting in a less-than-significant impact.

The above changes are for clarification purposes only and do not change the analysis or conclusion of the SCEA IS.

Section V. Geology and Soils of the SCEA IS

The mitigation measure under Section V. Geology and Soils, on page 57 of the SCEA IS is hereby revised as follows:

VI-1 V-1 After demolition of the project site, and prior to issuance of a building permit for new construction, the applicant shall submit a design-level geotechnical report with on-site subsurface exploration for the review and approval of the City. The report shall include recommendations, if necessary, to ensure building foundations are designed to adequately support the proposed buildings.

The above change is for clarification purposes only and does not change the analysis or conclusion of the SCEA IS.

Section VIII. Noise of the SCEA IS

The environmental document for the project identified a potentially significant impact for noise relating to exterior noise levels for the single-family residences proposed for the project. SCEA pages 85 et seq. Mitigation Measure VIII 2 required the construction of an 8-foot high sound wall prior to issuance of building permits.

The applicant has indicated that it intended to coordinate construction of the sound wall with Caltrans. Caltrans has informed the applicant that it is not certain when the sound wall would be constructed, and has provided a cost estimate much higher than anticipated.

The applicant believes, based on the input from a qualified consultant, that a sound wall that is lower in height would achieve the noise reduction required by City regulation. The applicant has proposed the following changes in Mitigation Measure VIII 2 (deletions and additional text):

VIII 2 Prior to issuance of building permits for the single-family residences (proposed lots 1 through 21, included on the proposed tentative map), the applicant shall provide documentation acceptable to the City that the sound wall to be constructed along Highway 50 will be completed, at a minimum height of 8 feet, by the time of issuance of final building permits for the single-family residences to achieve compliance with the City of Sacramento exterior noise level standards. The applicant shall provide a noise analysis prepared by a qualified professional that confirms the proposed sound wall height reduces exterior noise levels for the single-family residential lots to the City standard of 70dB. As an alternative, the applicant may provide such documentation may consist of written confirmation from Caltrans prior to issuance of building permits that the sound wall has been included in a project design that is funded, designed and has a construction
completion date that satisfies the requirements of this mitigation measure. Prior to certificate of occupancy the applicant shall provide written confirmation of completion of the sound wall construction.

The modifications to the mitigation measure will achieve the purposes of the original mitigation measure. The changes do not change the analysis or conclusions of the SCEA IS.

The mitigation measure under Section VIII on page 90 of the SCEA IS is hereby revised as follows:

VIII-4 Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall show on the plans via notation that the mechanical ventilation shall be installed in all residential uses to allow residents to keep doors and windows closed, as desired for acoustical isolation. The building plans shall be subject to review and approval by the Community Development Department.

The above change is for clarification purposes only and does not change the analysis or conclusion of the SCEA IS.
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