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INTRODUCTION

VISION:
A safe, comfortable and continuous network of bikeways attracting and serving bicyclists of all ages and abilities from all neighborhoods and thereby integrating bicycling as a fundamental part of Sacramento’s everyday transportation system.

PURPOSE:
The purpose of the Sacramento City Bicycle Master Plan is to set forth bicycle related investments, policies, programs and strategies to establish a complete bicycle system. This will encourage more bicycling by the citizens of Sacramento for both transportation and recreation, and thereby allowing the City of Sacramento to meet General Plan emission targets.

PURPOSE OF THE 2016 UPDATE:
This update to the City of Sacramento’s Bicycle Master Plan (BMP) aims to establish a framework for an improved bicycling environment throughout the entire City by:

- **Engaging under represented neighborhoods** to inform investments in infrastructure and programs
- **Evaluating equity** related to bicycle infrastructure investment and bicyclist age and abilities
- **Identifying best practice** bikeway designs that can be used to connect and expand the City’s low-stress bikeway network
GOALS OF THE BICYCLE MASTER PLAN

The 2035 General Plan establishes an overarching goal of making Sacramento the most livable city in America. Sacramento’s Climate Action Plan commits the City to substantially increasing its bicycling mode share to help reduce vehicle miles traveled and climate change.

In addition to the goals contained in the 2035 Sacramento General Plan and Climate Action Plan, this plan adds the following goals to the policy framework of the City. The Sacramento City-County Bicycle Advisory Committee approved a resolution promoting the following goals based on the City’s overall vision on May 10th, 2016.

**Goal: Increase Ridership**
7% bicycle mode share for commuting by 2020

**Goal: Increase Safety**
Zero bicyclist fatalities by 2020

**Goal: Increase Connectivity**
Double the percentage of residents that can conveniently reach a continuous low-traffic-stress bikeway network* by 2025

**Goal: Increase Equity**
Equitable investments in bicycling facilities and programs for all neighborhoods by 2020

---

*Note – A “low-traffic-stress” bikeway network provides a bicycling option for people of all ages and abilities throughout Sacramento; “low-traffic-stress” is defined in Mekuria et al., 2012. Low-stress bicycling and network connectivity. Report 11-10, Mineta Transportation Institute, San Jose.*
OVERVIEW OF SACRAMENTO

The City of Sacramento stretches across 100 square miles in the heart of the California Central Valley. Home to over 485,000 residents, California’s capital city is a major activity hub for the metropolitan region and Northern California alike.

The City is strongly defined by its two rivers and their associated parkways – the Sacramento and the American River. While the rivers serve as local and regional amenities, they also physically divide the City, forming barriers to travel between adjacent neighborhoods. Today, local river crossing opportunities are limited, with just seven local bridges across the American River and two local river crossings connecting Sacramento with neighboring Yolo County across the Sacramento River.

Beyond the City’s natural features, manmade features heavily shape the Sacramento landscape. Several freeways traverse the City, including Interstate 5,
Interstate 80, Highway 50, State Route 160, and State Route 99. Major arterials distribute automobile traffic to and from the local freeway network, including Folsom Boulevard, Arden Way, Truxel Road, Fruittidge Road, and Florin Road. These high-capacity corridors provide convenient access for people traveling by car, but simultaneously pose challenges for bicyclists attempting to travel throughout the City.

Similarly, the City’s extensive railroad network creates distinct edges throughout Sacramento. This network is utilized for both freight and passenger transportation purposes, including the Sacramento Regional Transit light rail network, which carries 50,000 passengers per week throughout the region. Roadway and bicycle networks are often interrupted by lengthy stretches of railroad track, making safe crossing opportunities few and far between.

Despite these barriers, the flat topography and temperate year-round climate provide ideal conditions for bicycling as a primary mode of transportation in Sacramento. Over the years, the City has facilitated bicycle travel through the provision of a variety of bicycle facilities, ranging from on-street neighborhood bicycle routes to the renowned American River Bike Trail. With this foundation in place, the City is positioned to stitch together these individual components into a cohesive, complete bicycle network.
BICYCLE FRIENDLY COMMUNITY STATUS

Since 2006, the City of Sacramento has been recognized as a Bicycle Friendly Community by the League of American Bicyclists. In 2011, the City’s recognition level was upgraded to “Silver” largely based on the growing public support for bicycling in the region and the integration of complete streets improvement standards.

A number of projects over the past decade have focused on improving bicycle access to the downtown business district, including road diets to add bicycle lanes on H Street, J Street, Folsom Boulevard, Freeport Boulevard.

The evaluations for bicycle friendly communities are based on a combination of qualitative metrics and quantitative self-reported data. A selection committee assesses each application based on the unique context of each community under consideration. The criteria are based on five categories known as the Five E’s, which include Engineering, Education, Encouragement, Enforcement, and Evaluation & Planning. In addition, the evaluations include key outcomes related to ridership, crashes, and fatalities that can be measured and tracked over time.

---

**Bicycle Friendly Community Report Card**

**SACRAMENTO, CA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sacramento</th>
<th>Average Gold</th>
<th>Sacramento</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial and Major Collector Streets with Bike Lanes</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bicycle Network Mileage to Total Road Network Mileage</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Education Outreach</td>
<td>VERY GOOD</td>
<td>SOME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of Transportation Budget Spent on Bicycling</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Month and Bike to Work Events</td>
<td>VERY GOOD</td>
<td>VERY GOOD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Category Scores**

- **Engineering**: 4.7/10
- **Education**: 3.1/10
- **Encouragement**: 4.7/10
- **Enforcement**: 4.7/10
- **Evaluation & Planning**: 2.1/10

**Key Outcomes**

- **Ridership**: 5.5% | 2.3%
- **Crashes**: 100 | 453
- **Fatalities**: 0.6 | 5.7

---

**Key Steps to Gold**

- Increase staff time and resources spent on improving bicycling conditions in Sacramento. Current reported FTE points to a lack of staff capacity compared to other Bicycle Friendly Communities.
- Develop a comprehensive traffic safety program, such as a Vision Zero, to reduce the number of bicyclist crashes and fatalities. A comprehensive program should include infrastructure changes, such as traffic calming, in addition to education efforts.
- Launch a bike share system that is open to the public. Bike sharing is a convenient, cost-effective, and healthy way of encouraging locals and visitors to make short trips by bike and to bridge the “last mile” between public transit and destinations.
- Consider offering a “Ciclovia” or Open Streets event, closing off a major corridor to auto traffic and offering the space to cyclists and pedestrians. This event can be a great place to engage people about improvements they would like in their community and barriers to biking more often than they experience.
- You reported a higher percentage of roads over 35 mph than other applicant communities. Consider ways to decrease speed limits in urban areas and redesign streets to increase compliance with the new speed limits.
- Expand youth education programs. Bicycle-safety education should be a routine part of education, for students of all ages. Neighborhoods surrounding schools should be particularly safe and convenient for biking and walking.

---

**Learn More**

www.bikeleague.org/communities

---

**SACRAMENTO BIKE MASTER PLAN**
During the most recent renewal in February of 2016, the City of Sacramento maintained its “Silver” Bicycle Friendly Community designation. Generally, in the Five E’s categories, scoring remained stagnant with a slight drop in the Evaluation & Planning Criteria. In the key outcomes evaluation, the percentage of commuters who bike has remained relatively constant since 2011 at about 2.5 percent. Prior to 2011, the growth of ridership in Sacramento was one of its key strengths. Unfortunately, the crashes and fatalities per 10,000 bicycle commuters has greatly increased over the last four years. The frequency of crashes has nearly doubled from 265 to 453 between 2011 and 2015. During the same time period, the fatality rate has tripled from 1.89 to 5.7 fatalities per 10,000 bicycle commuters.

The League recommended several measures for improving bicycle-friendliness in Sacramento:

- Increase staff time and resources spent on improving bicycling conditions.
- Develop a comprehensive traffic safety program, such as Vision Zero.
- Launch a bike share system that is open to the public to encourage short trips by bike and bridge the “last mile” to transit.
- Consider offering a “Ciclovia” or Open Streets event to engage the community regarding enhancements and removing barriers to bicycling.
- Consider ways to decrease speed limits in urban areas and redesign those streets to increase compliance with the new limits.
- Expand youth education programs.
To see major increases in ridership, the City would need to invest in bikeways that are more comfortable for a broader spectrum of riders.

Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) is a way to evaluate the stress a bike rider will experience when riding on a road based on factors such as speed of traffic, number of traffic lanes, and presence and quality of bike lanes. The chart on the previous page shows that “Interested but Concerned” bicyclists comprise the majority of adults. The Low-Stress Bicycling and Network Connectivity methodology concludes that “Interested but Concerned” bicyclists will not tolerate a bikeway that is high stress (LTS 3 and 4). Therefore, significantly increasing bicycle mode share will require well-connected bikeways that are considered lower stress.

For the purposes of this plan, bikeways that could be considered lower-stress include:
- Bike paths
- Protected/Separated bikeways (with vertical barrier)
- Buffered bike lanes on streets with a posted travel speed of up to 45 miles per hour
- Bike lanes on streets with a posted travel speed of up to 35 miles per hour
- Shared streets (or bike routes) with a posted travel speed of up to 25 miles per hour
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Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) is a way to evaluate the stress a bike rider will experience while riding on a road. It is used to categorize roads by the types of riders above who will be willing to use them. LTS is...

LTS 1: Most children can feel safe riding on these streets.
LTS 2: The mainstream, “interested but concerned,” adult population will feel safe riding on these streets.
LTS 3: Bike riders who are “enthused and confident” but who still prefer having their own dedicated space for riding will feel safe while traveling on streets of this nature.
LTS 4: Only the “strong and fearless” will ride on these high-stress streets with high speeds limits, multiple travel lanes, limited or non-existent bikeways, and long intersection crossing distances.
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EXISTING CONDITIONS

The City of Sacramento’s bikeway network is anchored by the river trails along the American and Sacramento Rivers. The Central City is characterized by a robust street grid that supports multiple modes of transportation surrounded by tree lined suburbs with neighborhood bike routes. Historic highways radiate out from the Central City connecting neighborhoods with on-street bike lanes that are evolving to better serve the City’s residents. Eclectic bike parking that celebrates the arts culture of Sacramento can be found along many of its active commercial corridors. The City is implementing its first protected bikeway along North 12th Street continuing its goal of becoming a city that celebrates active transportation.
BICYCLE MODE SHARE

According to SACOG’s Metropolitan Transportation Plan / Sustainable Community Strategy (Adopted February 18, 2016) bicycle mode share across the Sacramento Region has steadily grown over that last decade. As the largest urbanized area and job center, the City of Sacramento’s bicycle commute mode share of 2.5 percent is above the regional average. By analyzing the American Communities Survey (ACS) commute data for the City of Sacramento we observe that some neighborhoods have a bicycle commute mode share above 20 percent, and the Central City averages approximately eight percent. However, this map also highlights many of the areas of the City that fall below the regional average with a mode share less than one percent. Increases in Educational and Encouragement programs, Enforcement and Engineering infrastructure can help the City reach its bicycle commute mode share goals.
Percent of Households that Bike to Work

Source: American Communities Survey
Sacramento Regional Transit District

As the primary transit service provider for the Sacramento metropolitan area, the Sacramento Regional Transit District (RT) operates 69 bus routes, one general public dial-a-ride service, and 41.8 miles of light rail throughout a 418 square-mile service area. Buses and light rail operate 365 days a year using 90 light rail vehicles, 209 buses, and 25 shuttle vans.

RT facilitates bicycling by providing bike racks on buses, allowing bikes on trains, and providing bike parking at light rail stations. Every bus is equipped with a bike carrier serving passengers on a first-come, first-serve basis. In March 2015, RT installed new three-position bike racks on most of their buses for additional carrying capacity. Bikes are allowed on the light rail trains during all hours.
of operation. On multi-car trains, four bikes are allowed per car – two in the front and two in the back. On the last daily bus or train on each route, there is no limit on the number of bikes that can board. Over 150 weatherproof bike lockers are located at 19 light rail stations. Additionally, many light rail stations have bike racks.

The Existing Transit Facilities map highlights where existing bikeway infrastructure exists near transit lines.

**Inter-City Rail Service**

Inter-city rail service is available on two commuter rail lines and two transcontinental rail lines that serve Sacramento.

The most popular inter-city rail line serving Sacramento is the Capitol Corridor, which provides up to 32 daily trains between Sacramento, the Bay Area, and San Jose.

The second inter-city rail line is the San Joaquin line, which provides 12 daily trains between Stockton and Bakersfield. Two of these trains continue to Sacramento.

Both the Capitol Corridor and the San Joaquin lines allow walk-on bicycle storage. Recently, Capitol Corridor JPA doubled on-board bicycle storage capacity.
Other Transit Services

**North Natomas Flyer**
Operated by the North Natomas Transportation Management Association, the Natomas Flyer provides four shuttle routes throughout the North Natomas area. Each of these routes provide a trip to Downtown Sacramento. Each of the 32 foot buses have capacity for 30 passengers and three bicycles.

**Sacramento State Hornet Express**
Operated by California State University Sacramento during spring and fall semesters, the Hornet Express Shuttle provides three shuttle routes in and around the California State University Sacramento campus in East Sacramento. Hornet Express Shuttle service is intended for students attending the University. Each of the buses have carriers with capacity for two bicycles.

**UC Davis Shuttles**
Operated by UC Davis Health System, the UC Davis Medical Center is served by four regular shuttle routes and one express route. Additionally, the system includes a route connecting to the main University of California Davis campus. Service is intended for persons affiliated with University of California. Most of the buses have carriers with capacity for two bicycles.

**Buses From Outside of Sacramento City Limits**
The following list of transit agencies provide at least one route to downtown Sacramento. Each of these vehicles are equipped to transport bicycles either on a bicycle carrier or within the luggage compartment:

**Amador Transit:** Serving Amador County (Plymouth, Jackson, Sutter Creek, Ione), each shuttle is equipped with a carrier for two bikes.

**El Dorado Transit:** Serving El Dorado County (Placerville, Shingle Springs) each bus is equipped with a bicycle carrier.

**e-tran:** Serving the City of Elk Grove, each bus is equipped with a carrier for two bikes.

**Fairfield and Suisun Transit/Solano Express:**
Serving Fairfield and Suisun City, buses have carriers for two bicycles plus additional storagespace in the luggage compartment.

**Roseville Transit:** Serving the City of Roseville, each bus is equipped with a bicycle carrier.

**San Joaquin RTD:** Serving San Joaquin County (Stockton and Lodi), bicycles are allowed in the luggage compartment.

**South County Transit:**
Serving Galt and South Sacramento County, bicycles either on a bicycle carrier or within the luggage compartment.

**Yolo**
**bus:** Serving Yolo County (Davis, Woodland, and West Sacramento), downtown Sacramento, and Sacramento International Airport, all buses are equipped with bicycle carriers for three bicycles.

**Yuba-Sutter Transit:**
Serving Yuba County (Yuba City and Marysville), all buses are equipped with bicycle carriers for two bicycles.
PROGRAMS

The City of Sacramento has many programs that focus on increasing ridership, making biking safer, and integrating biking into everyday life.

Education

Bicycle Education in Schools

Elementary schools are eligible to participate in the Captain Jerry Program, offered by the City of Sacramento Department of Public Works. Aimed at providing learning basic traffic safety along with the fun of bicycling, the program makes an interactive presentation to an assembly of the students. The program visits ten schools per year. Bicycle safety topics include bicycling to prevent falling, riding on the right side of the road, using hand signals, and the use of bicycle helmets.

Safetyville USA in Sacramento educates children on life saving skills, health and fitness, and roadway safety.

North Natomas Transportation Management Association (NNTMA) has a fleet of bicycles they provide to classes participating in their bicycle rodeos within the North Natomas neighborhood. They provide Project Ride Smart as a 5th grade driver’s education program – for bikes. This comprehensive bicycle safety program teaches traffic principles and on-bike handling skills. The 10 hour course culminates with street rides where students apply what they learned to their local neighborhood streets – giving them the knowledge and experience to travel safely to and from school. Project Ride Smart is taught by certified bike instructors.

