

**SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT
BODY WORN CAMERA AUDIT - OCTOBER 2018**

Audit Number	DATE OF CAD CALL	DATE OF BWC FOOTAGE REVIEW	TOTAL DURATION OF BWC FOOTAGE (MINUTES)	WAS A REPORT GENERATED?	DID ANY BWC MALFUNCTION OCCUR?	DID A VIOLATION OF THE BWC POLICY OCCUR?	DID VIOLATION OF THE GENERAL AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT POLICY OCCUR?	DID CORRESPONDING REPORT MATCH BWC FOOTAGE?	COMMENTS	ADVISEMENT COMPLETED	POSITIVE REMARKS
18-1	10/17/2018	12/8/2018	50	Yes	No	No	No	No	BWC was not activated until approximately 2 minutes into the contact Although the officer took 2 witness statements per their observations and the BWC video, only one witness was entered as an entity in the G.O. Report, and only one witness statement was attached.	YES	N/A
18-2	10/17/2018	12/15/2018	35	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	BWC did not activate when going C3. Sgt advised. Ofcr makes a phone call on speaker. Issue with 632PC? At 2217 Hrs, officer deactivates BWC without stating reason.	YES	N/A
18-3	10/17/2018	12/15/2018	49	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	BWC was deactivated at 2242 hrs without stating the reason.	YES	N/A
18-4	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	6	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Deactivates BWC without stating a reason.	YES	N/A
18-5	10/17/2018	12/12/2018	7	Yes	No	No	No	No	Deactivates his BWC when he went to his car upon leaving no reason recorded.	YES	N/A
18-6	10/17/2018	N/A	58	Yes	N/A	No	No	No	Failed to attach himself to call and failed to activate his BWC or ICC.	YES	N/A
18-7	10/17/2018	12/15/2018	26	Yes	No	No	No	No	No written supplement submitted that he was on scene and BWC captured the incident. His BWC captured Vic/Wit statement. BWC was deactivated at 2136 Hrs & 2028 Hrs without stating a reason.	YES	N/A
18-8	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	3	Yes	No	No	No	No	No written supplement written indicating BWC was on and captured some of the incident. BWC deactivation without stating reason.	YES	N/A
18-9	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	4	Yes	No	No	No	No	No written supplement written indicating BWC was on and captured some of the incident. BWC deactivation without stating reason.	YES	N/A
18-10	10/17/2018	12/17/2018	21	Yes	No	No	No	No	No written supplement written indicating BWC was on and captured some of the incident. BWC deactivation without stating reason.	YES	N/A
18-11	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	9	Yes	No	No	No	No	No written supplement written indicating BWC was on and captured some of the incident. BWC deactivation without stating reason.	YES	N/A

**SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT
BODY WORN CAMERA AUDIT - OCTOBER 2018**

Audit Number	DATE OF CAD CALL	DATE OF BWC FOOTAGE REVIEW	TOTAL DURATION OF BWC FOOTAGE (MINUTES)	WAS A REPORT GENERATED?	DID ANY BWC MALFUNCTION OCCUR?	DID A VIOLATION OF THE BWC POLICY OCCUR?	DID VIOLATION OF THE GENERAL AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT POLICY OCCUR?	DID CORRESPONDING REPORT MATCH BWC FOOTAGE?	COMMENTS	ADVISEMENT COMPLETED	POSITIVE REMARKS
18-12	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	33	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	Lack of compliance with GO 525.03: a. The CAD CALL CLEARANCE REMARKS DO NOT INDICATE THAT AN ICC RECORDING WAS MADE. b. The incident type was not classified using the drop-down list. c. No call number was entered in the notes box. Additionally, only one officer was logged into the ICC system for the two-officer unit	YES	N/A
18-13	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	33	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	Lack of compliance with GO 525.03: a. The CAD CALL CLEARANCE REMARKS DO NOT INDICATE THAT AN ICC RECORDING WAS MADE. b. The incident type was not classified using the drop-down list. c. No call number was entered in the notes box. Additionally, only one officer was logged into the ICC system for the two-officer unit	YES	N/A
18-14	10/17/2018	12/14/2018	162	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	The officer's ICC was not activated at the time that they arrived on scene, in violation of G.O. 525.03(C)(5). The ICC was not activated until over 2 hours had passed from the arrival at the scene. (1846 hours) There was nothing significant in either the ICC or BWC videos.	YES	N/A
18-15	10/17/2018	12/14/2018	166	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	The officer's ICC was not activated at the time that they arrived on scene, in violation of G.O. 525.03(C)(5). The ICC was not activated until over 2 hours had passed from the arrival at the scene. (1846 hours) There was nothing significant in either the ICC or BWC videos.	YES	N/A
18-16	10/17/2018	12/6/2018	65	Yes	Not to BWC, Yes to ICC	Yes	No	Yes	ICC video split in two but entire incident was captured.	YES	N/A
18-17	10/17/2018	12/6/2018	65	Yes	Not to BWC, Yes to ICC	Yes	No	Yes	ICC video split into 2 files but entire incident was captured.	YES	N/A
18-18	10/17/2018	12/9/2018	33	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	ICC was not engaged upon arrival in violation of section C.5 but nothing would have been captured	YES	Officers were very patient with subject.
18-19	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	33	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	ICC was not engaged upon arrival in violation of section C.5 but nothing would have been captured	YES	Officers were very patient with subject.

**SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT
BODY WORN CAMERA AUDIT - OCTOBER 2018**

Audit Number	DATE OF CAD CALL	DATE OF BWC FOOTAGE REVIEW	TOTAL DURATION OF BWC FOOTAGE (MINUTES)	WAS A REPORT GENERATED?	DID ANY BWC MALFUNCTION OCCUR?	DID A VIOLATION OF THE BWC POLICY OCCUR?	DID VIOLATION OF THE GENERAL AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT POLICY OCCUR?	DID CORRESPONDING REPORT MATCH BWC FOOTAGE?	COMMENTS	ADVISEMENT COMPLETED	POSITIVE REMARKS
18-20	10/17/2018	12/9/2018	1	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	ICC was not engaged upon arrival in violation of section C.5 but nothing would have been captured. No notation on call in violation of C.10.	YES	N/A
18-21	10/17/2018	12/9/2018	28	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	ICC was not engaged upon arrival in violation of section C.5 but nothing would have been captured. No notation on call in violation of C.10.; BWC was shut off because officer was not involved in any sort of investigation and returned. BWC was reactivated when he exited the patrol vehicle.	YES	N/A
18-22	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	22	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Multiple BWC activations. Lt recommends review with Sgt.	YES	N/A
18-23	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	75	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No audio on ICC but on BWC	YES	N/A
18-24	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	77	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No audio on ICC but on BWC	YES	N/A
18-25	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	18	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No written supplement submitted by Officer	YES	N/A
18-26	10/17/2018	12/15/2018	21	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No written supplement submitted by Officer documenting his conversation with Vic/Wit and that it was recorded on BWC.	YES	N/A
18-27	10/17/2018	12/17/2018	18	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No written supplement submitted that he was on scene and BWC captured the incident.	YES	N/A
18-28	10/17/2018	12/15/2018	17	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No written supplement written by Officer indicating he had transported suspect to jail after being cleared from the hospital.	YES	N/A
18-29	10/16/2018	12/10/2018	11	No	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-30	10/17/2018	12/11/2018	63	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	All of the officers involved in this incident were professional, polite, and courteous
18-31	10/17/2018	12/11/2018	59	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	All of the officers involved in this incident were professional, polite, and courteous
18-32	10/17/2018	12/13/2018	17	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-33	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	45	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-34	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	45	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-35	10/17/2018	12/17/2018	39	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A

**SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT
BODY WORN CAMERA AUDIT - OCTOBER 2018**

Audit Number	DATE OF CAD CALL	DATE OF BWC FOOTAGE REVIEW	TOTAL DURATION OF BWC FOOTAGE (MINUTES)	WAS A REPORT GENERATED?	DID ANY BWC MALFUNCTION OCCUR?	DID A VIOLATION OF THE BWC POLICY OCCUR?	DID VIOLATION OF THE GENERAL AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT POLICY OCCUR?	DID CORRESPONDING REPORT MATCH BWC FOOTAGE?	COMMENTS	ADVISEMENT COMPLETED	POSITIVE REMARKS
18-36	10/17/2018	12/12/2018	16	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	Contact was professional
18-37	10/17/2018	12/12/2018	17	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	Contact was professional
18-38	10/17/2018	12/14/2018	3	No	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-39	10/17/2018	12/14/2018	41	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-40	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	1	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-41	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	4	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-42	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-43	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	21	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-44	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	44	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-45	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	15	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-46	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	5	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-47	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	3	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-48	10/17/2018	12/10/2018	7	Yes	No	Yes	No	N/A	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-49	10/17/2018	12/8/2018	52	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-50	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	53	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-51	10/17/2018	12/12/2018	37	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-52	10/17/2018	12/12/2018	37	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-53	10/17/2018	12/12/2018	37	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-54	10/17/2018	12/14/2018	13	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-55	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	226	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-56	10/17/2018	12/8/2018	142	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-57	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	85	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-58	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	121	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-59	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	62	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-60	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	60	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-61	10/17/2018	12/16/2018	7	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-62	10/17/2018	12/15/2018	24	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-63	10/17/2018	12/13/2018	41	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-64	10/17/2018	12/13/2018	39	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-65	10/17/2018	12/13/2018	39	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-66	10/17/2018	12/13/2018	28	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-67	10/17/2018	12/14/2018	30	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant	N/A	N/A
18-68	10/17/2018	12/8/2018	88	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nothing significant. BWC #4 Activation time: 1832 Deactivation time: 1843 Duration: 11m 13 sec.	N/A	N/A
18-69	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	9	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant. His response did not warrant an MRE entry so no formal need to document.	N/A	N/A
18-70	10/17/2018	12/11/2018	24	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant. Report written by Ofcs.	N/A	N/A
18-71	10/17/2018	12/8/2018	5	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant. Their response did not warrant an MRE entry so no formal need to document.	N/A	N/A

**SACRAMENTO POLICE DEPARTMENT
BODY WORN CAMERA AUDIT - OCTOBER 2018**

Audit Number	DATE OF CAD CALL	DATE OF BWC FOOTAGE REVIEW	TOTAL DURATION OF BWC FOOTAGE (MINUTES)	WAS A REPORT GENERATED?	DID ANY BWC MALFUNCTION OCCUR?	DID A VIOLATION OF THE BWC POLICY OCCUR?	DID VIOLATION OF THE GENERAL AND PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT POLICY OCCUR?	DID CORRESPONDING REPORT MATCH BWC FOOTAGE?	COMMENTS	ADVISEMENT COMPLETED	POSITIVE REMARKS
18-72	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	9	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant. Their response did not warrant an MRE entry so no formal need to document.	N/A	N/A
18-73	10/17/2018	12/7/2018	40	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant. Their response did not warrant an MRE entry so no formal need to document.	N/A	N/A
18-74	10/17/2018	12/8/2018	38	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Nothing significant. His response did not warrant an MRE entry so no formal need to document.	N/A	N/A