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Composite Needs Map:

• Red indicates high need locations

• Green indicates low need locations
Pedestrian Master Plan

- All streets identified into the three categories:
  - Basic – all streets
  - Upgrade – specific corridors
  - Premium – high use areas
Public Input/Request Form

- Identification of person making request
- Location of requested project
- Type of facility requested
- Any special considerations?

Pedestrian Improvement Request Form

Contact name __________________________
Address __________________ Phone: ___________ email: __________________

Location of project
between __________________________ and __________________________
Street name __________________________ Street name __________________________
or __________________________
Street name __________________________ Approximate address __________________________
or intersection at __________________________
and __________________________
Street name __________________________ Street name __________________________

Type of needed facility (check all that apply):
☐ Damaged sidewalk
☐ Missing sidewalk
☐ Obstructed sidewalk
☐ Damaged curb and gutter
☐ Missing curb and gutter
☐ Insufficient curb ramp
☐ Missing crosswalk
☐ Need for improved crossing
☐ Need for improved street lighting
☐ Need for streetscape enhancements
☐ Other, please specify below:

Please describe any special considerations that would make this project a high priority.

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

__________________________________________________________________________

Thank you for your project idea. After reviewing the proposal, we will contact you to indicate how we will proceed.

Staff Use:
Deficiency score ______ Demand score ______ Composite score ______
PAP/Target category: Basic Upgrade Premium
Qualified to proceed with field investigation: Yes ______ No ______
Prepared by: __________________ Date: __________________
Responded to applicant by: __________________ Date: __________________
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## Criteria for ranking projects

### Safety oriented criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Barrier Elimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Infrastructure Completeness (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Vehicle/Pedestrian Collisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Volume</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Project setting criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Transit Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Infill Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Adjoining Property (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Land Use (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Activity Centers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 100
Barrier Elimination Criteria
*Project’s ability to remove obstacles for safe travel or to introduce a shorter travel distance.*

Infrastructure Completeness (new)
*Project’s ability to improve existing conditions to bring into compliance with the assigned category of Basic, Upgrade or Premium.*

Vehicle/Pedestrian Collisions
*Reported collision between car and pedestrian that occurred during the previous three years.*

Speed
*Posted speed limit at the project location. Intersection projects shall use the highest posted speed limit of the streets.*

Volume
*Average Daily Traffic (ADT) at the project location.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transit Access</td>
<td><em>Project enables direct access to transit.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Development</td>
<td><em>Project falls within the Economic Development Strategy</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infill Development</td>
<td><em>Project falls within the Infill Development Areas</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjoining Property (new)</td>
<td><em>Based on the orientation of the development at the back of sidewalk, or where the sidewalk would be when a sidewalk is not present.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Uses (new)</td>
<td><em>Points are assigned to a project based on the predominant adjacent General Plan land use designation.</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Centers</td>
<td><em>Points are assigned to activity centers within a 600 foot radius to its parcel boundary.</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sidewalks to Schools
(Current City Priority List)

- Projects solicited from school administrations
- Includes 74 schools of all grade levels
- Does not include intersection crossing improvements
Merging with Pedestrian Improvements Section

- Similar criteria
- Puts all pedestrian improvement programs in one location
- Reduces redundancy and confusion
- Retaining ability to identify school related projects within the list
Criteria Comparison

- Sidewalks to Schools
  - 10 pts. ADT (volume)
  - 25 pts. No. of Students
  - 10 pts. Posted Speed
  - 35 pts. Existing Condition
  - 10 pts. Infill Development
  - 10 pts. Car-Ped. Collisions
  - 100 pts. Total

- Pedestrian Improvements
  - 10 pts. Volume
  - 10 pts. Activity Centers (schools)
  - 10 pts. Speed
  - 15 pts. Infrastructure Completeness
  - 5 pts. Infill Development
  - 10 pts. Car-Ped. Collisions
  - 60 pts. Subtotal

- additional criteria:
  - 15 pts. Barrier Elimination
  - 5 pts. Transit Access
  - 5 pts. Economic Development
  - 5 pts. Adjoining Property
  - 10 pts. Land Use
  - 100 pts. Total
Criteria Comparison

- Sidewalks to Schools  Pedestrian Improvements
  - 10 pts. ADT (volume)  10 pts. Volume
  - 25 pts. No. of Students  10 pts. Activity Centers (schools)
  - 10 pts. Posted Speed  10 pts. Speed
  - 35 pts. Existing Condition  15 pts. Infrastructure Completeness
  - 10 pts. Infill Development  5 pts. Infill Development
  - 10 pts. Car-Ped. Collisions  10 pts Car-Ped. Collisions
  - **100 pts. Total**

- Additional criteria:
  - 15 pts. Barrier Elimination
  - 5 pts. Transit Access
  - 5 pts. Economic Development
  - 5 pts. Adjoining Property
  - 10 pts. Land Use

- **100 pts. Total**
Sidewalks To Schools

Safe Routes to School
Safe Routes to Schools
(State/Federal Funding Program)

• Funding programs administered by the State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)
• Two programs: one is Federally funded; the other is State Funded.
• Funding for any project that will increase the safety for walking and biking to school
A useful tool would be a way to identify projects in the TPG that could apply for Safe Routes to Schools funds.
Aligning projects to the fund

• Identifying projects that could apply.
• Calling-out in the scored and ranked listing.
• Indicating whether K-8 or high school.
• Confirm that projects are a route to school.
• Confirming that projects serve a large number of students that would walk to school.