Adult bicycle education is offered through Smart Cycling Sacramento taught by instructors certified by the League of American Bicyclists. Students are able to earn their Traffic Skills 101 Certificate and become more prepared to ride in an urban environment.

Police Department Grant Programs

The Sacramento Police Department obtains funding for safety in schools as well. The most recent program, School Traffic Safety, funds a police officer to provide enforcement and traffic safety education at over 100 schools in Sacramento. Over 1,000 bicycle helmets were purchased to be given away to children at the many planned school bicycle safety events. These events include bicycle safety rodeos, Teen Impact classes, and traffic safety pamphlets.
Enforcement

Traffic Law Enforcement
Funding for law enforcement in Sacramento has experienced program cuts due to budget constraints. Between 2007 and 2012 there was a 19 percent reduction of sworn officers and 45 percent reduction in civilian staff. As a result of these cuts, several programs, including routine traffic enforcement were eliminated. In the interim, some funding for traffic enforcement was secured though grants aimed at DUI and distracted driver enforcement. Funding for overall traffic enforcement is being gradually restored as the economy continues to recover.

Bicycle Theft Prevention and Recovery
In response to an increase in bicycle thefts in recent years, the Sacramento Police Department partnered with various neighborhoods to conduct a bait bike program. These bait bikes have been successful in reducing bicycle theft. Each bait bicycle is equipped with an Electronic Tracking System monitoring device, and when moved, sends a signal to police dispatch that the object is moving. Officers use equipment that tracks the bicycle's location. When the lock is cut and the bike starts to move, officers respond and generally make an arrest. The program also includes posting of signs as the prevention piece of the program as illustrated to the right. More funding of this program could help reduce bicycle theft.

The Sacramento Police Department has also taken steps toward bicycle recovery through “Ride On!” an online bicycle registration program. By registering in the program, a recovered bicycle that is in the registry can be returned to its rightful owner. An added benefit, bicycle registration aids in identifying the bicycle owner in case of a crash, since many bicyclists, especially children, don’t carry identification. In 2013, 741 bicycles were brought in as either evidence, found property or put in safe keeping. During the year, 362 bicycles were returned to their owners, and 199 were sent to auction. Higher recovery rates could be seen with more use of the “Ride On!” program.
Encouragement

May is Bike Month
First sponsored by the Sacramento Area Council of Governments in 2006, May is Bike Month is a bicycle promotional campaign that takes place every year during the Month of May. The focus of the campaign is to promote bicycle use as a mode of transportation whether for running errands, commuting, riding recreationally, or working. The campaign encourages people to get out of their cars and on their bikes year-round, but with and emphasis every May so that as more people become energized and comfortable on their bicycles they will be more likely to replace a car trip with a bicycle trip year round. Of the many activities that take place during the month of May is the voluntary logging of miles by people who sign into the web page. Since 2014, during the month of May the total number of miles has approached the 2 million mile goal. May is Bike Month is expected to continue for the foreseeable future, with the result of increasing bicycle ridership.

Bicycle Trip Guide
The Sacramento Area Council of Governments published a bicycle trip guide for the Sacramento Region. This 28 page pamphlet discusses topics such as how to get started bicycling, ways to dress for bicycling and for work, equipment needed, tips on riding in the street, secure parking and locking, trip route planning tips, access to bike maps, information on transit services, other rideshare information.

Bike Access and Repair
The Sacramento Bicycle Kitchen is a community-centered bicycle cooperative serving the residents of Sacramento. The organization was formed in order to promote cycling as a low-cost, alternative form of transportation; enable self-sufficiency through knowledge of bicycle maintenance; and promote bicycle safety through education and classes.

North Natomas Transportation Management Association hosts bike clinics and the Bike Doc (mobile bike repair) about twice monthly. The Bike Doc program repairs North Natomas bicycles, for free, at school-based events.

Hard-working, professional Bike Doc mechanics are dedicated to ensuring students and their families have safe and rideable bikes by fixing flats, aligning brakes and doing repairs as needed at local school events throughout the spring season. Bike Doc events at school sites also offer low cost helmet sales, where new, quality helmets are provided for the subsidized rate of $5.

In 2017, NNTMA will have their 5th annual 50 Bikes for 50 Kids. The event is centered on rewarding the excellent young individuals found in our community while encouraging the community to learn more about bicycles. This event demonstrates the strength of a unified community, from the 232 volunteers who donated 914 hours of their time to the scores of businesses who sponsored the event.

Cycles 4 Hope was founded to serve people in need in the greater Sacramento California region. Their goal is increase the transportation options and increase opportunity to people in need through the donation of recycled bicycles.
Evaluation

CycleSac
SACOG developed the CycleSac smartphone application to crowdsource the desirability of bicycle routes in the region and collect data about where people are, or are not, riding bikes. This information can be leveraged by planners for more informed decisions regarding infrastructure investments to meet demands and help keep riders safe.

CycleSac collects data on existing cyclist travel patterns from users in all six counties and documents current constraints, issues, and opportunities in the area. This tool is not a comprehensive analytical tool, but a snapshot to understand the routes taken by proactive bicycle riders.

The Sacramento Area Bicycle Advocates (SABA) provides volunteer bike counting services for many of the planning projects throughout the Sacramento Region.

Sacramento 311 Call Center
Sacramento City 311 is an easy to remember phone number available within the City limits that residents, businesses, and visitors can use to request service, report problems, or get information from local government. Among many of the topics available, bicyclists can call in service requests for potholes, problematic traffic signals, fallen tree limbs and flooded streets. The call center is also available for answers to commonly asked questions and requests for new facilities, such as bike parking. The 311 system is also available through an online portal and as a smartphone application.
Engineering

Bikeway Network
The City’s Public Works Department plans, seeks funding for, and implements paths and on-street bikeways. Project identification is done through area studies and citywide planning efforts (such as this Plan). When possible, projects are implemented through roadway resurfacing programs or in coordination with other projects already underway. Otherwise the City seeks funding through regional or state funding opportunities.

Bike Parking
No bikeway network is complete without a safe and secure place to lock your bicycle. Bicycle parking in the City is installed one of three ways:

1. City installation as part of the Public Bicycle Rack Program
2. Installation on public and private property with new development projects
3. Installation on public and private property at existing buildings by property/building owner

The City’s Public Bicycle Rack Program will install bicycle racks such as those on the sidewalk or in bike corals (grouped bike parking on-street) for no cost at locations that meet certain criteria.

Wayfinding
Most wayfinding signage within the City is concentrated in Downtown and Midtown Sacramento. Wayfinding signage in this area is intended for use by pedestrians, bicyclists, and automobiles, directing travelers to local recreational, historic, and civic destinations, as well as major transportation routes. Some wayfinding signage is also provided along the American River Parkway. Outside of these areas, wayfinding signage within the City is sporadic.

In early 2016, as part of the Grid 3.0 planning effort, the City launched an update to the existing signage within Downtown and Midtown. In addition to wayfinding signage directed at vehicles and pedestrians, Grid 3.0 also includes a strategy to supplement the wayfinding program with signage directed specifically at those traveling by bicycle. This signage would be installed along key bicycle routes within the Central City, directing bicyclists to key districts and destinations along these routes. This system will limit redundancy with existing and planned vehicular and pedestrian wayfinding signage since these signs are visible to those traveling by bicycle, and the program will therefore be concentrated along routes with lower amounts of motor vehicle traffic. This approach will limit “sign saturation” and result in a higher focus on bicycle signage near gateways to/from the Central City.

Implementation of this program will take place in phases, with installation of signage along corridors with future bicycle improvement projects occurring after improvements are constructed.

Bike Share
In 2013, SMAQMD completed a Bike Share Business Plan, which evaluated the feasibility of a regional bike share system and identified preliminary station locations in Sacramento, West Sacramento, and Davis. Recently, SACOG has been identified as the responsible agency for furthering planning and procurement efforts for the regional bike share system. Tentatively, SACOG expects to implement pilot bike share stations in downtown Sacramento in late 2016.
EXISTING BIKEWAYS

Existing On-Street Bikeway Mileage

Sacramento has 316 miles of on-street bikeways. Approximately 254 miles of on-street bikeways are striped bike lanes, while the remaining 62 miles are bike routes. The current bikeway plan proposes an additional 148 miles, totaling 464 miles of on-street bikeways.

Existing Off-Street Bikeway Mileage

Sacramento has 88 miles of off-street bikeways. Approximately 79 miles of off-street bikeways are bike paths. The current bikeway plan calls for an additional 120 miles, totaling 208 miles of off-street bikeways.
Existing Bike Facilities

- **Class I Bike Path**
- **Class II Bike Lane**
- **Class III Bike Route**
BIKE PARKING

The City of Sacramento offers both free and low-cost options for people who bicycle to work, visit, or live downtown. Bicycle racks are located throughout the City and are free to use. Bicycle lockers and bicycle enclosure spaces are also available in select City of Sacramento garages at low monthly rates. Through the City of Sacramento Bicycle Rack Program, local businesses may qualify for a free bicycle rack installation to provide bicycle parking to customers.

The City of Sacramento Public Works Department will install bicycle racks in the public right-of-way for businesses that have a need for bicycle parking. The installation of bicycle racks nearby business has several important benefits:

- Increases overall parking capacity
- Encourages more trips by bicycle
- Maintains a more orderly streetscape and prevents bikes from blocking the sidewalk

Existing Inventory

As part of this BMP update, the City conducted the first-ever detailed inventory of all publicly accessible bicycle parking located in the City of Sacramento. The effort provided valuable data for planning purposes to better understand the voids in the existing bike parking inventory.

Existing Bicycle Parking Locations
Bicycle Parking Types

- Bike Corral
- Post and Ring
- Inverted U
- Other Bike Rack
- Bike Locker

Needs Assessment

The City has collected and will continue to collect bicycle parking needs through a second online tool, which is shown below.
Based on feedback received during the Grid 3.0 process and advice from the Sacramento City/County Bicycle Advisory Committee (SACBAC), the BMP update includes an equity analysis of the existing bicycle infrastructure across the City. The equity analysis was conducted to further understand the existing socio-economic conditions within the City to help prioritize planned bicycle facilities and improve bicycle accessibility for all Sacramentans.
Using GIS analysis tools paired with demographic data, an equity analysis composite index map was developed to help guide improvement recommendations in historically disadvantaged and underserved areas of the City. The following metrics were identified for use in a City-wide equity analysis composite index score:

**Below Poverty Neighborhoods:**

Households 200 percent below the poverty line were mapped for the City of Sacramento at the census tract level to identify lower income neighborhoods. ACS (American Community Survey) 5-year composite household data from 2008 to 2013 was used. This analysis revealed areas along Richards Boulevard, Meadowview Road, and Mack Road have over 30 percent of households below the 200 percent poverty line.
**CalEnviroScreen2.0:** The CalEnviroScreen2.0 score was developed by the California Environmental Protection Agency to help disadvantaged communities for cap-and-trade funding. It provides statewide scoring metrics at a census tract level, including environmental and social economic disparities ranging from drinking water contaminants to air pollution. The CalEnviroScreen2.0 score was mapped at the census tract level for the City of Sacramento. The results show that the highest disparities are located south of Broadway along the Sacramento River, neighborhoods around Florin Perkins Road, and northern Sacramento near Del Paso Boulevard. (CALEPA, latest update, August 2014).
**Bike to Work:** Percentage of households that bicycle to work (ACS 5-year composite 2013 data) was mapped at a census tract level to help identify where people are already bicycling within the City and where to prioritize new or enhanced facilities. Neighborhoods along Stockton Boulevard and Freeport Boulevard and within East Sacramento and Midtown all show high ridership in ranges above 20 percent of households bicycling to work.
Non-Auto Ownership:
Non-auto ownership was mapped at the census tract level to identify areas with higher levels of people who ride for utilitarian trips (i.e., non-recreational riders). For example, neighborhoods along Stockton Boulevard south of Fruitridge Road show about 10 percent of households with non-auto ownership. (ACS 5-year composite 2013 data).
Collisions: Bicycle collision data from the past seven years reported from the California Highway Patrol (Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS)) Bicycle Collision Data 2006-2013 reveals trends and patterns regarding bicyclist safety. A City-wide collision density map was created based on high-frequency collision locations. An additional emphasis was added to areas that had bicycle collisions involving fatalities. The analysis shows high concentrations of collisions and fatalities within the Downtown and Midtown areas, as well as along many of the major arterials in neighborhoods surrounding the urban core.

Between 2006 and 2013, the frequency of collisions decreased in the Downtown and Midtown areas. The analysis does not show the same decrease in the neighborhoods outside of the core. Most of the recent cycling fatalities have occurred along the major roadways of these surrounding areas.
Density of Collisions Involving Bicyclists -

- 2006/2007 (452 Total)
- 2008/2009 (459 Total)
- 2010/2011 (427 Total)
- 2012/2013 (326 Total)
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Key Findings

» Sacramento is a patchwork of neighborhoods of varying equity levels
» Green areas demonstrate newer neighborhoods that planned and constructed bikeways as they developed
» Orange and red areas have high levels of inequity highlighting socio-economic factors and a lack of bicycle infrastructure
» Rivers, rail lines and freeways create barriers to people who ride bicycles in both southern and northern parts of the City
LAND USES THAT ATTRACT BICYCLE RIDERS

In addition to the equity analysis, an understanding of the land uses and destinations that encourage bicycling is required to identify areas with latent demand for bicycling. While the City has recently promoted mixed use development, most of the City historically developed around more traditional land use patterns; dividing commercial and residential uses.

Creating low stress bicycle connections from residential neighborhoods to employment centers and retail corridors is essential to increasing ridership and safety. These employment centers and retail corridors provide access to jobs, restaurants, bars, and music venues, which attract riders day and night.

A complete bicycle network suggests less stressful routes to schools. Elementary and high schools are broadly distributed in every Sacramento neighborhood and major education destinations such as Sacramento City College and Sacramento State (CSUS) draw riders from across the region.

Neighborhood, city, and regional parks also serve as major attractors of bicycle activity. Connections to the American River Parkway and an expansion of the Sacramento River Parkway should be considered as backbone improvements for a City-wide low stress bicycle network. Every neighborhood park should be accessible via low stress bikeways to allow access to ballparks, playgrounds, community gardens, and gathering spaces.
I participated in #SacBikePlan!
Preparation of the Bicycle Master Plan included an outreach program that informed and engaged the community, including an extensive outreach effort to underrepresented communities that evaluated equitable distribution of facilities throughout the City. Community members participated in the process through stakeholder meetings, a series of traveling workshops, presentations to community-based organizations, and an online tool to map bicycle parking facilities. The workshop formats, presentations, and information gathering were designed around the Five E’s, including Education, Encouragement, Engineering, Evaluation, and Enforcement.
Stakeholder Meeting #1
On January 12, 2016, the BMP project team held a stakeholder meeting to provide information about the current BMP, identify tasks for the 2016 update, discuss community outreach efforts, review and comment on proposed improvements, and identify gaps in the City’s current Bicycle Master Plan. After discussing the project and community outreach process, stakeholders were invited to review maps of the existing and proposed bikeways within the City limits and provide feedback on difficult intersections, gaps in the bicycle network, and unsafe bike routes.

