EVENT NOTICE PURSUANT TO S.E.C. RULE 15c2-12
RATING CHANGES

Dated: June 27, 2017

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on June 26, 2017, Standard and Poor Global Ratings ("S&P") downgraded its rating of National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. ("NPFG") (a subsidiary of MBIA Insurance Corp.) to "A" from "AA-" with a stable outlook.

NPFG is the bond-insurance provider for the following issues:

- 1993 Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Series B issued by the Sacramento City Financing Authority
- 1997 Lease Revenue Bonds (ARCO Arena Acquisition) issued by the Sacramento City Financing Authority
- 2003 Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Series A issued by the Sacramento County Public Financing Authority
- 2005 Tax Allocation Revenue Bonds, Series A issued by the Sacramento City Financing Authority

*The City of Sacramento is the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento.

The rating report from S&P is attached.

City of Sacramento

Brian Wong
Debt Manager

Attachment: S&P Press Release – Downgrades NPFG to "A" from "AA-"
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Overview

• We completed our periodic review of the bond insurers.
• We are lowering our ratings on National and MBIA Inc. and affirming our ratings on BAM, Assured, and MBIA Corp.
• The outlooks for National, MBIA Inc., BAM, Assured, and MBIA Corp. are stable.
• AG London remains on CreditWatch Positive.

Rating Action

On June 26, 2017, S&P Global Ratings lowered its financial strength rating on National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. (National) to 'A' from 'AA-' and its long-term counterparty credit rating on MBIA Inc. to 'BBB' from 'A-'. We also removed our ratings on these issuers from CreditWatch Negative where we initially placed them June 6, 2017. The outlooks are stable.

We affirmed our 'AA' financial strength rating on Build America Mutual Assurance Co. (BAM) and removed it from CreditWatch Negative where we placed it June 6, 2017. The outlook is stable.

We affirmed our 'A' long-term counterparty credit rating on Assured Guaranty Ltd. (AGL) and our 'AA' financial strength ratings on its bond insurance subsidiaries (collectively Assured). The outlooks are stable.

We affirmed our 'CCC' financial strength rating on MBIA Insurance Corp. (MBIA Corp) and revised the outlook to stable from negative. We are also withdrawing our 'D' rating on MBIA Corp.'s surplus notes and preferred stock.

Our 'BB' financial strength rating on Assured Guaranty (London) LTD. (AG London) remains on CreditWatch with positive implications.

Rationale

The rating actions on National and MBIA Inc. are based on our view that National's business risk profile is weaker than its peers', as National has struggled to gain wide market acceptance. Its risk-adjusted pricing (RAP) ratio, although improving, has been the lowest in the industry and was 2.67% for the first five months of 2017, as compared to Assured's and BAM's of 4.93% and 4.38%, respectively. Although the low RAP can be attributed partially to trading differential, the very low volume of the par written is an additional
indication of National’s limited market penetration and acceptance. We do not expect meaningful improvement in volume and market share or the company’s business risk profile.

With regard to National’s financial risk profile, the company’s capital adequacy is very strong, with a capital adequacy ratio in excess of 1.0x. However, National has a Largest Obligor Test (LOT) violation that limits our view of capital adequacy. Notwithstanding the LOT violation, we expect National’s capital position to remain supportive of the rating. Our rating on MBIA reflects its structural subordination to National.

The rating actions on BAM are based on our view that, although the company continues to trail Assured in business volume and pricing, it has gained strong market acceptance. Through the first five months of 2017, the company’s share of insured U.S. public finance par was approximately 42% and its RAP was 4.39%. Based on the company’s underwriting strategy, we do not expect a material change in its market share, nor do we expect a significant change in the risk profile of its insured portfolio. BAM has made operational changes that we expect to improve the long-term profitability of the company and help bolster its capital position. As a mutual company, however, BAM’s RAP and profitability may always trail Assured’s because its members seek a stable, low-cost source of insurance, and the driver of its capital growth is its members’ surplus contributions.

BAM’s capital adequacy is very strong with a capital adequacy ratio in excess of 1.0x. However, this is the first year the company incurred a LOT violation, which may create volatility in its capital position and limits our view of its capital adequacy, which could weigh on our future ratings.

The affirmation of our rating on Assured reflects our view of its strong competitive position built on a proven track record of credit discipline and market leadership in terms of par insured, premiums written, and risk-based pricing. For the first five months of 2017, the company reported a U.S. public finance RAP of 4.93%, and a RAP of 6.32% when we include the international public finance business.

Although much of Assured’s business has been in the U.S. public finance market, it has the most diverse underwriting strategy of any bond insurer, also conducting business in the global structured finance and international public finance markets. Although some segments of these other markets have been risky in the past, we believe management’s current approach to writing business in them is well thought-out and measured. We therefore believe this strategy provides flexibility to capitalize on growth trends and pricing opportunities in one sector while other sectors experience less-favorable trends, which we feel provides some competitive advantage versus its peers. Additionally, and importantly, we do not believe Assured’s presence in these other markets will become a significant part of its overall business.

Assured’s capital adequacy is very strong with a capital adequacy ratio in excess of 1.0x. However, Assured has a LOT violation that limits our view of
capital adequacy and could weigh on the rating. In addition, the company’s exposure to issuers in Puerto Rico may pressure its capital position as losses begin to materialize. Our rating on AGL reflects its structural subordination to Assured.

The rating action on MBIA Corp. is based on our view that the company’s liquidity position has somewhat improved; however, its liquidity remains weak and is subject to risks from payment timing on credit-default swap contracts and residential mortgage-backed securities excess spread recoveries. In our view, MBIA Corp.'s capital position is very weak, and we score its capital adequacy as less vulnerable. Given the size of MBIA Corp.'s insured portfolio versus its capital base and the limited opportunities to improve its capital position, we do not expect to see any improvement in its capital adequacy. The company is in run-off, and we view it as nonstrategically important to MBIA Inc.

