Dated: August 21, 2019

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on August 16, 2019, Moody’s Investor Services ("Moody’s") affirmed the City of Sacramento’s long-term issuer rating at “Aa2”, while revising the outlook from stable to positive. At the same time, Moody’s also affirmed the rating and outlook of lease revenue bonds issued by the Sacramento City Financing Authority as follows:

- The underlying and insured ratings of the Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A as “A1” with a stable outlook.
- The underlying rating of the Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993B as “Aa3” with a stable outlook.
- The underlying and insured ratings of the 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series B as “Aa3” with a stable outlook.
- The underlying and insured ratings of the 2006 Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series E as “Aa3” with a stable outlook.
- The underlying rating of the 2015 Refunding Revenue Bonds (Master Lease Program Facilities) as “Aa3” with a stable outlook.
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New York, August 16, 2019 -- Moody's Investors Service has affirmed the City of Sacramento's issuer rating to Aa2 and its lease-backed obligations to Aa3 or A1, depending on essentiality of the leased assets. Concurrently, Moody's also revised the outlook to positive from stable. The city has $645.8 million in outstanding lease revenue bonds, of which Moody's rates $362.5 million Aa3 and $17.3 million A1. The city also has $283.3 million in transient occupancy tax bonds rated A1 for the senior lien and A2 for the subordinate lien, and $50.5 million in A2-rated assessment revenue bonds, which were not affected by this rating action and have a stable outlook.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The city's Aa2 issuer rating reflects the large tax base with strong growth, fueled by positive trends in employment, housing prices, and building activity. The city has room for additional growth, and there is strong demand for both residential and commercial development. The rating also reflects the city's materially strengthened financial position, which will provide flexibility to manage expected expenditure pressures from rising pension and OPEB costs. Positively, the city anticipates a $45 million annual increase in general fund revenues beginning in fiscal 2020, resulting from a voter-approved increase in the transaction and use tax (TUT) rate in November 2018 from a half cent to one cent, which was also made permanent. The city's fixed costs are somewhat elevated, but we note that revenues outside the general fund offset a significant portion of the general fund debt service. The city's debt level is moderate, but pension and OPEB liabilities are elevated. Notably, the city eliminated OPEB benefits for new employees in 2015 and funded an OPEB trust.

The Aa3 lease-backed ratings are one notch lower than the Aa2 issuer rating. For a California city, Moody's typically applies a one-notch distinction from the issuer rating, reflecting a standard California abatement lease legal structure and leased assets that we view as "more essential." The Aa3 rating applies to the city's 2015 Refunding Revenue Bonds (Master Lease Program Facilities), 2006 Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series B Taxable, and 2006 Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series E (Master Lease Program Facilities), which benefit from the more essential nature of the leased assets within the city's Master Lease Program; and to the city's Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993B, which benefit from the more essential nature of the executive airport and civic center garage, which secure the bonds.

The A1 lease-backed rating is two notches lower than the Aa2 issuer rating. Moody's typically applies a two-notch distinction from a California city's issuer rating reflecting a standard California abatement lease legal structure and leased assets that we view as "less essential." The A1 rating applies to the city's Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1993A, which are secured by the city's convention center.

RATING OUTLOOK

The positive outlook reflects our view that the city's financial profile will be maintained at its strengthened position over the next one to two years. We anticipate that new transaction and use tax revenues will enable the city to both fund increased pension costs and build reserves, consistent with its new minimum reserve policy of 17% of general fund and Measure U fund expenditures.

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE

- Maintaining strong reserves and liquidity at least in line with current position
- Reduction of debt burden
- Reduction of unfunded pension and OPEB liabilities
- Material improvement to resident wealth measures, including median family income and full value per capita

FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO A DOWNGRADE
- An increase in spending that outpaces new transaction and use tax revenues
- Deterioration of fiscal position through deficit spending
- Material erosion of the tax base
- An increase in general fund-supported debt
- Growth in unfunded pension or OPEB liabilities

LEGAL SECURITY

The city’s lease-backed obligations are secured by standard abatement leases benefiting from 24-month rental interruption insurance and surety-funded debt service reserves. The Aa3-rated bonds are secured by rental payments for use and occupancy of leased assets under a Master Lease Agreement for use and occupancy of the Executive Airport and Civic Center Garage.

The A1-rated bonds are secured by rental payments for use and occupancy of the city’s convention center.

PROFILE

Sacramento serves as the state capital and is located at the confluence of the Sacramento and American Rivers in the northern part of California’s Central Valley, about 75 miles northeast of San Francisco. The city encompasses 100 square miles and has an estimated population of 501,344 in 2019. It is the fastest growing large city in the state.

METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in the long-term issuer rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in December 2016. The principal methodology used in the lease ratings was Lease, Appropriation, Moral Obligation and Comparable Debt of US State and Local Governments published in July 2018. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of these methodologies.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURE

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody’s legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Lori Trevino
Lead Analyst
Regional PFG West
Moody’s Investors Service, Inc.
One Front Street
Suite 1900
San Francisco 94111
Without Moody’s prior written consent.

Credit ratings and Moody’s publications are not intended for use by any person as a benchmark as that term is defined for regulatory purposes and must not be used in any way that could result in them being considered a benchmark.

All information contained herein is obtained by Moody’s from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided “as is” without warranty of any kind. Moody’s adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody’s considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody’s is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody’s publications.

To the extent permitted by law, Moody’s and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if Moody’s or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by Moody’s.

To the extent permitted by law, Moody’s and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, Moody’s or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

No warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any credit rating or other opinion or information is given or made by Moody’s in any form or manner whatsoever.

Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Corporation (“MCO”), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from $1,000 to approximately $2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS’s ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading “Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy.”

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of Moody’s Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody’s Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to “wholesale clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to Moody’s that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a “wholesale client” and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to “retail clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. Moody’s credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. (“MJKK”) is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. (“MSFJ”) is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of
MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (“NRSRO”). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.