Traveling Workshops
To engage the public and receive valuable input from community members, the project team coordinated and facilitated a series of traveling workshops at well attended community events and popular community destinations from January through March 2016. The workshops took place throughout five identified under represented communities in Sacramento:

**District 1: Natomas**
- North Natomas Food Truck Mania at the North Natomas Library (February 18, 2016)
- Pop up Workshop at the South Natomas Community Center (March 16, 2016)

**District 2: Del Paso Heights**
- Health and Wellness Expo at Grant Union High School (January 23, 2016)
- Pop up Workshop at the Mutual Assistance Network (February 12, 2016)

**District 5: Oak Park**
- Chinese New Year Celebration at Hiram Johnson High School (January 30, 2016)
- First Friday in Oak Park at Broadway Coffee (March 4, 2016)

**District 6: Fruitridge**
- Lunar New Year Festival in Little Saigon (February 6, 2016)
- Mega Friday Basketball at West Campus High School (February 12, 2016)

**District 8: Meadowview / Valley Hi**
- Meadowview Road and 24th Street Streetscape Project Community Open House at the Pannell Community Center (February 25, 2016)
- Meadowview Neighborhood Association Meeting at the Pannell Community Center (March 16, 2016)

Project team members provided information about the BMP to community members, and gathered feedback from participants of all ages through several different interactive activities. The activities and their objectives included:

- **Map Exercise:** A map of Sacramento showing currently proposed bicycle improvements was displayed. Community members placed different colored dots on the map to indicate a gap in the bicycle network, a difficult intersection, an unsafe bicycle route, a need for bicycle parking, or another barrier to bicycling.
- **Survey:** A short two-question survey asked participants where they currently ride their bicycle and where they would like to ride their bicycle.
- **Alternate Commute Exercise:** Community members could use an online map application to identify how long their commute to work or school is by car, and compare it to commuting by bicycle. The differences in distance and time demonstrated whether or not the person could take their trip by bike instead of by car.
- **Key Improvements Exercise:** Participants were prompted to answer the question, “I would ride my bike more often if...” on post-it notes. These answers were placed on a board with other participant responses.
Presentations to Community-Based Organizations
Several community-based organizations reached out to the project team and requested that individual presentations be made to their groups. The project team presented information about the project, answered questions, and provided surveys to 10 community groups from January through March 2016.

Stakeholder Meeting #2
The final outreach component of the BMP’s community engagement program was a second stakeholder meeting held on May 2, 2016. The purpose of this meeting was to provide an update on the project and its next steps, review the data collected from the bike parking inventory online tool, present results from the equity analysis performed, review ways to improve bicycling conditions, review feedback received from the community, and receive final feedback from stakeholders.
ENHANCING THE SYSTEM

An improved Sacramento bicycle network requires that bicycle facilities be analyzed and evaluated as a coherent system and not solely as individual segments. To increase safety and ridership, a cohesive network of bikeways should be created to accommodate riders of varying abilities. Direct commute routes need to be established to create efficient routes for riders that value ease of use and commute time. Routes designed around eliminating common conflicts should be established to accommodate less confident riders.
Off-street bikeways:
Off-street bikeways are paved bike paths (also known as Class I bikeways) for the use of bicycle riders and pedestrians while prohibiting motorized vehicles. Off-street bikeways include some wide sidewalks where the bicyclists and pedestrians share the sidewalk. Off-street bikeways may include overcrossing structures, as shown to the right, to facilitate the crossing of certain barriers such as freeways, large arterial roadways, railroad tracks, and rivers.

Bike paths along the American and Sacramento Rivers are a key element and backbone for the region’s bicycle networks.

The lack of motor vehicles on bike paths appeal to the widest audience.

On-Street Bikeways
On-street bikeways consist of a combination of signage and street markings to indicate a bike lane or a bike route. These bikeways are intended to provide accessibility to destinations to the same degree as is provided to motorized modes of transportation. The most common on-street bikeway is a bike lane (also known as a Class II bikeway), which is a dedicated space on the roadway for bicyclists to travel in the same direction as the adjacent travel lanes.

Bike lanes can be enhanced by increasing the separation from the traveled lane or parking lane with a painted buffer. Buffered bike lanes can be a cost effective way to increase rider safety. The buffered bike lane also provides space for riders to pass another bicyclist without having to the encroach into the adjacent lane. Most commonly buffers are added to the left of the bike lane to create separation between automobiles moving at high speeds. By adding the buffer to the right of bike lane, the rider will be encouraged to ride outside of the door zone in areas with high turnover on-street parking. Finally, the painted buffer provides greater space for people who ride bikes without making the bike lane appear too wide and be mistaken for an automobile lane.

The second most common on-street bikeway is a bike route, also known as a Class III bikeway. It is a roadway that is shared among bicyclists and vehicles with a roadside sign indicating that it is a bike route. Many bike routes also add a shared lane marking (sharrow) on the pavement. Bike routes are most applicable on low volume, low speed roadways.

Bike routes can also be further enhanced to create bicycle boulevards. Bike boulevards connect key destinations along corridors that have managed low traffic volume and speeds, intersection priority, and signing and marking treatments that distinguish it from other streets. M Street through East Sacramento connecting the urban core to Sacramento State (CSUS) and the American River Parkway is an example of a fledgling bicycle boulevard in Sacramento.

The newest type of bikeway being implemented is the separated bikeway or cycle track referred to by Caltrans as a Class IV bikeway. A separated bikeway is an exclusive facility for bicycle riders that is located within or directly adjacent to the roadway. The key feature of a separated bikeway is a vertical element that provides further separation from motor vehicle traffic. Pedestrians are prohibited in a separated bikeway and require a parallel sidewalk or path. Separated bikeways can be one-way on each side of the roadway similar to a bike lane, or can be two-way. Common vertical elements used for separation can be a vertical curb, painted buffer with flexible post, parked cars, landscape area, or fixed barrier. Sacramento is developing the first major separated bikeway on North 12th Street in the River District.
Facility Selection
A streets vehicle volume and speed can have an impact on bicyclists comfort and decision to use a route or choose to bicycle at all. Identifying the appropriate bikeway facility type can be a challenge.

The Bikeway Facility Selection Guidelines shown above is a starting point to help identify which bikeway type is appropriate for which type of roadway. The goal of this Guideline is to provide staff a framework to implement low stress bikeways that are comfortable for all ages and abilities by using the posted travel speed and average daily traffic volume.

This is only a guide and site specific factors should be considered when determining the appropriate bikeway facility.
NEXT STEPS FOR ENHANCING
THE CITY’S BICYCLE NETWORK

See Grid 3.0 Network on page 43
The City of Sacramento recently undertook a comprehensive effort to plan the future of the Central City’s system of gridded streets. This multi-year stakeholder-driven process identified a vision for an improved downtown transportation network, known as “Grid 3.0.” Grid 3.0 will optimize the Central City’s transportation network for all travel modes—motor vehicles, transit, bicycles, and pedestrians—in order to position the Grid to accommodate future growth in travel demand.

A key strategy identified in the plan involves restriping multiple roadways to accomplish the following objectives:

- Fill gaps in the existing bicycle network by adding new facilities through travel lane reductions and two-way conversions when necessary
- Provide new buffered bike lanes
- Add new bike/pedestrian paths to provide a more complete system along the Sacramento and American Rivers
- Establish a more complete Low Stress Bicycle Network

The resulting “preferred bicycle network” from Grid 3.0 is shown below. Implementation of this network over the next 20 years will result in the following bicycle-related investments within the Central City:

- Two-way conversions that add bike lanes: 68 blocks
- Center Turn Lane Conversions for Bike Lanes (S Street): 28 blocks
- Three Lane to Two lane

The BMP update incorporates the investment strategy identified as part of Grid 3.0, and these future facilities are reflected in the map of proposed bicycle facilities included as part of this update to the plan.

The inclusion of the Grid 3.0 recommendations has afforded this update to focus its outreach and analysis on the outlying areas of the City of Sacramento.

### Grid 3.0 Preferred Bicycle Network

![Grid 3.0 Preferred Bicycle Network Map](image-url)
A number of proposed projects likely face significant implementation challenges and require evaluation to determine their viability. The projects listed below are recommend as focus areas to study feasibility:

- Sacramento RT right of way along the Gold Line from 34th Street to 65th Street
- Sacramento RT right of way along the Blue Line from Freeport Boulevard to Florin Road
- Old Sacramento Gap Closure
- Coordination with Sacramento County along Garden Highway
- North/South trail near North Market Boulevard in the "pan handle" area of north Sacramento
In addition to further evaluation of these off-street facilities, the City should expand the focus to include consideration of buffered bike lanes and separated bikeways for parallel on-street facilities. The following considerations matrix serves as a tool for City staff and community members to evaluate roadways for bikeway enhancements.

It should be noted that no single criteria in the matrix should be used to qualify or reject a facility for buffered or protected improvements. All of the criteria should be considered holistically with an understanding of the present and future context of the roadway being considered.

Criteria are related to the quantity and characteristics of adjacent motor vehicle traffic. Multilane roadways with high speeds and high volumes of automobiles and/or trucks are most applicable for candidate bikeway improvements. Corridors with very short blocks and frequent intersections and driveways

Separated Bikeway in Davis, CA
should be considered carefully and may be less applicable because of the multiple breaks in the bikeways and increased turning conflicts. Roadway corridors that have excessively wide lanes and shoulders or extra right-of-way may be excellent corridors for early adoption of buffered and protected facilities, as right-of-way acquisition may not be required.

Criteria related to the existing or projected active transportation users on the corridor should be considered. Corridors with high rates of bicycle-related collisions and pedestrian conflicts may benefit from a dedicated facility solely for bicycle use. Anticipated high volumes of vulnerable users such as children and seniors due to the proximity to schools, parks, and senior residential uses may warrant additional investment in enhanced facilities. Separated bikeways are often used as on-street facilities to connect or extend existing off-street paths.

Corridors where bus stops and loading zones enable motor vehicles to block the standard bike lane may benefit from a separated facility. High turnover on-street parking is another condition that can benefit from a separated bikeway, removing a common conflict found with standard bike lanes. Care should be given to address ADA accessibility at bus stops and accessible parking near enhanced bikeway facilities.

Lastly, to discourage wrong-way or sidewalk riding on one-way streets and improve access, a two-way cycle track could be implemented to provide more direct access for bicycle riders. This was a major contributing factor for the development of the North 12th Street separated two-way bikeway.

### Table 1: Considerations for Protected Bikeways or Cycle Tracks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less Applicable</th>
<th>Applicable</th>
<th>Most Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Speed</td>
<td>&lt; 25 mph</td>
<td>&lt; 35 mph</td>
<td>&lt; 45 mph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Volume</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 20,000 ADT</td>
<td>&gt; 20,000 ADT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Truck Volume</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Traffic Lanes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Width (wide lanes, shoulders, r/w)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Control and Intersection Spacing</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Crash History</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Volume</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Volume</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to Schools / Parks / Seniors</td>
<td>&gt; 2 Miles</td>
<td>1/2 miles to 2 miles</td>
<td>&lt; 1/2 Miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension or Gap fill of Class I</td>
<td>Parallel to Class I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus Stop</td>
<td>Low Frequency</td>
<td>High Frequency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loading Zone</td>
<td>Occasional / Off Peak</td>
<td>Many / Peak Hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking</td>
<td>Low Turnover</td>
<td>High Turnover</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessible Parking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way Street (with need for bike contraflow)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BIKE PARKING RECOMMENDATIONS

The City of Sacramento should develop Bicycle Parking Design Guidelines that include design specifications for bicycle racks and placement standards. City-funded and privately-funded bike rack installations shall conform to the Bike Parking Design Guidelines.

STREET DESIGN STANDARDS RECOMMENDATIONS

The City of Sacramento should update its Street Design Standards to include a policy to consider bike lanes on residential streets at parks and schools.

WAYFINDING RECOMMENDATIONS

Bikeway wayfinding not only helps communicate identified bike routes through the City, it can promote bicycling by educating people about distance, direction and estimated time to bike to key activity centers. The City should develop bikeway specific wayfinding guidelines, informed by NACTO guidance, and implement as funding allows.
IMPLEMENTATION

Formerly, the City’s Transportation Programming Guide (TPG) was used to score and rank transportation projects, including bicycle improvement projects. This chapter replaces the bicycle section of the TPG, and provides criteria for prioritizing bikeway improvements based on the goals from this Bicycle Master Plan to ensure that projects that best meet the goals rise to high priority for implementation. The Sacramento Bicycle Advisory Committee (SacBAC), which existed from 1995 to 2017 and helped form and guide the BMP implementation process, provided this direction:

“To achieve the goals stated in the Introduction Chapter, the City [should] to develop a compressive implementation plan by 2018. The implementation plan should include project prioritization for bicycling projects that is aligned with the goals of this Bicycle Master Plan Update.”

- SacBAC May 10, 2016
PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

The type of bikeway was identified using the Bikeway Facility Selection Guidelines documented in this plan. Per these guidelines, facility type selection considered factors including average daily traffic and posted travel speed of the roadway. During the project identification process, several of the resulting projects were flagged for additional study based upon factors that may impact the feasibility of the project. For on-street bicycle facilities, reasons for additional study primarily involve lack of available space on a roadway that could result in the potential for needed additional environmental clearance due to possible need to remove a travel lane or widen the roadway; or need for community input for parking removal. For off-street bicycle facilities, the primary reason for additional study is inadequate right-of-way. It should be noted that no projects within the Central City are identified for additional study because the Central City Specific Plan evaluated and will provide environmental clearance for all transportation projects within this area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Projects by Facility Type</th>
<th>Project Miles by Facility Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Existing and Proposed Bike Facilities

**Proposed Facilities**
- Trail
- Bike Lane
- Bike Route
- Separated Bikeway
- Buffered Bike Lane
- Projects Requiring Additional Study

**Existing Bicycle Facilities**
- Bike Route
- Bike Lane
- Bike Trail
Existing and Proposed Bike Facilities
Central City Inset

Proposed Facilities
- Bike Trail
- Bike Lane
- Bike Route
- Separated Bikeway
- Buffered Bike Lane/Separated Bikeway
- Projects Requiring Additional Study

Existing Bicycle Facilities
- Bike Route
- Bike Lane
- Bike Trail

Bike Lane on Freeport Boulevard
The resulting projects were prioritized using criteria developed to measure how well each project meets the goals of this plan. Using the resulting prioritization scores, the projects were categorized as either Short-Term, Mid-Term, or Long-Term. Rankings of individual projects within each category are not identified in order to provide the City with flexibility to implement projects that align with available funding opportunities. The thresholds were determined based upon input from the community, direction received from SacBAC, and statistical analysis completed by the project team to ensure a distribution of results.

### Bicycle Master Plan Implementation Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase Ridership</td>
<td>Residential Density (units/acre)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employment Density (jobs/acre)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Speed (mph)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Volume Roadways (daily traffic)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bike High Injury Network (BHIN)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connects to Schools</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Route to Transit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connects to Existing Parks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gap Closure (less than 1/2 mile gap)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key Destinations (Commercial or Entertainment core areas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equity Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Off BHIN</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within 1/4 mi. parallel</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crossing or &lt;25% on BHIN</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple Crossings or &gt;25% on BHIN</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% on BHIN Trail</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;90% BHIN</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low Freq Bus Stop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Freq Bus Stop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College/University, K-12 School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Station</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trail over River, Tracks or Freeway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dark Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Light Orange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dark Orange or Red</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The thresholds were determined based upon input from the community, direction received from SacBAC, and statistical analysis completed by the project team to ensure a distribution of results.*
Project Prioritization

See Inset
Project Prioritization
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Bike Box on J Street & Carlson Drive
COST ESTIMATES

Unit cost estimates were developed on a linear foot basis for material costs and adjusted to account for mobilization and contingencies. Material costs were derived from recent bikeway project bid results in Sacramento and the Caltrans Cost Database. Right-of-way acquisition, overcrossing structures, and bridges are not included in the unit cost estimates and will need to be evaluated as additional planning and design are completed for each project.

