The Blueprint Vision, SB 375 and the Sustainable Communities Strategy

2018 Planning Academy
22 Cities
6 Counties
2.4M People
Metropolitan Transportation Plan
“Citing Smog And Sprawl, Groups To Sue Over Road Projects”

“Pending Suit Stirs Warning of Project Snarls”

The Sacramento Bee, 1999
What’s the best way to accommodate growth and improve quality of life?

“What’s this Blueprint project everyone’s talking about?”

Sacramento Business Journal, 2002
Blueprint: Better Data for Better Decision-Making
Urbanization: 1900–2050?
Base Case Problems

Congestion
GHG Emissions

Housing Choices
Transportation Choices
Farmland
Open Space
Natural Resources
7 Blueprint Principles

Transportation Choice

Compact Development

Mixed-Use

Housing Diversity

Quality Design

Use of Existing Assets

Natural Resource Conservation
Principles Drive Huge Positives for Growth

37-point jump in “good thing”
Broad Public Input

Neighborhood Workshops
County-scale Workshop
Regional Forum
Elected Officials Summit
Blueprint 2050

Key to the maps:
- Red: areas of existing development
- Pink: areas of future development
- Green: green areas (e.g., open space, park, wetlands, vernal pools, stream corridors, hardwood stands)
- Light yellow: agriculture and other undeveloped lands
- Blue: rivers, streams and lakes
- Brown: city boundaries
- Gray: highways
- Light gray: county boundaries
- Dark gray: vacant boundaries and vacant urban-designated lands

Note: Some vernal pools in Yuba, Sutter and southwest Placer counties are preserved, but are not shown on these maps.
Base Case Problems

- Congestion
- GHG Emissions

- Housing Choices
- Transportation Choices
- Farmland
- Open Space
- Natural Resources
Blueprint Outcomes

- Transit, bike, and walk trips
- Medium and high-density homes
- Jobs and homes closer together
- Infill and redevelopment

Rate of congestion increase
- Vehicle miles traveled
- Air pollution and Greenhouse gas
- Ag, open space, natural resources lost
- Water consumption
Organizations that supported the Blueprint planning process
2008 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

First MTP to reduce congestion in the future
What is SB 375?
2016 Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy
By 2036 the region will have:

- 811,000 more people
- 285,000 new homes
- 485,000 new jobs
Regional Land Use Forecast

Policy/Regulatory Forces

Market Forces

Land use Forecast
Regional Development by community type
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## Transportation Investments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road Maintenance &amp; Rehabilitation</td>
<td>$12.6 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Capacity</td>
<td>$7.3 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>$10.6 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike/Pedestrian</td>
<td>$2.8 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs, Planning, Enhancements</td>
<td>$1.7 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$35.0 B</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Development by community type
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Series 1

Series 2
Meets SB 375 GHG Reduction Targets and State and Federal Air Quality Requirements

Per Capita GHG Reduction:

- 2020: -8%
- 2035: -16%
Increased Access to Jobs and Amenities
Transit, Bike, & Walk Trips per Person

![Bar chart showing transit, bike, and walk trips per person by region for years 2012, 2020, and 2036, and regional average for 2036. The chart compares Center & Corridor, Established, Developing, Rural Res./Other, and Regional Average regions.]
71% increase in transit productivity
Vehicle Miles Traveled per Person
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Reduced impacts on farmland.
For every 1,000 new residents:

1988–2012
285 acres

2012–2036
49 acres
Thank you!