With regard to AG London, the company was purchased from MBIA Insurance Corp in Jan. 2017 and there are no reinsurance or support agreements between AG London and any AGL subsidiary. AG London will remain in run off and we view it as nonstrategically important to Assured. Assured management is working to combine AG London with its other affiliated European insurance companies, at which time the insured obligations of AG London will become the obligations of the entity surviving the business combination. Any such combination will be subject to regulatory and court approvals.

**Outlook**

The outlook on National is stable, reflecting the strength of the company's capital position and the deleveraging that is occurring as the run-off of insured exposure is greater than the amount of new business being written.

The stable outlook on BAM reflects our view that its competitive position will remain strong, and we believe market demand for BAM’s credit enhancement and risk-return requirements will help maintain an acceptable RAP. We also expect the company’s operating performance to improve and become less of a drag on capital growth. The maintenance of capital adequacy at the current level is essential for rating stability.

The stable outlook on Assured reflects our view of the company's strong competitive profile and very strong capital adequacy, as well as its leadership position in the U.S. public finance market. The outlook also considers Assured's measured approach to insure international infrastructure and global structured finance transactions to capitalize on positive market trends in those markets. We also do not expect the non-U.S. public finance business to alter the overall risk profile of the insured portfolio. The maintenance of a capital adequacy ratio of more than 1.0x is essential for rating stability.

The stable outlook on MBIA Corp. reflects our view that the company's capital
and liquidity are adequate to meet claim payments through 2017. Given the risk of the remaining insured portfolio relative to MBIA Corp.'s capital base and limited opportunity to improve its capital position, we expect capital to remain under stress. If MBIA's capital stabilizes as a result of lower potential adverse loss development, we would view this as positive to the rating. If the company exhibits increased losses and diminished liquidity, so that the time to a possible breach of minimum regulatory levels shortens to less than two years, we could lower the rating.

CreditWatch

The CreditWatch Positive on AG London is based on our expectation that the company will be folded into one of Assured's affiliated European insurance companies and the insured obligations of AG London will become obligations of Assured and carry the same rating as Assured. We would maintain the rating on AG London if the company were not combined with one of Assured's affiliated European insurance companies or there were no reinsurance or support agreements that benefit AG London.

Related Criteria

• General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
• General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
• General Criteria: Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings, Oct. 1, 2012
• Criteria – Insurance – Bond: Bond Insurance Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Aug. 25, 2011
• General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Assured Guaranty (London) LTD.
  Financial Strength Rating
    Local Currency BB/Watch Pos/--

Assured Guaranty Ltd.
Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc
  Counterparty Credit Rating A/Stable/--
Assured Guaranty (Europe) Ltd.
Municipal Assurance Corp.
Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd.
Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
Assured Guaranty Corp
Counterparty Credit Rating
   Local Currency  AA/Stable/--

Assured Guaranty (Europe) Ltd.
Municipal Assurance Corp.
Assured Guaranty Re Overseas Ltd.
Assured Guaranty Re Ltd.
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
Assured Guaranty Corp
Financial Strength Rating
   Local Currency  AA/Stable/--
   Financial Enhancement Rating
      Local Currency  AA/Stable/--

Assured Guaranty (UK) Ltd.
Counterparty Credit Rating  AA/Stable/--

Assured Guaranty Municipal Holdings Inc.
Counterparty Credit Rating
   Local Currency  A/Stable/--

Assured Guaranty Municipal Holdings Inc.
   Senior Unsecured  A
   Junior Subordinated  BBB+

Assured Guaranty US Holdings Inc
   Senior Unsecured  A
   Junior Subordinated  BBB+

Sutton Capital Trust I
Sutton Capital Trust II
Sutton Capital Trust III
Sutton Capital Trust IV
Woodbourne Capital Trust I
Woodbourne Capital Trust II
Woodbourne Capital Trust III
Woodbourne Capital Trust IV
Preferred Stock  A+

Ratings Affirmed; CreditWatch/Outlook Action
To    From
Build America Mutual Assurance Company
### Counterparty Credit Rating

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Currency</td>
<td>AA/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Enhancement Rating</td>
<td>AA/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Currency</td>
<td>AA/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Strength Rating</td>
<td>AA/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Downgraded; CreditWatch/Outlook Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From: MBIA Inc.</th>
<th>To: National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty Credit Rating</td>
<td>BB/Stable/--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Currency</td>
<td>A/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA-/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Strength Rating</td>
<td>A/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA-/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MBIA Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Unsecured</td>
<td>BBB</td>
<td>A-/Watch Neg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Currency</td>
<td>A/Stable/--</td>
<td>AA-/Watch Neg/--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MBIA Inc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.</td>
<td>Financial Enhancement Rating</td>
<td>Local Currency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ratings Affirmed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MBIA Global Funding LLC</td>
<td>CCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Secured</td>
<td>CCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Unsecured</td>
<td>CCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MBIA Insurance Corp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counterparty Credit Rating</td>
<td>CCC/Flat/--</td>
<td>CCC/Negative/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Enhancement Rating</td>
<td>CCC/Flat/--</td>
<td>CCC/Negative/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Currency</td>
<td>CCC/Flat/--</td>
<td>CCC/Negative/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Strength Rating</td>
<td>CCC/Flat/--</td>
<td>CCC/Negative/--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Currency</td>
<td>CCC/Flat/--</td>
<td>CCC/Negative/--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com and at www.spcapitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.