Near-Term Projects
Projects presented in alphabetical order, all the projects listed below are priority for near-term implementation. For the full list of projects, see the appendix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Street</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>Proposed Bikeway Classification</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Requires Feasibility Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12th Ave</td>
<td>33rd St</td>
<td>36th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$66,900</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>71st St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$597,400</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Street</td>
<td>D St</td>
<td>G St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$94,800</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th Street</td>
<td>G St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$856,300</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th Street</td>
<td>N St</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$475,300</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th Street</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$46,800</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th Street</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$667,600</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Street</td>
<td>L St</td>
<td>P St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$331,600</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcade Blvd</td>
<td>Marysville Blvd</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$144,300</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Ave</td>
<td>Bollenbacher Ave</td>
<td>Raley Blvd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$1,078,600</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>La Solidad Way</td>
<td>44th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$108,600</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Sacramento River Trail</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$1,392,500</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Near-Term Projects (cont’d.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Street</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>Proposed Bikeway Classification</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Requires Feasibility Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C St/Elvas Ave</td>
<td>Tivoli Way</td>
<td>F St</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,393,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Rio Trail Bridge/Del Rio Bike Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,194,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E St</td>
<td>2th St</td>
<td>15th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$160,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of Natomas Blvd/ N.Park Dr. Intersection</td>
<td>N. Park Drive to south of N. Bend Drive</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$453,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd</td>
<td>Folsom Blvd</td>
<td>Jackson Rd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$135,800</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folsom LRT West Trail</td>
<td>Folsom LRT West Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,561,200</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>12th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$376,800</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Sutterville Rd</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,108,900</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>City Boundary (west of Ethel Way)</td>
<td>Bradford Dr</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$2,025,500</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Mendocino Blvd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$354,200</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Hwy Ramp</td>
<td>Garden Hwy</td>
<td>Northview Dr</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$87,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Street</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>16th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$346,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Street Bike Trail</td>
<td>H Street Bike Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$195,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howe Ave</td>
<td>Fair Oaks Blvd</td>
<td>University Ave</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$180,100</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Street</td>
<td>19th St</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$544,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Street</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$136,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Hill Ave</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$71,300</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marysville Blvd</td>
<td>Arcade Creek Phase II Trail</td>
<td>Arcade Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$89,300</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 12th St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>C St</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$711,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 12th St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Sproule Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$71,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N St</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>Folsom Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N St</td>
<td>3rd St</td>
<td>19th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood Ave</td>
<td>Main Ave</td>
<td>Carrol Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$1,147,400</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Street</td>
<td>9th St</td>
<td>15th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$278,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pocket Rd</td>
<td>Greenhaven Dr</td>
<td>Freeport Blvd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$597,600</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q Street</td>
<td>9th St</td>
<td>15th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$278,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raley Blvd</td>
<td>Bell Ave</td>
<td>Doolittle St</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$443,500</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Louise St</td>
<td>N 16th St</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$176,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Linda Blvd</td>
<td>Arcade Blvd</td>
<td>Acacia Ave</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$116,900</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseville Rd</td>
<td>Marconi Cir</td>
<td>Lonview Dr</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,566,800</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S St</td>
<td>3rd St</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$874,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento River Parkway Phase II/Sacramento River Parkway (Upper Pocket)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$4,342,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Access Trail</td>
<td>North of San Juan Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,558,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Eagle Rd</td>
<td>Mabel St</td>
<td>Norwood Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$138,200</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Hwy 99 NB Stockton Blvd Off Ramp</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$656,400</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>T St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$982,000</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutterville Rd</td>
<td>Freeport Blvd</td>
<td>33rd St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$649,600</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Rivers Bike Trail Ph 2</td>
<td>Two Rivers Bike Trail Ph 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,728,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPRR Phase I</td>
<td>UPRR Phase I</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$6,639,700</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley Hi Dr</td>
<td>Mack Rd</td>
<td>Barnford Dr</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$237,200</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Witter Ranch State Historic Park/Witter Way</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,677,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To achieve the goals stated in the Introduction Chapter, the City [should] develop a comprehensive implementation plan by 2018. The implementation plan should include project prioritization for bicycling projects that is aligned with the goals of this Bicycle Master Plan Update.”

- SACBAC May 10, 2016
RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLANS

A successful BMP must be coordinated with neighboring jurisdictions and ensure consistency with local, regional, and statewide policies and adopted plans. The following planning documents and policies have been taken into consideration during the development of the BMP:

**City Planning**

**2035 City of Sacramento General Plan**
The City of Sacramento’s 2035 General Plan recognizes the importance of developing a first class, multi-modal transportation network that includes supporting short- and long-distance bicycle trips. Goal M 5.1 outlines policies for an integrated bicycle system that encourages bicycling and achieves the City’s goals for bicycle mode share as documented in previous planning documents. Specific policies and goals in the General Plan include updating and maintaining the BMP (this document), providing a continuous bikeway network throughout the City, improving bicycle routes to minimize conflicts with pedestrians and motorists, supporting bicycle connections to new developments, converting underused facilities to bicycle routes, and promoting bicycling education and safety to the public.

**Regional Planning**

**2010 Sacramento City/County Bikeway Master Plan**
The Sacramento City/County Bikeway Master Plan has been an ongoing effort between the City of Sacramento and Sacramento County to coordinate and develop a regional bicycle network that benefits both commuting and recreational bicyclists. The plan was first adopted in 1975 as the region’s first standalone bikeway master plan, and has subsequently been updated and revised over the past forty years to accommodate changes in population, design standards, and public policy. The document includes regional goals for bicycling, design standards, an inventory of existing bicycle facilities, recommendations for future bicycle facilities, and implementation strategies. The plan was last approved by the City of Sacramento in March of 2011.

**2030 Sacramento County General Plan**
The 2030 Sacramento County General Plan has a stated goal to “provide safe, continuous, efficient, integrated, and accessible bicycle and pedestrian systems that encourages the use of the bicycle and walking as a viable transportation mode and as a form of recreation and exercise.” Specific policies in the plan include developing a comprehensive and accessible bicycle system, implementing and updating the Sacramento City/County Bicycle Master Plan, constructing and maintaining bicycle facilities that minimize conflicts with pedestrians and motorists, and collaborating with neighboring jurisdictions and regional agencies to coordinate the planning and development of the County’s bicycle network.

**2016 MTP/SCS**
The Metropolitan Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS) is a regional document that links land use, air quality, and transportation needs. The plan incorporates regional transportation planning with an emphasis on policies and strategies that reduce greenhouse gas emissions to meet requirements set by the California Air Resources Board. The MTP/SCS recognizes the importance of bicycling to meet these goals, and envisions a larger and more complete bicycle network in the region.
**Statewide Planning**

**California Global Warming Solution Act of 2006 (AB 32)**
The California Global Warming Solution Act of 2006 requires California to reduce its Greenhouse Gas Emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020. The act requires the California Air Resources Board to develop a Scoping Plan, updated every five years, that lays out California’s strategy for meeting the goal. One of the key recommendations in the Scoping Plan is to promote more travel and housing options through greater access to active forms of transportation including bicycling.

**Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008 (SB 375)**
The Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008 is a direct result of the California Global Warming Solution Act of 2006 and requires that all Metropolitan Planning Organizations include a “Sustainable Communities Strategy” in their Metropolitan Transportation Plan. These plans integrate transportation, housing, and land-use plans for a region in an effort to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. In Sacramento, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) is the regional MPO and has made the inclusion of active transportation projects a priority for the region to reduce emission levels.

**Complete Streets Act of 2008 (AB 1358)**
The Complete Streets Act of 2008 requires that all Cities and Counties “upon any substantive revision of the circulation element of the general plan, modify the circulation element to plan for a balanced, multimodal transportation network that meets the needs of all users of streets, roads, and highways, defined to include motorists, pedestrians, bicyclists, children, persons with disabilities, seniors, movers of commercial goods, and users of public transportation, in a manner that is suitable to the rural, suburban, or urban context of the general plan.” The City of Sacramento has incorporated this in act in their most recent General Plan including this Bicycle Master Plan.

**Actuated Traffic Signals (AB 1581)**
Assembly Bill 1581 was approved in 2012 and requires that all projects constructing new actuated traffic signals or modifying existing traffic signals include technology that has the ability to detect bicyclists and motorcyclists. It also calls for the timing of actuated traffic signals to account for bicycles.

**Protected Bikeways Act of 2014 (AB 1193)**
The Protected Bikeways Act of 2014 recognizes Class IV “protected” bikeways as a legal bicycle facility and requires Caltrans to establish and maintain minimum safety design criteria for their planning and construction. Caltrans subsequently published Design Information Bulletin Number 89 with design guidelines for local agencies. Collectively, these documents provide the legal groundwork for the implementation of Class IV bikeways in the State of California.

**California State Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan**
In 2014, Caltrans released the Complete Street Implementation Action Plan 2.0 in an effort to integrate complete street functionality into all of Caltrans’ projects. One of the action items resulting from this document was the California State Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan which is currently being drafted. This document will guide the planning and development of non-motorized facilities on State facilities. The plan will include recommendations for improving connections between the State’s bicycle facilities with the existing and planned network of local and regional bicycle routes.
About the Bicycle Master Plan

The Bicycle Master Plan includes all 100 square miles of the City of Sacramento. The goal of the Bicycle Master Plan is to create and maintain safe and accessible bicycle facilities throughout the City that encourages bicycling as an alternative mode of transportation.

The California Streets and Highways code requires local agencies to complete a bicycle transportation plan to qualify for certain grant funds issued by the California Department of Transportation.

The City’s current Bicycle Master Plan has been in effect for nearly 20 years and has undergone several updates and amendments. The 2016 Bicycle Master Plan will include updates to align the plan with the Streets and Highways Code standards in order to qualify for further grant funding.

This project will build upon the Downtown Transportation Study “Sac Grid 2.0” effort which identified bicycling improvements in the central city. For this reason, the Bicycle Master Plan will focus on improvements to neighborhoods outside of the central city.

Outreach Objectives

- Discuss and identify barriers to cycling in underrepresented neighborhoods
- Share information about various types of bicycle improvements
- Identify key destinations for cyclists throughout the community
The Community Outreach Program

In the winter of 2016, the Bicycle Master Plan project team implemented a community outreach program that would inform and engage the community throughout the process. The program included a robust analysis of bicycling facilities in underrepresented communities to ensure an equitable distribution of bicycling facilities throughout the city.

Community members participated in the process through a set of stakeholder meetings, a series of traveling workshops, presentations to community-based organizations, and two online tools.

Stakeholder Meeting #1
On January 12, 2016, the Bicycle Master Plan project team held a stakeholder meeting to provide information about the current Bicycle Master Plan, identify tasks for the May 2016 update, discuss community outreach efforts, and review and comment on proposed improvements and identify gaps in the City’s current Bicycle Master Plan.

The organizations represented at this meeting included:
- Breathe Sacramento
- Elmherst Neighborhood Association
- Environmental Council of Sacramento (ECOS)
- Mack Road Partnership
- Midtown Business Association
- Midtown Neighborhood Association
- North Natomas Community Coalition
- North Natomas Transportation Management Agency
- Oak Park Business Association
- Oak Park Neighborhood Association
• Planning and Design Review Commission
• Sacramento Area Bicycling Advocates (SABA)
• Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG)
• Sacramento Bicycle Advisory Committee
• Sacramento Bicycle Kitchen
• Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
• Sacramento Regional Transit
• Sacramento River Parkway Coalition
• Sacramento State University Transportation & Parking Services
• Southgate Recreation and Park District
• Stockton Boulevard Partnership
• Tahoe Park Neighborhood Association
• Upper Land Park Neighborhood Association
• WALK Sacramento

After discussing the project and community outreach process, stakeholders were invited to review maps of the existing and proposed bikeways within the City limits and provide feedback on difficult intersections, gaps in the network, and unsafe bike routes.

Stakeholders also completed feedback forms which asked the following questions:
• What are some barriers to bicycling in your neighborhood?
• How can we promote the Bike Parking Inventory App to your neighborhood?
• Please provide recommendations for events in your neighborhood at which we could promote the BMP between February and April.
• What is the most effective way to reach out to your neighborhood?
• Do you have any additional comments or questions?
Traveling Workshops

To engage the public and receive valuable input from community members, the project team coordinated and facilitated a series of traveling workshops throughout February and March in five identified underrepresented communities in Sacramento:

**District 1: Natomas**
- North Natomas Food Truck Mania at the North Natomas Library
- Pop up Workshop at the South Natomas Community Center

**District 2: Del Paso Heights**
- Health and Wellness Expo at Grant Union High School
- Pop up Workshop at the Mutual Assistance Network

**District 5: Oak Park**
- Chinese New Year Celebration at Hiram Johnson High School
- First Friday in Oak Park at Broadway Coffee

**District 6: Fruitridge**
- Lunar New Year Festival in Little Saigon
- Mega Friday Basketball at West Campus High School

**District 8: Meadowview / Valley Hi**
- Meadowview Road and 24th Street Streetscape Project Community Open House at the Pannell Community Center
- Meadowview Neighborhood Association Meeting at the Pannell Community Center

Each workshop took place at an existing well attended community event or a frequently-visited community facility. Project team members provided information about the Bicycle Master Plan to community members, and gathered feedback from participants of all ages through several different interactive activities.
The activities and their objectives included:

- Map Exercise: A map of Sacramento showing currently proposed bicycle improvements was displayed. Community members placed different-colored dots on the map to indicate a gap in the bicycle network, a difficult intersection, an unsafe bike route, an area that needed bike parking, or another barrier to bicycling.
- Survey: A short two-question survey asked participants where they currently ride their bike and where they would like to ride their bike.
- Alternate Commute Exercise: Community members could use an online map application to identify how long their commute to work or school is by car, and compare it to a commute by bicycle. The difference in distance and time demonstrated whether or not the person could take their trip by bike instead of by car.
- Key Improvements Exercise: Participants were prompted to answer the question, “I would ride by bike more often if...” on post-it notes. These answers were placed on a board with other participant responses.

In addition to the interactive exercises, participants were encouraged to take a photo in the workshop’s photobooth and share it on social media. Each photo of the participants featured a background with the phrase “Sacramento: Powered by Bike” and handheld signs with the phrase “I participated in the #SacBikePlan” on them.

Several of the events and traveling workshops were attended by non-English speaking community members. The project team provided Chinese and Vietnamese translations for the bicycle survey in addition to instructions for each of the interactive activities.
Presentations to Community-Based Organizations
Several community-based organizations reached out to the project team and requested individual presentations be made to their organizations. The project team presented information about the project, answered questions, and provided surveys to 10 neighborhood associations from January through March.

Online Engagement Tools
An interactive online application was designed as part of the community outreach program to identify all bicycle parking in the City of Sacramento. Participants were able to access the online application on their computers or smart mobile devices.

A second online application was designed in response to stakeholders’ requests for a way to identify bicycle parking needs throughout the City. Participants accessed this tool through their computer or smart mobile device.

Responses to the second online application to identify bike parking needs.
Stakeholder Meeting #2

The final outreach component of the Bicycle Master Plan’s community engagement program was a second stakeholder meeting held on May 2, 2016. The purpose of this meeting was to provide an update on the project and its next steps, review the data collected from the bike parking inventory online tool, present results from the equity analysis performed, review ways to improve bicycling conditions, review feedback received from the community, and receive final feedback from stakeholders.

The organizations represented at this meeting included:

- Breathe California
- California Department of General Services
- California Natural Resources Agency
- City of Sacramento Parks and Recreation Commission
- City of Sacramento Youth Advisory Committee, Districts 7 and 8
- City of Sacramento Youth Commission
- Friends of the Sacramento River Parkway
- Governor’s Office
- Meadowview Neighborhood Association
- Midtown Business Association
- North Natomas Transportation Management Agency
- Oak Park Neighborhood Association
- Park A Bike
- Sacramento Area Bicycling Advocates
- Sacramento Area Council of Governments
- Sacramento Bicycling Advisory Committee
- Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce
• Sacramento Regional Transit
• Sacramento State University
• Twin Rivers Unified School District
• Upper Land Park Neighborhood Association
• WALK Sacramento

During the meeting, stakeholders were encouraged to identify locations where bicycle facilities were needed that were not reflected in the presentation or on the community feedback boards.

After the meeting presentation, stakeholders were invited to review equity analysis maps and community feedback boards. The community feedback boards provided a summary of locations residents identified as difficult intersections, gaps in the network, unsafe bike routes, or in need of bike parking facilities. Stakeholders provided comments on feedback forms.
Community Feedback

Stakeholder Meeting #1 Feedback
Stakeholders provided feedback about barriers to bicycling they face in their respective neighborhoods. Their responses are listed below.

- Lack of education of rules of the road by cyclists and motorists.
- Lack of enforcement of minor and major infractions by cyclists.
- Congestion on busy roads.
- Narrow facilities.
- Insufficient crossing infrastructure at F & 30th streets and E & 30th streets.
- Lack of bike lanes.
- One-way streets lead to high speed of travel.
- Leaf pile-ups in bike lanes.
- Skinny streets.
- Roads with vehicles traveling at a high speed without bike amenities.
- There are no bike lanes / facilities / infrastructure connecting Sac State, specifically the Hornet Tunnel, to the closest light rail station at 65th Street and Midtown/ M Street corridor.
- The stretch of Elvas Avenue and 65th Street behind campus lacks biking infrastructure.
- Crossing Broadway into Midtown and the underpass.
- 24th Street from the DMV Complex.
- Franklin Boulevard between 2nd Avenue and 5th Avenue - There are many businesses for bike riders.
- Crossing Sutterville Road to South Avery.
- Franklin Boulevard between Sutterville and 47th Avenue with many neighborhood businesses.
• Lack of motorist awareness.
• Poorly maintained bike lanes with potholes or uneven/narrow lanes (e.g. Alhambra Boulevard).
• Broadway is a difficult to navigate by bicycle.
• Bike lanes are too narrow next to parked cars such that you are in the door zone.
• High speed traffic on Stockton Boulevard next to the bike lane.
• No bike lanes on arterials such as 15th and 16th Streets.
• Crossing Freeport Boulevard.
• Cycling along Fruitridge Road.
• Crossing Broadway, X & W Streets to Downtown.
• Lack of lanes, i.e. between Broadway and X Street on Riverside.
• Sacramento levee barrier in Pocket that stops walkers and riders.
• High traffic roadways without bike lanes.
• High volume and speed of traffic on one-way streets. This causes many bicyclists to use sidewalks which can be a problem with older residents and pedestrians.
• Regionally, we need to financially plan for the large capitol outlay projects, such as the bike / pedestrian bridge across the rivers. These might cost in the 10’s or 100’s of millions of dollars, so we need to plan for the financing.
• Poorly maintained bike lanes and waste cans in the bike lanes.
• Door zone bike lanes.
• Motorists who honk and yell “get off the road” when a cyclist controls a lane.
• Speed limits that are too high.
• Curbside bike lanes that are too narrow, especially on high speed roads (Stockton, Broadway).
• Sharrows in the door zone or too far right in traffic when lanes are too narrow to share side by side.
Freeway overcrossings.
- Fast traffic - biggest complaint by far.
- Lack of connectivity.
- Many bikeways are not connected and bikes must mix with cars.
- Crossing I-5.
- Barriers are car centric corridors like 65th Street, Broadway, Elvas, 59th Street, and Folsom Boulevard that have limited or no bicycle infrastructure.
- This makes it difficult to get around without riding on the sidewalk or risking your life.
- Like my Councilmember told me, I must have a death wish if I’m riding my bike on 65th Street.
- Fences across the Sacramento River levee.
- Commute to downtown / Freeport at City College is unfriendly.
- McKinley: Direct access to Sutter Park. River crossing to go to Mall.
- Florin / Stockton: Big roads lead to no alternatives. Use creek maintenance roads.
- Sacramento River Parkway needs to be completed to provide a continuous, off-road commute route.
- Fast traffic.
- Sad bike lanes.
- Potholes.
- Lack of signage.
- Marked lines and education for bikes.
- Boulevard Park has limited barriers but could use more routes/connections to through routes in Boulevard Park.
Traveling Workshops Feedback

Map Exercise

The following photos show the boards that community members provided feedback on for the map exercise, and what each colored dot represents.

MAP BOARD FEEDBACK: DISTRICTS 1 & 2

- A Difficult Intersection
- A Gap in the Network
- An Unsafe Bike Route
- A Need for Bike Parking
- Another Barrier
MAP BOARD FEEDBACK: DISTRICTS 5 & 6

MAP BOARD FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 8
Map Exercise (cont.)
The following word clouds and corresponding lists of locations represent the feedback received from the community on the map exercise. The feedback is organized by district.

COMMUNITY FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 1

A Difficult Intersection
- Natomas Crossing at Airport Road
- Truxel Road crossing I-80
- Arena Boulevard crossing I-5 and CA-99
- Del Paso Road crossing I-5 and CA-99
- Del Paso Road at East Commerce Way
- El Centro Road at Leona Circle
- Arena Boulevard and Truxel Road

A Gap in the Network
- Northgate Boulevard and North Market Boulevard
- Northgate Boulevard
- Steelhead Creek and Rosin Court
- Del Paso Boulevard
- West Witter Way by Witter Ranch Elementary School
- American River Park Trail
- Ueda Bike Trail
- Truxel Road at I-80

An Unsafe Bike Route
- Truxel Road
- Arena Boulevard
- Black Rock
- Del Paso Boulevard
- Regency Park
- Watt Avenue
- Arena Boulevard
- East Commerce Way
- Del Paso Boulevard
- Northgate Boulevard

Other Barrier
- Del Paso Boulevard at Natomas Boulevard
- Natomas Boulevard at Club Center Drive
- Natomas Boulevard at North Market Road
COMMUNITY FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 2

A Difficult Intersection
- Norwood Avenue & Silver Eagle Road
- Bell Avenue and Dry Creek Road
- W. El Camino Avenue & Traction Avenue
- Eleanor Avenue & Traction Avenue
- Silver Eagle Road & Norwood Avenue

A Gap in the Network
- Norwood Bypass/Norwood Avenue
- Norwood Avenue & Grand Avenue
- Norwood Avenue & Bell Avenue
- Jessie Avenue & Delagua Way
- Norwood Avenue & Jessie Avenue
- Rio Linda Boulevard and Bell Avenue
- Rio Linda Boulevard
- Marysville Boulevard & Acacia Avenue
- Arden Way & Del Paso Boulevard
- Bell Avenue & Rio Linda Bike Trail

An Unsafe Bike Route
- Silver Eagle Road
- Rio Linda Boulevard
- Branch Street & Alamos Avenue
- Eleanor Avenue & Forrest Street
- Carroll Avenue
- Ford Road
- Del Paso Boulevard
- Arden Way & Del Paso Boulevard
- Rio Linda Boulevard
COMMUNITY FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 5

A Difficult Intersection
- Broadway
- 2nd Avenue
- 5th Avenue & Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard
- Broadway & Alhambra Boulevard
- Broadway & Stockton Boulevard
- Kaiser
- Lemon Hill Avenue at Stockton Boulevard
- Elder Creek Road at Stockton Boulevard
- Stockton Boulevard at Fruitridge Road
- Power Inn Road at Highway 50
- American River Drive at Howe Avenue
- Folsom Boulevard at S Watt Avenue
- Fair Oaks Boulevard at Howe Avenue
- 21st Street at Sutterville Road

A Gap in the Network
- 5th Avenue & Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard
- Bell Air Drive
- Broadway & Stockton Boulevard
- 65th Street Expy between 14th & 4th Avenue
- Mack Road
- Elder Creek Road at 54th Street
- Power Inn Road at Highway 50
- Folsom Boulevard at S Watt Avenue
- Sac State
- McKinley Park
- Marina Vista Drive
- Garden Highway
- Along 21st Street between Sutterville Road and Vallejo Way
- S River Road
- Y Street at 39th Street
- Stockton Boulevard at UC Davis School of Medicine
- Broadway
- Stockton Boulevard between Broadway and Alhambra

A Need for Bike Parking
- 8th Avenue & Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard
- Rio Linda Boulevard & Lampasas Avenue
- Stockton Boulevard at UC Davis Medical Center
- Kmart at Stockton Boulevard
- Raley’s on Freeport
COMMUNITY FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 5 (CONTINUED)

An Unsafe Bike Route

- Freeport Boulevard & 14th Avenue
- Freeport Boulevard & 7th Avenue
- Freeport Boulevard & Markham Way
- Broadway
- 2nd Avenue
- Broadway & Muir Way
- Broadway & Riverside Boulevard
- Sutterville Road & 24th Street
- Broadway & 24th Street
- Franklin Boulevard
- Franklin Boulevard & Sutterville Road
- Franklin Boulevard & 12th Avenue
- Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard
- 12th Avenue Bypass
- 14th Avenue
- Broadway & Fairgrounds
- 65th Street Expy between 14th & 4th Avenue
- Folsom Boulevard & 39th Street
- Mack Road
- Lemon Hill Avenue at Stockton Boulevard
- Elder Creek Road at Steiner Drive
- 47th Avenue at Franklin Boulevard
- Power Inn Road at Highway 50
- Folsom Boulevard at S Watt Avenue
- Safeway at Fair Oaks Boulevard
- Fair Oaks Boulevard at Howe Avenue
- Along Folsom Boulevard
- 65th Street Expy at the Highway 50 overcrossing

- C Street at 4th Street
- Grant Park
- 15th and 16th Streets
- The State Capitol
- Front Street
- Alhambra Boulevard at Q Street
- R Street at 26th Street
- Along Broadway
- Broadway at 21st Street
- Broadway at 16th Street
- Broadway at 26th Street
- Along 21st Street between Sutterville Road and Vallejo Way
- Along 21st Street between Sutterville Road and Vallejo Way
- Kabert Way
- S River Road
- 44th Street
- 8th Avenue
- Perry Avenue
- Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard
- Broadway
- Stockton Boulevard between Broadway and Alhambra
- P Street between 16th and 2nd
- J Street
- Franklin Boulevard
- Fruitridge Road
COMMUNITY FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 6

A Difficult Intersection
- Broadway & Riverside Boulevard
- Sutterville Road & Land Park

An Unsafe Bike Route
- Elder Creek Road near Power Inn at Sun River Drive

Other Barrier
- North 10th Street
- Riverside Boulevard between Vallejo Way & Broadway

COMMUNITY FEEDBACK: DISTRICT 8

A Difficult Intersection
- Stockton Boulevard at Mack Road
- Mack Road at Center Parkway
- Meadowview Road at 24th Street
- Along Meadowview Road

An Unsafe Bike Route
- Mack Road at Franklin Boulevard
- Meadowview Road at 29th Street
- Meadowview Road at 21st Street
- Florin Road at Amherst Street
- South Land Park Drive at Ridgeway Drive
- Along Meadowview Road
- Sacramento City College
- Mack Road
- 24th Street
- Steve Jones Park
Where do you ride?

Surveys
A visual summary of the survey responses about why participants choose to ride is below.
If a survey participant responded “Nowhere”, they provided reasons as to why they selected this answer. Their responses are summarized below:

- I don’t have a bicycle.
- I don’t have time.
- It is inconvenient.
- Biking conditions are dangerous.
- I drive where I need to go.
- I don’t know the area.
Community comments explaining a “Nowhere” response to “Where would you like to ride?”:
- I drive where I need to go.
- I already ride everywhere.

Alternate Commute Exercise
A visual summary of the responses from the alternate commute exercise is below.

Driving vs. Biking

It would take me 8 minutes to drive 0.4 miles vs. 2 minutes to bike 0.4 miles
It would take me 8 minutes to drive 0.5 miles vs. 2 minutes to bike 0.5 miles
It would take me 14 minutes to drive 7 miles vs. 35 minutes to bike 6.5 miles
Key Improvements Exercise
A visual summary of the responses from the key improvements exercise is below.

- Improved biking on Broadway.
- The roads were safer.
- There was better signage.
- Cycle track on south side of L Street between 3rd and 15th Streets.
- Broadway was safer.
- There were more green bike lanes.
- I knew how to ride a bike.
- I didn't have to interact with buses.
- I could ride safely on Freeport Blvd.
- There were more trails.
- There was a bike share program.
- There were safer crossings over freeways.
- There were more bike safety education.
- It was safer to ride to Sac City College and Sac State.
- The streets were cleaner, there is debris that punctures my tires.
- The transition zones from "suburbs" to the Midtown grid weren't so treacherous!
- There were better connections to hospitals.
- There were more bike lanes outside of midtown and the Central City.
- There were safety signs around the railroad tracks.
- There were safer connections to school.
- The streets were cleaner, there is debris that punctures my tires.
- There were more street lights.
- The homeless people on trails were cleared out.
- The bike lanes were wider.
- The roads weren't as bumpy.
- It was safer to ride on Stockton Boulevard.
- Alhambra was safer to ride on.
- There were safer connections to school.

Participants were asked to answer the prompt, "I would ride my bike more often if..."
Community members participating in the photo booth at the Chinese New Year Celebration traveling workshop.

Stakeholder Meeting #2 Feedback

Stakeholders provided feedback about barriers to bicycling they face in their respective neighborhoods. Their responses are listed below.

- “Underserved” areas where facilities are inadequate and inequitable need to be prioritized.
- There should be a focus on “transportation bicycling.”
- Many bike lanes are not 5 feet wide or striped like shoulders, in some cases this is unclear.
- The City should reduce lane widths while resurfacing roads.
- Emphasize and improve connectivity to train stations everywhere in Sacramento.
- Support routes through Sacramento State University to Elvas Avenue & M Street. This will provide good access to downtown.
- Bicycle parking should be secure, orderly, safe, visible, and maximize use of space. Post and ring racks are problematic as you can only park one bike. This is not necessarily safe, orderly, or secure.
- When implementing bicycle parking it should be recognized that when drivers open their car doors they will hit bicyclists and their bicycles.
- We should talk about a Class 1 bike facility through Old Sacramento; I believe it is not the challenge you believe it is. Also, some consideration needs to be given to SAFCA’s levee improvement plans, which may present opportunities for Class 1 routes (E.G., Natomas/ Garden Highway). These are opportunities that SAFCA/Corp of Engineers will be giving to us and they should be reflected in the Bicycle Master Plan Update.
• Class 1 bike paths shown on the existing master plan using railroad right-of-way along Regional Transit’s Green Line, Gold Line, and Blue Line should be taken off the map. Regional Transit does not see enough existing right of way (continuous) to show these lines. Regional Transit will be willing to analyze gap projects using railroad right-of-way on a project-by-project basis.
• Please include examples of protected bike lanes going behind a bus stop for high frequency stops.
• Connect Sacramento State University to Elvas Avenue, Hornet Tunnel, and the 65th Street light rail station.
• Connections to the Del Rio Trail should be improved.
• What is planned to correct the unsafe bicycling conditions on J Street and H Street going westbound from Sacramento State University? The bicycle facilities there abruptly end without warning. This is a second important route from Howe Avenue and J Street into East Sacramento, midtown, downtown, and the surrounding neighborhoods where there is a lot of bicycling. I would use that route if there wasn’t a dangerous bottleneck.
• Sacramento County is also studying the Fair Oaks corridor from Howe Avenue to Fulton Avenue with Fehr & Peers. These planning activities need to be coordinated.
• I liked Adrian Engel’s factors to consider for possible Type 4 or “buffered bikeways.” However I would like to suggest that the purpose and destination of the trip be a consideration. The top priority should be to reduce trips and vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by autos. We should prioritize motivating bike commutes to work and shopping trips that would replace the use of cars. The safer buffered bikeway would do this. Recreation and fitness bike trips do not reduce auto use to the same extent.
Stakeholders engaging with the group and identifying additional locations in need of bicycle improvements.

- An unsafe location on J Street westbound is at Cadillac Drive. I heard someone was killed there.
- Another unsafe location is approaching the J Street Bridge westbound at H Street where bicyclists are forced into the travel lane.
- In eastern North Natomas on the Panhandle site, we suggest at least two east-west off street routes; possibly one from Mayfield and one through Regency Park & the High School site. These would be placed across the Panhandle and should connect to the City-approved Ueda Parkway which ends at the staging area on Elkhorn Road.
- The Ueda Parkway is planned to connect to the Dry Creek Greenway/Sacramento Northern Trail along Robla Creek and eventually a Sacramento/Sutter County loop trail around the Natomas basin.
- We do not want the north/south trail (Ninos Parkway) in North Natomas (Panhandle site) under the transmission lines for health and safety and aesthetic reasons. There are 600 acres to be planned, providing plenty of space to move the trail.
- We need east-west connections throughout Natomas for many reasons, especially safety.
Next Steps

The Bicycle Master Plan update will incorporate findings from the project’s equity analysis in addition to feedback gathered from the City’s five identified underrepresented communities. The update will identify and prioritize areas within the City that need bicycle facilities or improvements to existing bicycle facilities.
Appendix C: Project List
APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

PROJECT LIST

This appendix presents a list of all recommended infrastructure projects, organized alphabetically by project street or trail name.

The project list and individual projects to be included in this Plan are flexible concepts that serve as guidelines. The project list may change over time as a result of changing bicycling patterns, land use patterns, implementation constraints and opportunities, and the development of other transportation improvements. All of the proposed infrastructure projects were evaluated against the criteria described in Implementation Chapter, organized into short-, mid-, and long-term priorities based on a logical breakdown of project scores and complexities of implementation. Projects fall into the following tiers:

- Short-Term: Intended for implementation within approximately five years of plan adoption
- Mid-Term: Intended for implementation within approximately five to ten years of plan adoption
- Long-Term: Intended for implementation within approximately ten to twenty years of plan adoption.

For more information about project evaluation and scoring, see the Implementation Chapter

The total estimates costs for this Plan’s projects are summarized below in Table 1 by priority and project type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Bike Lane</th>
<th>Bike Route</th>
<th>Buffered Bike Lane</th>
<th>Separated Bikeway</th>
<th>Trail</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>$4,744,600</td>
<td>$3,900</td>
<td>$10,277,300</td>
<td>$10,522,500</td>
<td>$26,351,800</td>
<td>$51,900,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>$5,299,300</td>
<td>$198,600</td>
<td>$9,984,300</td>
<td>$4,265,300</td>
<td>$68,419,700</td>
<td>$88,167,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>$6,220,800</td>
<td>$304,400</td>
<td>$3,541,700</td>
<td>$2,875,000</td>
<td>$44,865,600</td>
<td>$57,807,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>$16,264,700</td>
<td>$506,900</td>
<td>$23,803,300</td>
<td>$17,662,800</td>
<td>$139,637,100</td>
<td>$197,874,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Plan Cost Estimates
### Table 2: Project List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Project Street</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>Proposed Bikeway Classification</th>
<th>Cost Estimate</th>
<th>Requires Feasibility Study?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>12th Ave</td>
<td>33rd St</td>
<td>36th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$66,900</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>13th St</td>
<td>N St</td>
<td>P St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$65,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>71st St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$597,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>Power Inn Rd</td>
<td>Granite Park North/South Bike Trail</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$294,800</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>15th Street</td>
<td>D St</td>
<td>G St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$94,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>15th Street</td>
<td>G St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$856,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>16th Street</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$32,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>16th Street</td>
<td>N St</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$475,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>19th Street</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>J St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$94,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>19th Street</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$46,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>19th Street</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$667,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>1th Street</td>
<td>L St</td>
<td>P St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$331,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>1th Street</td>
<td>I St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$427,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>Arlington Ave</td>
<td>Martin Luther King Jr. Dr</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$320,500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>Power Inn Rd</td>
<td>Granite Park North/South Bike Trail/Florin Perkin*</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$7,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>Florin Rd</td>
<td>Meadowview Rd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$414,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>21st Street</td>
<td>I St</td>
<td>J St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$32,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>21st Street</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$808,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>22nd St</td>
<td>South of Meadowview Rd</td>
<td>John Still Rd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$10,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>23rd Ave</td>
<td>Martin Luther King Jr. Dr</td>
<td>Mendocino Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Donner Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$105,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Sutterville Road Byp</td>
<td>22nd Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$228,300</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>26th Ave</td>
<td>Murieta Way</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$48,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>45th Ave</td>
<td>47th Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$71,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Donner Way</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$202,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>26th Ave</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$232,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>26th Ave</td>
<td>35th St</td>
<td>Martin Luther King Jr. Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>26th St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>T St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$4,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>28th Ave</td>
<td>Karbet Way</td>
<td>Elmer Way</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>B St</td>
<td>Sutter Landing Park Bikeway</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$66,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Street 1</td>
<td>Street 2</td>
<td>Street 3</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Complete?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>26th St</td>
<td>Alhambra Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$178,600</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>49th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$159,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>2nd St/I St</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>K St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$111,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>Freeport Blvd</td>
<td>23rd St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$7,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>C St</td>
<td>E St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$77,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>33rd St</td>
<td>5th Ave</td>
<td>12th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>34th Ave</td>
<td>South of Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>34th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$14,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>34th St</td>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$301,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>35th Ave</td>
<td>Park Village St</td>
<td>Freeport Blvd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>42nd/44th St</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$10,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>44th St</td>
<td>Roosevelt Ave</td>
<td>23rd Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$8,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>48th St</td>
<td>48th St</td>
<td>Eastside Roundabout/X St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$179,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>4th Ave Trail/UP tracks - Old SP east/west mainline</td>
<td>4th Ave Trail/UP tracks - Old SP east/west mainline</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$5,527,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>55th St</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>J St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>55th St/F St</td>
<td>Elvas Ave</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>56th Ave</td>
<td>21st St</td>
<td>Hogan Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>58th St</td>
<td>8th Ave</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$11,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>59th St</td>
<td>S St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$199,500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>5th Ave</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$8,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>McClatchy Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$143,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Railyards Blvd</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$198,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>X St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$31,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>62nd St</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>4th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$10,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>65th St</td>
<td>Folsom Blvd</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$418,300</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>6th Ave</td>
<td>Florin Rd</td>
<td>2th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$7,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>6th St</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>Railyards Blvd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$427,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>7th Street</td>
<td>Railyards Blvd</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$188,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>7th Street</td>
<td>P St</td>
<td>T St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$130,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>82nd St</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>Alpine Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>82ND St Extension Bike Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$236,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>83rd St</td>
<td>Cal Central Traction RR Trail</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$10,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>88th St</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>S Watt Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$11,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>8th Ave</td>
<td>24th st</td>
<td>32St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$4,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Project Details</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>8th Ave 38th St 65th St Bike Route</td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>8th Street P St T St Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$130,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>9th Street H St Broadway Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$805,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Acacia Ave Altos Ave Rio Linda Blvd Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$44,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ahern St N 12th St N C St Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$58,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Airport Rd Tanzanite Ave San Juan Rd Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$158,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Alhambra Blvd Broadway 2nd Ave Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$29,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Along E Commerce Way (westside) from W Elkhorn Blvd Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$523,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Along Natomas East Main Drainage Canal West of E Levee Rd Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,394,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>American River Dr Commons Dr Howe Ave Bike Route</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Arcade Blvd Marysville Blvd Del Paso Blvd Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$144,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Arcade Creek Trail/Arcade Creek Phase II  Arcade Creek Trail/Arcade Creek Phase II</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,475,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Arcade Creek Trail/Arcade Creek Phase II/Haggin Oaks Golf Course West</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,152,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Arden Way Canterbury Rd Oxford St Separated Bikeway</td>
<td></td>
<td>$172,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Arena Access Trail South of Inderkum High School Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,360,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Ascot Ave to Clair Ave Ascot Ave to Clair Ave Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$576,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Bannon Creek Dr Crossmill Way Truxel Rd Bike Route</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Bannon Creek Preserve Capital Park Dr to Bannon Creek Preserve Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$358,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Bannon St Extension Bannon St 7th St Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$224,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Bell Ave Bollenbacher Ave Raley Blvd Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,078,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Bercut Dr Railyards Blvd Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$97,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Bercut Dr Extension Bercut Dr Sequoia Pacific Blvd Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$291,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Between I-5 and Franklin Blvd City Boundary South Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,069,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Branch St Arcade Blvd Eleanor Ave Bike Route</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Bridgecross Dr Zurlo Way Regency Park Cir. Bike Route</td>
<td></td>
<td>$9,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Bridgecross Dr. East of Natomas Blvd to Sageview Dr Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$401,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Street 1</th>
<th>Street 2</th>
<th>Street 3</th>
<th>Bicycle Network</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Brighton Ave</td>
<td>East of Redding Ave</td>
<td>West of Power Inn Rd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$12,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>La Solidad Way</td>
<td>44th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$108,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Sacramento River Trail</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$1,392,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Bruceville Rd</td>
<td>Valley Hi Dr</td>
<td>Wyndham Dr</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$360,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>C St/Elvas Ave</td>
<td>Tivoli Way</td>
<td>F St</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,393,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>C-1 Canal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$20,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Cal Central Ave</td>
<td>Cal Central Traction RR Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$3,148,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Cal-Expo Lot Bike Trail</td>
<td>Cal-Expo Lot Bike Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$737,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Camille St</td>
<td>Bercut Dr</td>
<td>7th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$223,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Campus Commons Rd</td>
<td>University Ave</td>
<td>Commons Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Canterbury Rd</td>
<td>Arden Way</td>
<td>Hwy 16 Canterbury Rd Ramp</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Canterbury Rd/Leisure Ln</td>
<td>SR 16 Ramp</td>
<td>Exposition Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$232,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Capitol Mall</td>
<td>9th St</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$446,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Carroll Ave</td>
<td>Norwood Ave</td>
<td>Altos Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$5,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Challenge Way</td>
<td>Response Rd</td>
<td>Exposition Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$32,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Citadel Way</td>
<td>Chestnut Hill Dr</td>
<td>Lake Forest Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Club Center Dr</td>
<td>Kokomo Dr</td>
<td>End of Route</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$68,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Columbus Ave</td>
<td>Northgate Blvd</td>
<td>American Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Connection to Natomas Crossing</td>
<td>West of Witter Ranch State Historic Park</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$341,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Dayton St</td>
<td>South Ave</td>
<td>Del Paso Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Deer Creek Dr</td>
<td>Mack Rd</td>
<td>Valley Hi Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Del Paso Blvd</td>
<td>Judah St.</td>
<td>Del Paso Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$336,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Del Rio Trail Bridge/Del Rio Bike Trail</td>
<td>Along Rail ROW</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$5,194,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Depot Path Bike Trail/Morrison Creek Trail</td>
<td>Depot Path Bike Trail/Morrison Creek Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$3,767,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Detroit Blvd</td>
<td>Meadowview Rd</td>
<td>South of Deerhaven Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$372,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Dos Rios St</td>
<td>Vine St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$63,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Dry Creek Rd</td>
<td>Ascot Ave</td>
<td>Bell Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$892,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Durfee Way</td>
<td>Souza Cir</td>
<td>Windbridge Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>E Levee Rd</td>
<td>Elkhorn Blvd</td>
<td>Sotnip Rd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$565,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Location Description</th>
<th>Alternative Path</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>E St 2th St 15th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td>$160,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East Drainage Canal Access</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,830,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East Inderkum High School</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$222,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East of Hwy 99 W. Elkhorn Blvd to Greg Thatch Cir. / South of SeaTuck CT to E. Commerce Way, 351t north of N. Park Dr.</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,559,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East of I-5 E Commerce Way north of N. Park Dr. to Town Center Dr. north of Del Paso Rd.</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,346,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East of I-5/Discovery Park I-5 Ramsp to Jibboom St.</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$442,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East of I-8 San Juan Rd along I-8 to W El Camino Ave</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,350,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>East of Natomas Blvd/N.Park Dr. Intersection N. Park Drive to south of N. Bend Drive</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$453,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>El Centro Rd North of Rynders Way North of Hawkview Dr</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$302,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>El Centro Rd North of Nathan Ct. to I-5 SB Del Paso Rd WB Off-ramp</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,092,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elder Creek Rd Power Inn Rd S Watt Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane Yes</td>
<td>$1,253,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eleanor Ave Grove Ave Arcade Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route Yes</td>
<td>$4,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eleanor Ave Grove Ave Beaumont St</td>
<td>Bike Lane Yes</td>
<td>$240,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elkhorn Blvd HWY 99 to East of City Boundary</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,121,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethan Way El Camino Ave Alta Arden Expressway</td>
<td>Bike Lane $379,600 Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ethan Way Hurley Way American River Pkwy</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway Yes</td>
<td>$576,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Exposition Blvd Tribute Rd Business 8/Exposition Blvd WB Off-ramp</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway Yes</td>
<td>$272,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ferran Ave East of Freeport Blvd Manorise Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route $18,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd Folsom Blvd Jackson Rd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane Yes</td>
<td>$135,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd 23rd Ave Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway Yes</td>
<td>$453,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Folsom Blvd 59th St 66th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane $216,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Folsom Blvd Wissmann Dr 1,ft South of Watt Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane Yes</td>
<td>$114,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>Folsom Blvd 57th St 59th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane Yes</td>
<td>$39,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Street 1</th>
<th>Street 2</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Complete?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Folsom LRT East Trail</td>
<td>Folsom LRT East Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,936,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Folsom LRT West Trail</td>
<td>Folsom LRT West Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,561,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Fong Ranch Rd</td>
<td>South of I-8</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$8,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>2nd Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$376,800</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Sutterville Rd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,108,900</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Freeport Blvd</td>
<td>Meadowview Rd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,508,100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,078,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>City Boundary (west of Ethel Way)</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$2,025,500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$534,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Fruitridge Rd/Seamus Ave</td>
<td>Riverside Blvd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$1,266,100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>G St</td>
<td>7th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$31,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>G St</td>
<td>29th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$25,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Garden Hwy</td>
<td>Orchard Ln</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$335,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Garden Hwy</td>
<td>I-8/City Boundary</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$662,100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Garden Hwy Bike Trail</td>
<td>I-8 to Orchard Ln</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,330,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Garden Hwy Bike Trail</td>
<td>Natomas Oaks Park</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$249,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Garden Hwy Ramp</td>
<td>Garden Hwy</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$87,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Gateway Oaks Dr</td>
<td>Weald Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$39,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Grand Ave</td>
<td>Marysville Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$404,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Grand River Dr</td>
<td>Greenhaven Dr/Sleepy River</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Grandstaff Dr</td>
<td>Barnford Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Grandstaff Dr</td>
<td>Valley Hi Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$5,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Granite Park Access Trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Granite Park North/South Bike Trail</td>
<td>Granite Park North/South Bike Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,133,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Grove Ave</td>
<td>Lampasas Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Grove Ave</td>
<td>Traction Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$77,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Grove Ave</td>
<td>El Monte Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$41,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>H St</td>
<td>3th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>H Street</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$346,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Term</th>
<th>H Street Bike Trail</th>
<th>H Street Bike Trail</th>
<th>Trail</th>
<th>$ 195,900</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Harte Way</td>
<td>Stacia Way</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Harvard St</td>
<td>El Camino Ave</td>
<td>Arden Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Havenhurst Dr</td>
<td>Greenhaven Dr</td>
<td>Land Park Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Hayes Ave</td>
<td>Taylor St</td>
<td>Altos Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Hayes Ave</td>
<td>Silver Eagle Rd</td>
<td>Taylor St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Howe Ave</td>
<td>Fair Oaks Blvd</td>
<td>University Ave</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>I Street</td>
<td>16th St</td>
<td>21st St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>I Street</td>
<td>12th St</td>
<td>16th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>I-5 Landscape</td>
<td>East of I-5</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$ 909,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>I-5 Landscape</td>
<td>West of I-5</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$ 975,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>I-5 Landscape</td>
<td>East of I-5</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,071,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>I-5 Landscape</td>
<td>Southwest of I-5</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$ 891,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>I-5 Landscape</td>
<td>East of I-5</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,308,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>I-5 Landscape</td>
<td>Airport Rd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$ 544,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Jackson Rd</td>
<td>Folsom Blvd</td>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Jackson Rd</td>
<td>Jackson Rd at City Boundary</td>
<td>City Boundary (west of S Watt Ave)</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Jarvis Cir/ Cafaro Cir</td>
<td>Stemmler Dr</td>
<td>Stemmler Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>K St</td>
<td>3rd St</td>
<td>5th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Keith Way</td>
<td>I-8</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Kelton Way</td>
<td>Robla Creek Trail</td>
<td>Main Ave.</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Kiefer Blvd</td>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>L Street</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>Alhambra</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>L Street</td>
<td>15th St</td>
<td>29th St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Location 1</th>
<th>Location 2</th>
<th>Location 3</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Laguna Tower</td>
<td>North Laguna Creek Wildlife Area</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$ 901,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Lake Forest Dr</td>
<td>Occidental Dr</td>
<td>Bennington Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 49,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Lampasas Ave</td>
<td>Norwood Ave</td>
<td>Grove Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 1,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Lanatt Way Access Trail</td>
<td>Lanatt Way Access Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$ 456,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Leisure Ln</td>
<td>Exposition Blvd</td>
<td>Royal Oaks Dr</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 136,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Lemon Hill Ave</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 71,300</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Lexington St</td>
<td>El Camino Ave</td>
<td>Dixieanne Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 51,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Little River Way</td>
<td>Pocket Dr</td>
<td>Rush River Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 6,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Longview Dr</td>
<td>Roseville Rd</td>
<td>Watt Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 626,800</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Mabry Dr</td>
<td>Sandpiper Way</td>
<td>Club Center Dr.</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 46,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Main Ave</td>
<td>83ft west of Marysville Blvd</td>
<td>Raley Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 324,100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Main Ave</td>
<td>Pell Dr</td>
<td>Rio Linda Blvd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 780,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Main Drainage Canal (West Side)</td>
<td>i-8 to Garden Hwy</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,629,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Mabry Dr</td>
<td>21st St</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 3,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Matson Dr</td>
<td>21st St</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 46,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>McKinley Village Way</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>Fishbacher St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 1,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Meadow View</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>24th St</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 6,416,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Mike Garrell Cir</td>
<td>Pete Popovich Ct</td>
<td>Honor Pkwy</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 3,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Morrison Creek Trail</td>
<td>Morrison Creek Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,782,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Morrison Creek Trail west</td>
<td>Morrison Creek Trail west</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,938,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Muir Way</td>
<td>McClatchy Way</td>
<td>Valleejo Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 63,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>N 12th St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>C St</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$ 711,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>N 12th St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Sproule Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 71,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>N 1th St</td>
<td>Vine St</td>
<td>N B St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 105,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>N 7th St</td>
<td>N B St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 62,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>N B St</td>
<td>N 17th St</td>
<td>18th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 100,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>N C St</td>
<td>N 12th St</td>
<td>N 16th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 63,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>N D St</td>
<td>N 1th St</td>
<td>Dos Rios St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 3,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>N St</td>
<td>28th St</td>
<td>Folsom Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$ 136,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>N St/Front St</td>
<td>3rd St</td>
<td>O St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>N Street</td>
<td>3rd St</td>
<td>19th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$ 500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Project Details</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Nathan Ct/Sutley Ct, West Lake Pkwy, End of Nathan Ct west of El Centro Rd.</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Natomas Blvd, W Elkhorn Blvd, Mabry Dr</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$340,900</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Natomas Crossing Dr, E Commerce Way, South of Aerostar Way</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$143,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>New Market Dr, Town Center Dr., Via Ingoglia St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$78,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ninos Park Bike Trail, Along I-8</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$665,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ninos Park Bike Trail, Natomas Baseball Complex</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,387,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ninos Park Bike Trail, Ninos Parkway</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$867,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ninos Park Bike Trail, North of Arden Garden Connector</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$157,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>North Laguna Creek Parkway, North Laguna Creek Parkway</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,816,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>North Laguna Creek Parkway/Morrison Creek, North Laguna Creek Parkway/Morrison Creek</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$4,184,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>North Natomas Reg. Park Site, North of N. Park Drive to New Market Drive</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,162,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>North Natomas Reg. Park Site, West of Natomas Blvd. to Inderkum High School Park south of New Market Drive</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$493,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>North of Drainage Canal, East Drainage Canal to City Boundary</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,891,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>North of River Birch Park Site, East Drainage Canal to Truxel Rd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$303,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Northgate Blvd, N Market Blvd, Rosin Ct</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$474,700</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Northview Dr, Northfield Dr, Garden Hwy</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Norwood Ave, Main Ave, Carrol Ave</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$1,147,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Old Sacramento Trail, I St, Capitol Mall</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$351,500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Ottumwa Dr, E Commerce Way, Kokomo Dr.</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>P St, 29th St, 3rd St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$45,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>P Street, 3rd St, Alhambra</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$45,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>P Street, 9th St, 15th St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$278,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>P Street, 15th St, 29th St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$634,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Park Riviera Way, Riverside Blvd, Gloria Dr</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$81,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Park Village St, 35th Ave, End of Route</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$11,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Pebblewood Dr/Funston Dr/Miramonte Dr, San Juan Rd, Truxel Rd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$10,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Project Details</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Upgrade?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Pinell St, North Ave, Harris Ave, Bike Lane</td>
<td>$50,500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Pocket Canal Parkway/Pocket Canal Phase V</td>
<td>$1,222,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Park Row, Park Riviera Way, Riverside Blvd, Bike Lane</td>
<td>$308,900</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Pocket Rd, Greenhaven Dr, Freeport Blvd, Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$597,600</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Prosper Rd, Gloster Way, Truxel Rd, Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Prosper Rd, East of E Commerce Way, Trail</td>
<td>$196,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Q St, 29th St, Alhambra Blvd, Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$90,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Q Street, 9th St, 15th St, Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$278,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Q Street, 15th St, 29th St, Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$634,300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>R St, 35th St, 37th St, Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>R St, 2nd St, 15th St, Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Railyards Class III</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Railyards Trail Extension</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Railyards Trail Extension</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Railyards Trail Extension</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Sacramento River Bike Trail, 7th St, Trail</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Raley Blvd, Ascot Ave, Santa Ana Ave, Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Raley Blvd, Bell Ave, Doolittle St, Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$443,500</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Ramona Ave, Brighten Ave, Ramona Ave, Bike Lane</td>
<td>$248,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ramp (Howe/La Riveria Connector), Howe Ave, La Riviera Dr, Bike Lane</td>
<td>$47,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Regency Park Cir, Honor Pkwy, Club Center Dr. (east), Bike Route</td>
<td>$8,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Reichmuth Park to Del Rio Trail</td>
<td>$79,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Richards Blvd, Louise St, N 16th St, Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$176,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Richards Blvd, Sacramento River Trail, Jibbom St, Bike Lane</td>
<td>$33,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Rio Linda Blvd, Claire Ave, City Limit, Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$352,100</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Rio Linda Blvd, Arcade Blvd, Acacia Ave, Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$116,900</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>River Plaza Dr, Orchard Ln, Gateway Oaks Dr, Bike Lane</td>
<td>$453,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Robal Creek Trail, Hansen Ranch Park Site and Ueda Pkwy, Trail</td>
<td>$1,867,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Roseville Rd, Marconi Cir, Longview Dr, Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$1,566,800</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Rosin Ct, South of I-8, Northgate Blvd I-8 Interchange and E Levee Rd, Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Duration</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Start/Stop Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Rosin Ct, Northgate Blvd to End of Route</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$50,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>S St, 3rd St, Alhambra</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$874,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>S Watt Ave, Fruitridge Rd, Tokay Ln</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$759,800, Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>S Watt Ave, Tokay Ln, City Boundary</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$149,100, Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Sacramento River Bikeway Access</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$51,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Sacramento River Parkway (Upper Pocket) to Sacramento River Parkway (Upper Pocket)</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,421,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Sacramento River Parkway Phase III/Sacramento River Parkway (Upper Pocket)</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$4,342,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Sacramento Softball Complex Dr to Longview Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Salizar Way, Regency Park Cir, Amnest Way</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Samuelson Way, Natomas Crossing Dr, Aerostar Way</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>San Joaquin St, 65th St, Redding Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>San Juan Access Trail, North of San Juan Rd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,558,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>San Juan Rd, Pony Express Dr, Tumbleweed Way</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$62,900, Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>San Juan Rd, Garden Hwy, San Juan Rd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,684,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Second Ave, Alhambra Blvd, 34th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$93,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Sequoia Pacific Blvd, Bannon St, Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$47,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Sequoia Pacific Blvd, Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Sequoia Pacific Blvd, Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Setzer Run Bike Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$590,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Shady Arbor Ct, River Otter Park, W River Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Silver Eagle Rd, Mabel St, Norwood Ave</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$138,200, Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Silver Eagle Rd, Northgate Blvd, Mabel St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$399,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>South Ave, Pinell St, Dayton St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$33,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>South of Del Paso Rd, 55 ft West of Broadgate Dr. to El Centro Rd.</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$328,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>South of San Juan Rd/North of West Drainage Canal</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$353,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>South Park St, Bercut Dr, 7th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$272,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Milepoint</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>South Park St and Railyards Blvd</td>
<td>Bercut Dr</td>
<td>7th St</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$288,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>South Sacramento Parkway (west end)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,867,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Southeast of Westlake Pkwy</td>
<td>Westlake Pkwy to Del Paso Rd east of Broadgate Dr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$195,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Standish Rd</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>Fruittidge Rd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Staysail St</td>
<td>Brunnet Ln</td>
<td>Tourbrook Wy</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>City Boundary</td>
<td>Hwy 99 Nb Stockton Blvd Off</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$565,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>T St</td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$982,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Sully St</td>
<td>Santa Ana Ave</td>
<td>West of Raley Blvd and East of Dry Creek Rd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$15,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Sutters landing Bridge</td>
<td>Sutters landing Bridge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$488,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Sutters landing Park/American River Pkwy</td>
<td>Sutters landing Park/American River Pkwy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,691,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Sutterville Rd</td>
<td>Freeport Blvd</td>
<td>33rd St</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$649,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Consumnes River Blvd</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,413,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Garden Hwy</td>
<td>Del Paso Blvd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,495,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Del Paso Rd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$761,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Tribute Rd</td>
<td>Free Dr</td>
<td>Col de Sac</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$27,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Two Rivers Bike Trail Ph 2</td>
<td>Two Rivers Bike Trail Ph 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,728,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Ueda Pkwy (Eastside)</td>
<td>Del Paso Rd to Arden Garden Connector</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$4,259,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Unity Pointe Ave/Shady Arbor Trail</td>
<td>NB/E along I-8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,241,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>University Ave</td>
<td>Howe Ave</td>
<td>Fair Oaks Blvd</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$103,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Unsworth Ave</td>
<td>Florin Perkins Rd</td>
<td>Outfall CIR</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$4,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>UPPR Phase I</td>
<td>UPPR Phase I</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$6,639,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Utility Line Train trail</td>
<td>Utility Line Train trail</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$2,992,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Valley Hi Dr</td>
<td>Deer Lake Dr</td>
<td>Franklin Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Valley Hi Dr</td>
<td>Mack Rd</td>
<td>Bamford Dr</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$237,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Venture Oaks Way</td>
<td>Gateway Oaks Dr</td>
<td>Gateway Oaks Dr</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Venture Oaks/Natomas Park Connection</td>
<td>Venture Oaks/Natomas Park Connection</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$331,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX C: PROJECT LIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Street 1</th>
<th>Street 2/Street 3/Street 4</th>
<th>Street 5</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>Vine St</td>
<td>N 1th St</td>
<td>Richards Blvd</td>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td>$146,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Term</td>
<td>W El Camino Ave</td>
<td>W El Camino Ave/I-5 SB On-ramp</td>
<td>Woodland Apartment Entrance west of Azevedo Dr</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$264,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>W Railroad Ave</td>
<td>14th Ave</td>
<td>18th Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>W Stockton Blvd</td>
<td>Kastanis Way</td>
<td>Melville Dr</td>
<td>Buffered Bike Lane</td>
<td>$568,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Watt Ave</td>
<td>Longview Dr</td>
<td>Auburn Blvd</td>
<td>Separated Bikeway</td>
<td>$339,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>West of City Boundary</td>
<td>Bayou Way to Del Paso Rd</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,115,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>West of Granite Regional Park</td>
<td>West of Granite Regional Park</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$303,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>West of Truxel/South of N. Market Blvd</td>
<td>Norwood Ave</td>
<td>Morrison Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Wilmington Ave</td>
<td>Sutterville Rd</td>
<td>21st Ave</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$5,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Term</td>
<td>Witter Ranch State Historic Park/Witter Way</td>
<td>Del Centro Rd. to Witter Ranch State Historic Park</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>Trail</td>
<td>$1,677,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term</td>
<td>Witter Way</td>
<td>Southern Boundary of Gateway West</td>
<td>Southern Boundary of Gateway West</td>
<td>Bike Route</td>
<td>$3,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

APPROVED AMENDMENTS
LIST OF APPROVED AMENDMENTS

- I Street Bridge Deck Replacement, Resolution 2019-0278, Approved June 25, 2019
- West Broadway Specific Plan, Resolution 2020-0287, Approved August 25, 2020
- Envision Broadway Complete Streets Plan, Resolution 2020-0345, Approved October 27, 2020
- Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors Plan, Resolution 2021-0041, Approved February 16, 2021
- Sacramento Valley Station Area Plan, Resolution 2021-0077, Approved April 6, 2021
- Stockton Boulevard Corridor Plan, Resolution 2021-0287, Approved September 21, 2021
RESOLUTION NO. 2019-0278

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

June 25, 2019

Support of the I Street Bridge Deck Conversion Project (“The Deck Conversion”)

BACKGROUND

A. The I Street Bridge is owned and operated by the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR). Vehicle travel is permitted under an easement with the Cities of Sacramento and West Sacramento. The Cities’ easement rights for the upper deck terminate immediately if the cities cease highway purposes use as provided in the agreements between the parties; therefore, the cities must ensure that Deck Conversion Project improvements proceed in parallel with construction of the I Street Bridge Replacement Project. The 1910 Agreement provided the counties the right, easement, and privilege of using the overhead structure and approaches of the Bridge in exchange for specified payments. In 1954, the same parties, along with the State of California, entered into an agreement acknowledging that the upper deck became part of the state highway system as State Route 50; and, the state agreed to construct and install electrically-operated gates together with necessary signals to control vehicular and pedestrian traffic. In 1981 the State relinquished the upper deck to Yolo County and the City of Sacramento, respectively, which was memorialized in a March 1981 untitled agreement (1981 Agreement) between Southern Pacific’s successor, Southern Pacific Transportation Company, the state, Yolo County, and the City of Sacramento. The 1981 Agreement states that if the upper deck of the I Street Bridge ceases to be used as a public highway for any reason, it shall revert to the railroad as stated in the 1910 Agreement and the obligations and rights of the City of Sacramento and County of Yolo shall cease.

B. In December 2015, the Cities of West Sacramento and Sacramento partnered to apply for grant funds to study the feasibility of preserving the use of the upper deck and converting it to a bicycle and pedestrian facility. These modes of travel meet a 1911 definition of highway use. The Deck Conversion project proposes to maintain and improve active transportation use on the upper deck of the existing I Street Bridge once vehicle traffic is removed as a part of the new I Street Bridge Replacement project. In June of 2016, the cities were awarded funding of $199,193 from the Caltrans Sustainable Communities Transportation Planning Grant Program to fund a feasibility study for converting the upper deck of the existing I Street Bridge to a bicycle and pedestrian crossing.
C. An analysis of alternatives considered for the approach ramps to the I Street Bridge upper deck on the West Sacramento and Sacramento sides was performed. Various parameters were reviewed on each side of the river that include user connectivity to existing pathways and travel routes for both bicyclists and pedestrians for both commuting and recreational use, right-of-way, utility, levee and railroad impacts and construction costs. The feasibility of keeping portions of approach ramps was evaluated to accomplish several goals including eliminating the need for construction of new structures over railroad right-of-way (by tying new ramps into portions of the existing ramps) and the creation of usable space for bicyclists and pedestrians. Proposed partial removals of approaches and removal limits were primarily based upon setting removal limits along expansion joints (to leave the portions of the structure that already are somewhat stand-alone) and the cities’ guidance as to areas of the approaches that could remain city right-of-way. Approach options include bicycle exclusive or mixed ramps, stairs, and stairs with bike rails and elevators. Approaches can be phased over time with the minimum needed, to continue upper deck connection, one ADA compliant ramp on each side of the river. (The feasibility study may be reviewed at this web page link http://www.cityofsacramento.org/-/media/Corporate/Files/Public-Works/Projects/I-Street-Bridge/I-Street-Bridge_Feasibility-Study_Final-2019_03_29.pdf?la=en)

D. The I Street Bridge Replacement Project (T15136000) is expected to initiate construction in 2021/2022 with a two-year construction schedule. Therefore, the cities desire to continue the Deck Conversion project and complete the environmental analysis.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council accepts the feasibility study prepared by West Sacramento for the Deck Conversion Project and directs the City Manager or the City Manager’s designee regarding the approach ramps to the upper deck and proposed to be kept in the feasibility study: a) to consider options that will minimize the amount of concrete or steel to be maintained or strengthened; and b) to perform a cost to benefit analysis of retaining those segments.

Section 2. The City Manager or the City Manager’s designee will continue to partner with West Sacramento to pursue grant funding for the Deck Conversion Project.

Section 3. The City Manager or the City Manager’s designee is authorized to establish a Cooperative Agreement with West Sacramento regarding the Deck Conversion Project.
Section 4. The Bikeway Master Plan is amended to include the Deck Conversion Project and to add the new bridge crossing provided by the I Street Bridge Replacement Project.
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Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on June 25, 2019, by the following vote:

Ayes: Members Ashby, Carr, Guerra, Hansen, Harris, Jennings, Schenirer, Warren and Mayor Steinberg

Noes: None

Abstain: None

Absent: None

Attest: Mindy Cuppy

Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk

The presence of an electronic signature certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as approved by the Sacramento City Council.
RESOLUTION NO. 2020-0287

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

August 25, 2020

Amending the Bicycle Master Plan to modify the Existing and Proposed Bike Facilities Map of the Bicycle Master Plan within the West Broadway Specific Plan Area

BACKGROUND

A. The West Broadway Specific Plan and Environmental Impact Report will be adopted concurrently with this resolution. The traffic study that is contained in the Environmental Impact Report for the West Broadway Specific Plan analyzed the changes in the street system and bikeways within the West Broadway Specific Plan.

B. On June 18, 2020, the Active Transportation Commission passed a motion supporting amendments to the Existing and Proposed Bike Facilities map on page 52 of the Bicycle Master Plan (as amended in 2018) and forwarded to the City Council recommendation to approve amending the City Bicycle Master Plan as set forth in Exhibit A.

C. On August 25, 2020, the City Council conducted a public hearing that was noticed in accordance with Sacramento City Code sections 17.812.010 and 17.812.030 at which it received and considered evidence concerning the proposed changes to the Bicycle Master Plan within the West Broadway area.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Based on the verbal and documentary evidence received at the hearings on the Proposed Project, the City Council finds that amending the City Bicycle Master Plan to modify the bikeway network in the West Broadway area is consistent with the City of Sacramento 2035 General Plan. The proposed changes support the general plan goal to create and maintain a safe, comprehensive, and integrated bicycle system and a set of support facilities throughout the city that encourage bicycling that is accessible to all.

Applicable General Plan policies include the following:

M 5.1.3 Continuous Bikeway Network. The City shall provide a continuous bikeway network consisting of bike-friendly facilities connecting residential neighborhoods with key destinations and activity centers (e.g., transit facilities, shopping areas, education institutions, employment centers).
M 5.1.5 Motorists, Bicyclists, and Pedestrian Conflicts. The City shall
develop safe and convenient bikeways, streets, roadways, and intersections that
reduce conflicts between bicyclists and motor vehicles on streets, between
bicyclists and pedestrians on multi-use trails and sidewalks, and between all
users at intersections.

Section 2. City Council hereby amends the City’s Bicycle Master Plan to modify six
components of the bicycle network as described below and set forth in Exhibit A:

a. Include a planned Class 2 bike lane on 3rd street connecting Broadway to
   Crate Avenue and Olympian’s Park.

b. Include a planned Class 3 bike route on Crate Avenue between 3rd Street
   and Muir Way.

c. Include a planned Class 1 shared use path to provide a direct connection
   from the Sacramento River Parkway Trail under the proposed Broadway
   Bridge to Marina View Drive and Ramp Way.

d. Study the feasibility of bike/ped bridges and Class 1 shared use paths at
   the following locations:

   1. A bike/ped bridge and Class 1 shared use path from the boat ramp
      area in Frederick Miller Regional Park across the Sacramento River
      to West Sacramento.

   2. Within Frederick Miller Regional Park: A bike/ped bridge and
      shared-use path from the boat ramp area over the boating entrance
      to the Sacramento Marina to the peninsula and River View Drive.

   3. Within Frederick Miller Regional Park: A bike/ped bridge and shared
      use path over Marina basin to connect Marina View Drive to a
      Class-1 shared use path connecting to the heritage rail line which
      runs on the east bank of the Sacramento River levee.

Section 3. Exhibit A is part of this Resolution.
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Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on August 25, 2020, by the following vote:

Ayes: Members Ashby, Carr, Guerra, Hansen, Harris, Jennings, Schenirer, and Mayor Steinberg

Noes: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Member Warren

Attest: Mindy Cuppy

Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk

The presence of an electronic signature certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as approved by the Sacramento City Council.
Exhibit A: Revised Map of the Bicycle Network
RESOLUTION NO. 2020-0345

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

October 27, 2020

Resolution of the City of Sacramento to Approve the Amendment to the 2016 Bicycle Master Plan

BACKGROUND

A. On August 16, 2016, City Council approved the 2016 Bicycle Master Plan, the City's policy document that provides guidance on the development of bikeways and bike related programs.

B. On March 10, 2020, City Council accepted the Envision Broadway Complete Streets Plan. The proposed bikeway network in the accepted Envision Broadway Plan includes a buffered bikeway on Broadway from Franklin Avenue to Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue. Council directed staff to develop a bicycle master plan implementation plan to identify implementation priorities.

C. The proposed bikeway in the Envision Broadway Complete Streets Plan was based on existing conditions analysis, best practices, and significant community outreach. The plan was endorsed by the Oak Park Neighborhood Association, Oak Park Business District, WALKSacramento, and Sacramento Area Bicycle Advocates.

D. The current Bicycle Master Plan, last amended in 2018, does not include the proposed buffered bikeway on Broadway from Alhambra Boulevard to Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard.

E. The amended Plan will incorporate the buffered bike lane on Broadway consistent with the Envision Broadway Complete Streets Plan.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The amended 2016 Bicycle Master Plan is approved as the City’s guide for development of the bikeway network, support facilities and programs.

Section 2. The City Manager or City Manager’s designee is directed to amend the appropriate local and regional plans to reflect amendments to the Bicycle Master Plan.
Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on October 27, 2020, by the following vote:

Ayes: Members Ashby, Carr, Guerra, Hansen, Harris, Jennings, Schenirer, Warren and Mayor Steinberg

Noes: None

Abstain: None

Absent: None

Attest: Mindy Cuppy

Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk

The presence of an electronic signature certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as approved by the Sacramento City Council.
Exhibit A-Map of proposed amendment to the Bicycle Master Plan
RESOLUTION NO. 2021-0041

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

February 16, 2021

Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors Plan (S15184100)

BACKGROUND

A. In January 2017, the City Council issued a call to action by adopting Resolution No. 2017-0032 with the following goal: The City of Sacramento will work collaboratively in a data-driven effort to eliminate traffic fatalities and serious injuries by 2027.

B. The Vision Zero Action Plan was created, and the document was approved by City Council in August 2018.

C. The Vision Zero Action Plan identified the five corridors in Sacramento with the highest numbers of fatal and serious crashes involving pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists and recommended that the City develop designs and secure grant funding for first Top 5 priority corridors.

D. The following were identified as the Top 5 Corridors:
   - Marysville Boulevard from North Avenue to Arcade Boulevard
   - El Camino Avenue from Del Paso Boulevard to Steelhead Creek
   - Broadway/Stockton Boulevard from Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard to 13th Avenue
   - Stockton Boulevard from McMahon Drive to Patterson Way
   - Florin Road from 24th Street to Munson Way

E. An in-depth analysis of the collisions along each corridor began in summer 2018.

F. Collision diagrams were created with collisions catalogued by location, mode, severity, and cause. These diagrams were used to engage with the communities along the corridors by tabling at grocery stores, transit stops, and community events to learn more about their experiences and concerns.

G. Once the countermeasure toolbox was developed, specific measures were identified for each corridor, for consideration by the Department of Public Works and community stakeholders.
H. The final conceptual plans for each corridor, presented in the Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors Plan, include an array of countermeasures that are appropriate to address the collisions at a given location, fit within City design standards, and meet community needs.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors Plan is approved as the City’s guiding concept plan for portions of Marysville Boulevard, El Camino Avenue, Broadway Boulevard, Stockton Boulevard, and Florin Road. Additional efforts will be taken for environmental clearance and final design.

Section 2. Exhibit A: Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors Plan is hereby incorporated into and is a part of this Resolution.

Section 3. City Council hereby amends the City’s Bicycle Master Plan to modify two components of the bicycle network as described below and set forth in Exhibit B:

   a. Upgrade the existing bike lane on Marysville Boulevard from North Avenue to Arcade Boulevard to a planned separated bikeway.
   b. Upgrade the existing bike lane and planned bike lane on Broadway between Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard and Stockton Boulevard to a planned buffered bike lane.
   c. Upgrade the existing bike route and planned bike lane on Florin Road between 24th Street to Munson Way to a planned separated bikeway.

Section 4. Exhibit B: Map of the Bicycle Master Plan amendments is hereby incorporated into and is part of this Resolution.

Table of Contents:
   Exhibit A - Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors Plan
   Exhibit B - Map of the Bicycle Master Plan
Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on February 16, 2021 by the following vote:

Ayes:   Members Ashby, Guerra, Harris, Jennings, Loloee, Schenirer, Valenzuela, Vang, and Mayor Steinberg

Noes:   None

Abstain: None

Absent: None

Attest: Mindy Cuppy

Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk

The presence of an electronic signature certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as approved by the Sacramento City Council.
Bicycle Master plan amendments: Vision Zero Top 5 Corridors

New Planned Bikeways
- Buffered Bike Lane
- Protected Bikeway
RESOLUTION NO. 2021-0077

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

April 6, 2021

A Resolution Amending the Bicycle Master Plan to Reflect the Alignments and Classifications Envisioned by the Sacramento Valley Station Area Plan

BACKGROUND

A. The Bicycle Master Plan ("Master Plan") was adopted on August 14, 2018 (Resolution No. 2018-0333) as policy guidance for development of the bikeway network, support facilities, and programs.

B. The Sacramento Valley Station Area Plan ("Area Plan") is a vision and strategy document that is intended to provide guidance for the long-term transformation of the 31 acres surrounding the Sacramento Valley Station into a regional mobility hub. To support the Area Plan, several amendments to adopted policy documents and regulations are required ("Project").

C. On August 20, 2020, the Active Transportation Commission passed a motion supporting the amendments to the Existing and Proposed Bike Facilities Central City Inset map on page 52 of the Master Plan, and forwarded to City Council a recommendation to approve amending the Master Plan.

D. On April 6, 2021, the City Council conducted a public hearing, for which notice was given pursuant to Sacramento City Code chapter 17.812, received and considered evidence concerning the Project.

BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act, the Addendum to the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report, adopted the Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations, and adopted the Mitigation Monitoring Plan for the Sacramento Railyards Specific Plan Update, KP Medical Center, MLS Stadium, & Stormwater Outfall Projects has been adopted by Resolution No. 2021-0073.

Section 2. An amendment to the Master Plan is required to provide policy to support the Area Plan.
Section 3. The City Council hereby confirms that the Master Plan is amended as depicted in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated into this resolution, and further described below:

a. Include a planned Class 1 route from the street network east of 5th Street, south of the historic Sacramento Valley Station, through the planned open space west of the Station, with connections to the planned Bus and Mobility Center (BMC), the trail network extending west and under the elevated freeway to Old Sacramento Waterfront, the planned I Street bridge, and the planned tunnel to the Central Shops.

b. Include connections to the planned pedestrian plaza north of the Station with direct connection to the planned BMC which is programmed for bike serving amenities.

Section 4. The City Manager, or City Manager’s designee, is hereby directed to amend the appropriate local and regional plans to conform to the provisions of this resolution.

Section 5. Exhibit A is a part of this resolution.

Table of Contents:
Exhibit A – Proposed Bicycle Master Plan Amendment

Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on April 6, 2021 by the following vote:

Ayes: Members Ashby, Guerra, Harris, Jennings, Loloee, Schenirer, Valenzuela, Vang, and Mayor Steinberg

Noes: None

Abstain: None

Absent: None

Attest: Mindy Cuppy

Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk

The presence of an electronic signature certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as approved by the Sacramento City Council.
RESOLUTION NO. 2021-0287

Adopted by the Sacramento City Council

September 21, 2021

Stockton Boulevard Corridor Plan (S15191700)

BACKGROUND

A. On August 9, 2018, City Council approved the initiation of the Stockton Boulevard Corridor Plan from Alhambra Boulevard to 47th Avenue under Resolution No. 2018-0329.

B. The goals of this Plan are transportation safety, improving mobility, and community engagement.

C. Community engagement began with meeting the community where they are and listening to their transportation priorities and vision for the corridor. These include:

   - Slower automobile speeds
   - Safer pedestrian interactions with vehicles at intersections
   - More frequent marked crosswalks
   - Wider sidewalks
   - Greater separation between sidewalk and fast-moving traffic
   - Continuous low-stress bike lanes
   - Faster transit
   - Improved transit amenities (shelter, seating)
   - Pedestrian scaled lighting
   - Street trees

D. An in-depth analysis of potential design options was conducted, and alternatives were shared with the communities.

E. The preferred concept features a motor vehicle lane reduction in northern segments to slow driving speeds and to provide space for bike lanes; a re-assignment of a motor vehicle travel lane to a shared bus-bike lane in the middle segment; and throughout the corridor are plans for improved bus stops, pedestrian lighting, added street trees, and more frequent pedestrian crossings.
BASED ON THE FACTS SET FORTH IN THE BACKGROUND, THE CITY COUNCIL RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Stockton Boulevard Corridor Plan is approved as the City’s guiding concept plan for a common transportation vision for the Stockton Boulevard corridor from Alhambra Boulevard to 47th Avenue. Additional efforts will be taken for environmental clearance and final design.

Section 2. City Council hereby amends the City’s Bicycle Master Plan to modify components of the bicycle network as described below and set forth in Attachment 5:

- a. Add planned bike lanes on Stockton Boulevard from Alhambra Boulevard to T Street
- b. Upgrade the planned separated bikeway on Stockton Boulevard from T Street to 2nd Avenue to a planned shared-use path
- c. Upgrade the planned separated bikeway on Stockton Boulevard from 2nd Avenue to Broadway to a planned cycle track on the east side and a planned bike lane on the west side
- d. Upgrade the existing bike lanes on Stockton Boulevard from Broadway to 21st Avenue to a planned bus-bike lane
- e. Upgrade the existing bike lanes on Stockton Boulevard from 21st Avenue to 47th Avenue to a planned shared-use path.

Section 3. Attachment 4: Stockton Boulevard Corridor Plan is hereby incorporated into and is part of this Resolution.

Section 4. Attachment 5: Map of the Bicycle Master Plan amendments is hereby incorporated into and is part of this Resolution.
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Adopted by the City of Sacramento City Council on September 21, 2021, by the following vote:

Ayes: Members Ashby, Guerra, Harris, Jennings, Loloee, Valenzuela, Vang, and Mayor Steinberg

Noes: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Member Schenirer

Attest: Mindy Cuppy, City Clerk

The presence of an electronic signature certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy as approved by the Sacramento City Council.